



ENVIRONMENTAL
HEALTH
PERSPECTIVES

<http://www.ehponline.org>

**Associations of Short-Term Particle and Noise Exposures
with Markers of Cardiovascular and Respiratory Health
among Highway Maintenance Workers**

**Reto Meier, Wayne E. Cascio, Andrew J. Ghio, Pascal Wild,
Brigitta Danuser, and Michael Riediker**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307100>

Received: 17 May 2013

Accepted: 14 March 2014

Advance Publication: 19 March 2014

Associations of Short-Term Particle and Noise Exposures with Markers of Cardiovascular and Respiratory Health among Highway Maintenance Workers

Reto Meier,¹ Wayne E. Cascio,² Andrew J. Ghio,² Pascal Wild,^{1,3} Brigitta Danuser,¹ and Michael Riediker¹

¹Institute for Work and Health [Institut universitaire romand de Santé au Travail], University of Lausanne and University of Geneva, Lausanne, Switzerland; ²Environmental Public Health Division, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, US EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA; ³Institute for Research and Safety, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France

Address correspondence to Michael Riediker, Institute for Work and Health, Route de la Corniche 2, CH-1066 Epalinges – Lausanne, Switzerland. Telephone: +41 21 314 74 53. E-mail: michael.riediker@hospvd.ch

Running title: Short-term health effects of particles and noise

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Swiss Road Maintenance Services and in particular the participating subjects for the administrative support and excellent cooperation during the field measurements. This study was funded by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation. The research described in this article has been reviewed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and approved for publication. The contents of this article do not necessarily

represent Agency policy nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Competing Financial Interests: The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Abstract

Background: Highway maintenance workers are constantly and simultaneously exposed to traffic-related particle and noise emissions, and both have been linked to increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in population-based epidemiology studies.

Objectives: We aimed to investigate short-term health effects related to particle and noise exposure.

Methods: We monitored 18 maintenance workers, during as many as five 24-hour periods from a total of 50 observation days. We measured their exposure to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), ultrafine particles, noise, and the cardiopulmonary health endpoints: blood pressure, pro-inflammatory and pro-thrombotic markers in the blood, lung function and fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) measured approximately 15 hours post-work. Heart rate variability was assessed during a sleep period approximately 10 hours post-work.

Results: PM_{2.5} exposure was significantly associated with C-reactive protein and serum amyloid A, and negatively associated with tumor necrosis factor α . None of the particle metrics were significantly associated with von Willebrand factor or tissue factor expression. PM_{2.5} and work noise were associated with markers of increased heart rate variability, and with increased HF and LF power. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure on the following morning were significantly associated with noise exposure after work, and non-significantly associated with PM_{2.5}. We observed no significant associations between any of the exposures and lung function or FeNO.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that exposure to particles and noise during highway maintenance work might pose a cardiovascular health risk. Actions to reduce these exposures could lead to better health for this population of workers.

Introduction

Long-term exposures to particulate matter and noise have both been associated with cardiovascular diseases such as ischemic heart disease and hypertension (Babisch 2011; Brook et al. 2010). Although particle and noise exposure frequently occur concomitantly, only a few recent epidemiologic studies have controlled for both factors (Beelen et al. 2009; de Kluizenaar et al. 2007; Fuks et al. 2011; Huss et al. 2010).

PM related health effects have been widely studied and exposure to PM has been associated with cardiopulmonary diseases, which increase hospitalization and premature deaths throughout the world (Brook et al. 2010; Schwartz 1999; Schwartz et al. 2002). Ultrafine particles (UFP), with diameters below 100 nm, are considered to play an important role in triggering particle-related health effects because of their small size and large surface area. There is evidence of effects of noise on the cardiovascular system: noise exposure in both residential and occupational settings has been associated with hypertension (Brook 2007; de Kluizenaar et al. 2007; Fuks et al. 2011; van Kempen and Babisch 2012; van Kempen et al. 2002), ischemic heart disease and myocardial infarction (Davies et al. 2005; Huss et al. 2010; Selander et al. 2009).

Road traffic is an important source of particulate matter and noise emissions, both of which have been associated with cardiovascular effects. Alterations in heart rate variability (HRV) and vascular inflammation (Riediker et al. 2004; Wu et al. 2010), as well as progression of atherosclerosis (Bauer et al. 2010; Künzli et al. 2010) have been attributed to traffic-related PM and at levels lower than the current annual PM_{2.5} air quality standard in the U.S (Adar et al. 2013). Myocardial infarction (Babisch et al. 2005; Bigert et al. 2003; Peters et al. 2004) and elevated cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the general population (Babisch 2006, 2008;

Hoek et al. 2002; Janssen et al. 2002) have been associated with traffic in general, as well as with PM and/or noise emissions from traffic. Due to the simultaneous exposure it is often difficult to disentangle particle- and noise-related health effects.

We recently described how highway maintenance workers are frequently exposed to air pollutants and noise originating from road traffic and work equipment (Meier et al. 2013a). Their mixed exposure to particles and noise may contribute to an increased susceptibility to the development or exacerbation of heart and vascular diseases. To investigate short-term health effects related to particles and noise we estimated associations of exposures to PM_{2.5}, UFP, and noise with various cardiopulmonary health endpoints. We hypothesized that particle exposure would lead to increased levels of pro-inflammatory and pro-thrombotic markers in the blood. We also expected particle and noise related changes in heart rate variability and an association of blood pressure elevation and noise. As cardiovascular effects for both exposure types have been described previously, we were interested in investigating mutually adjusted health effects.

Methods

Study Design

To investigate short-term health effects of exposure to PM and noise we carried out repeated measurements on 18 highway maintenance workers. Exposure and health assessments were conducted on 50 days between May 2010 and February 2012 in collaboration with the Swiss Road Maintenance Services on highways in western Switzerland. For work shifts of 38 days, two co-located subjects were equipped with personal measurement equipment, whereas only one subject was equipped for work shifts of 12 days. Healthy, non-smoking male maintenance workers from 10 maintenance centers were offered participation in the study. Health criteria for exclusion were: high blood pressure (systolic/diastolic >140/90 mmHg); cardiopulmonary health problems; acute allergies; diabetes; and obesity (BMI >32). Exposure to PM_{2.5}, UFP, noise and gaseous co-pollutants was assessed during five non-consecutive work shifts. To control for post work-shift exposure, personal PM_{2.5Realtime} and noise exposure measurement was continued after end of work (around 5 pm) until the next morning. PM_{2.5Realtime} measurements after work have only been used in a sensitivity analysis and not for the reported associations.

Exposure parameters were compared to HRV assessed during a sleep period approximately 10 hours after work and to further health endpoints that were assessed on the following morning, approximately 15 hours after work. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee from the University of Lausanne, and all research volunteers provided written informed consent.

Exposure assessment

The exposure assessment was presented in detail elsewhere (Meier et al. 2013a). Briefly, personal real-time PM_{2.5} measurements (PM_{2.5Realtime}, 1 minute resolution) were made using a

personal DataRam pDR1000 real-time particulate monitor (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) attached on the subjects back. $PM_{2.5}$ was also measured gravimetrically ($PM_{2.5Mass}$) at the work site using PTFE filters (#225-1709 from SKC Inc. Eighty Four, PA, USA) and a Leland Legacy sampling pump (SKC) with a flow rate of 10 L/min. UFP number concentrations and the lung-deposited surface area (particle surface area concentration deposited in the lung, LDSA) were measured at work site using a miniDiSC (Fierz et al. 2011), a method that has been shown to provide reliable results under highway conditions in the 16 nm to 300 nm size range (Meier et al. 2013b). We have chosen LDSA as the UFP exposure metric for reporting associations with health outcomes because surface area is an important determinant for particle reactivity (Duffin et al. 2002). Gaseous co-pollutants were measured at work site: CO with the CO monitor T15n (Langan Products, San Francisco, CA, USA); NO_2 and O_3 concentrations with diffusive samplers from Passam AG (Männedorf, Switzerland). O_3 concentrations did not reach the detection limit L_{O_3} of 7.6 ppb for an 8 hour measurement during 22 of a total of 50 work shifts. For models including O_3 (only in sensitivity analyses) values below detection limit were replaced with $L_{O_3}/2$ (3.8 ppb). Temperature and humidity were measured with HOBO data loggers U12-012 (Onset Computer Corporation, Cape Cod, MA, USA). Noise was measured with personal noise dosimeters Type 4500 from Bruel & Kjaer (Nærum, Denmark) attached to the subjects. Measurements were made in the range from 70 dB(A) to 140 dB(A) during work shifts and from 50 dB(A) to 100 dB(A) for the continued post-work assessment until the next morning. Noise levels were corrected for periods when hearing protection was used: a 25 dB correction during use of acoustic earmuffs (SNR 30) and a 20 dB correction for preformed earplugs (SNR 25).

A detailed description about handling of missing real-time exposure data has been provided previously (Meier et al. 2013a). Briefly: missing real-time particle data were replaced by estimates based on correlated particle measurements extrapolated to the distribution of the missing pollutant for the same subject, activity and type of work site. $PM_{2.5Realtime}$: 0.5 % missing, estimates based on parallel $PM_{2.5Realtime}$ measurement and, if not available, on UFP PNC. UFP: 4.8 % missing, estimates based on $PM_{2.5Realtime}$. Estimations for missing noise data (3.6 %) were based on the parallel noise measurement and, if not available, on data from the same subject, activity and type of work site. Missing noise data for six home-based measurements and one work-shift measurement (microphone or battery failures) could not be replaced with estimations and were not considered for analysis. Two $PM_{2.5Realtime}$ measurements stopped early (battery failure) and did not include full duration of post-work assessment.

Health assessment

Before starting maintenance work and exposure assessment in the morning the subjects were equipped with Holter H12+ Digital Recorders (Mortara Instrument, Inc., Milwaukee, WI, USA) for continuous recording of their electrocardiogram (ECG). Blood pressure, FeNO and lung function were measured during a health assessment on the following morning – approximately 15 hours post work. Also, a blood sample was taken by a trained nurse for subsequent assessment of blood markers and subjects provided information about their health status and drug intake.

Fresh blood serum and plasma samples were centrifuged and stored in a cold box at approximately 5°C until they were frozen at -80°C after a maximum of 2 hours. Frozen samples were shipped to and analyzed at the National Health and Environmental Effects Research

Laboratory at the US EPA in Chapel Hill, NC, USA. Blood markers in serum were quantified with electrochemiluminescence detection: serum levels of interleukin 6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α) were assessed with the Human ProInflammatory-4 II Ultra-Sensitive Kit (Meso Scale Discovery, Rockville, MD, USA). C-reactive protein (CRP) and serum amyloid A (SAA) in serum were measured using the Human Vascular Injury II Kit (Meso Scale Discovery). Von Willebrand factor (vWF) was quantified in plasma with the Asserachrom® VWF:Ag ELISA kit (Diagnostica Stago, Inc., Parsippany, NJ, USA); tissue factor (plasma) with the Human Coagulation Factor III/Tissue Factor Quantikine ELISA (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA).

ECG data were processed with H-Scribe+ software (Mortara Instrument, Inc., Milwaukee, WI, USA) and inspected manually by an experienced cardiologist (WEC). Data were subsequently processed with Super ECG Software provided by David Mortara (Mortara Instrument, Inc., Milwaukee, WI, USA). Particle and noise related effects on HRV were estimated for the five-minute period between 2am and 4am when subjects were asleep and had the lowest mean heart rate. This nightly time window was chosen because it reflects a well-defined resting period when subjects were in horizontal position. The following HRV outcomes have been used: standard deviation of NN intervals (SDNN), ratio of the number of pairs of adjacent NN intervals differing by more than 50 ms tot the total number of NN intervals (pNN50), root mean square of the differences of successive NN intervals (RMSSD), low frequency power (LF, 0.04 to 0.15 Hz), high frequency power (HF, 0.14 to 0.40 Hz). Blood pressure was measured the following morning with the automatic blood pressure monitor M10-IT (Omron Healthcare Europe, Hoofddorp, Netherlands). The average of three successive measurements within 5 minutes has

been used. Lung function was measured with the EasyOne Worldspirometer (NDD Medizintechnik, Zurich, Switzerland) in the “FVC expiratory” test mode; test procedure was according to American Thoracic Society standards for FEV1 and FVC test procedure (Miller et al. 2005). Expiratory air for FeNO analysis was collected with an offline collection kit (ECO MEDICS AG, Duernten, Switzerland) (Schiller et al. 2009). Samples were taken in triplicate and analyzed within 6 hours of sampling. Analysis was performed with the EcoMedics CDL-88-Analyzer.

Statistical analysis

Health endpoints were compared to particle and noise exposure averaged over the preceding work shift and noise exposure during the time period after work. Linear mixed-effect regression models with subject-specific random intercepts were used to estimate exposure-related health effects clustered in individuals. We estimated mutually adjusted associations of particles and noise exposures by including both parameters in the same models. Separate models were used for particle exposure metrics: $PM_{2.5}^{Real-time}$ and $PM_{2.5}^{Mass}$ (10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase), UFP PNC (10'000-particles/ cm^3 increase), UFP LDSA (10- $\mu\text{m}^2/\text{cm}^3$ increase); each model also included separate continuous variables for noise at work and noise after work with associations estimated for a 1-dB(A) increase in each noise exposure metric. All models were adjusted for age and BMI as continuous variables. Confounding of other untransformed continuous covariates (temperature, humidity, NO_2 , O_3 , CO) has been assessed by sensitivity analyses in which models have been adjusted for these variables. All HRV outcomes other than pNN50, and the IL-6, CRP, and SAA blood markers, were normalized by natural log-transformation. We used a p-value

below 0.05 to define statistical significance. All statistical models were calculated using STATA (StataCorp. 2011. Stata Statistical Software: Release 12. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP).

Results

For the analysis of short-term health effects of particle and noise exposure we used data from repeated measurements on 18 healthy non-smoking male highway maintenance workers. Subjects participated in 5 repeated measurements (one subject only in 3 repetitions). Subjects were between 31 and 59 years old (mean 46). Their weights ranged from 78 kg to 107 kg (mean 82.4 kg) and their heights from 165 cm to 187 cm (mean 175 cm), resulting in BMI's between 21.8 and 31.1 kg/m² (mean 26.7 kg/m²). Two of the subjects were being treated with ACE-inhibitor for high blood pressure; one subject took a low-dose aspirin daily. A sensitivity analysis excluding these subjects did not change associations with the investigated health endpoints. Three measurements were excluded post-hoc because subjects did not meet the inclusion criteria of being healthy (reported cold symptoms, cold medication, CRP levels > 15 mg/l). One particular work shift during which two measurements were conducted was excluded because particle exposure was very high (PM_{2.5Realtime} > 500 µg/m³; PM_{2.5Mass} > 300 µg/m³; LDSA > 600 µm²/cm³) and did not represent a standard exposure (more than 4 × SD higher than the mean). The following health data was missing: HRV data of 5 measurements (memory card or battery failure, one with bad ECG-signal), blood data of 6 measurements (subjects refused blood withdrawal), and a plasma sample for vWF and Tissue factor analysis of one measurement (lost during analysis). A total of 77 observations for which particle and noise exposure as well as health parameters were available were used for blood pressure models, 73 observations for HRV models and 71 observations for blood marker models (70 for vWF and Tissue factor).

Summary statistics with the exposure averages used to assess associations with health outcomes are shown in Table 1. As a consequence of the varied work activities, we observed a high variability in particle and noise exposure. Particle concentrations and noise levels were highest for work shifts that included the use of specific working equipment (hand-held string trimmers, chain saws, pneumatic hammers). $PM_{2.5}$ levels after work were considerably lower than during work and their contribution to the total particle dose was minor. Noise measurements after work were characterized by noise levels in the early evening; night time noise rarely reached the lower measurement limit of 50 dB[A]. Spearman correlations of particles and noise during work were low ($\rho \sim 0.3$ for $PM_{2.5}$ and noise) to moderate ($\rho = 0.5$ for PNC and noise). LDSA, a measure of exposure to UFP, was highly correlated to the UFP particle number concentration (PNC) (Pearson correlation of $r=0.96$). A more detailed description of exposure to air pollutants and noise during highway maintenance work has been described previously (Meier et al. 2013a). The slight differences between the means reported in Table 1 and the means reported in our previous publication are due to excluded observations because of missing or invalid health data.

All health parameters were within a normal range; summary statistics of health endpoints are provided in Table 2. Coefficients of mixed-effect regression models used to estimate associations between particles and blood markers (with adjustment for work and after work noise) are shown in Table 3. $PM_{2.5Mass}$ was significantly and positively associated with CRP and SAA concentrations [percentage increases associated with a 10-ug/m^3 increase in exposure of 5.56% (95% CI: 1.05, 10.27%) and 3.56% (95% CI: 0.04, 7.21%) respectively] and negatively associated with $TNF\alpha$ (-0.60%; 95% CI: -1.15, -0.04%). None of the pro-inflammatory or pro-thrombotic markers were significantly associated with UFP LDSA (Table 3) or PNC (data not

shown). Work noise was not significantly associated with any of the blood markers ($p > 0.3$) and associations with particle exposures did not change when models were not adjusted for work or after work noise (data not shown). Noise after work was significantly associated with vWF (increase of 1.48%; 95% CI: 0.40, 2.56 % per 1-dB; model adjusted for $PM_{2.5}^{Realtime}$ and work noise) but not any of the other blood markers (data not shown).

In general, $10\text{-}\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increases in both $PM_{2.5}$ exposure metrics, and a 1-dB(A) increase in noise at work, were associated with increased HRV, as indicated by positive associations with SDNN, pNN50, and RMSSD (Figure 1). In contrast, a 1-dB(A) increase in noise after work was associated with non-significant decreases in HRV. $PM_{2.5}$ exposures and noise at work were significantly associated with HF and also positively associated with LF, with no association with the LF/HF ratio. Noise after work was associated with non-significant decreases in HF and increases in the LF/HF ratio. Patterns of associations were similar for UFP LDSA, though point estimates were closer to the null. Associations were comparable for particle exposures based on models that were not adjusted for noise, and for noise exposures based on models that were not adjusted for particles (data not shown). Associations with UFP PNC (data not shown) were similar to those for UFP LDSA.

$PM_{2.5}$, UFP LDSA, and noise after work were positively associated with systolic and diastolic blood pressure the next morning, while work noise showed non-significant negative associations with blood pressure (Figure 2). Effect estimates were similar for particles without adjustment for noise, and for noise without adjustment for particles (data not shown). However, LDSA was not longer significantly associated with systolic blood pressure when modeled without adjustment for noise (data not shown).

None of the particle exposure metrics were significantly associated with lung function measures (FEV₁ and FVC) or FeNO (data not shown). We did not estimate associations between noise and lung function.

In a series of sensitivity analyses we have seen that adjusting our models for temperature, humidity, NO₂, O₃ or CO did not result in a change in estimated associations between the health outcomes and particles or noise. Associations between co-pollutants and health outcomes were not significant (data not shown). However, associations with all particle metrics were close to the null for all inflammation markers and HRV parameters when two outlier observations with very high particle levels (PM_{2.5Realtime} > 500 µg/m³; PM_{2.5Mass} > 300 µg/m³; LDSA > 600 µm²/cm³) were included in the models (data not shown). The origin of these high particle levels is uncertain but may be related to hot and dry conditions causing elevated dispersion of soil dust during mowing. Furthermore we could see that considering personal PM_{2.5Realtime} averaged over work-shift and time at home, instead of considering work-shift PM_{2.5Realtime} levels did not change the findings for PM_{2.5Realtime} (data not shown). Omitting correction of work noise for the use of ear protection resulted in smaller less significant effect estimates of work noise on HRV (no changes in effect estimates for particles and noise after work) (data not shown). Estimates for blood pressure with/without ear protection were far from significance in either case.

Discussion

In this study we investigated associations of short-term particle and noise exposures during highway maintenance work with markers of cardiovascular and pulmonary health. Repeated measurements – including the assessment of the particle and noise exposure, as well as selected health endpoints – were conducted on a sample of 18 healthy non-smoking male maintenance

workers. PM_{2.5} exposures were positively associated with acute-phase inflammation markers in the blood, and particle and noise exposures at work were associated with higher HRV. Elevated noise exposure during recreational time after work was associated with higher systolic and diastolic blood pressure. We did not observe any evidence of short-term effects of particle exposures on lung function or nitric oxide concentrations in exhaled air.

The positive association of PM_{2.5} with CRP and SAA, two inflammation markers that have been related to arteriosclerosis and other cardiovascular diseases (Johnson et al. 2004; Ridker et al. 2000), is consistent with previous reports of associations between air pollution and traffic exposure with acute-phase inflammation (Peters et al. 2001; Riediker et al. 2004; Rioux et al. 2010). Contrary to our expectations, PM_{2.5} exposure was negatively associated with TNF α and IL-6 concentrations (statistically significant for TNF α). This may be a matter of timing. It has been shown that the TNF α and IL-6 response in rats exposed to diesel did not occur until 24 to 48 hours after exposure (Kooter et al. 2010). However, the observed negative associations may have been caused by chance or systematic error.

Particle exposures and work noise were both associated with higher HRV during the recovery period in the night. The nightly time window was chosen because it reflects a well-defined resting period when subjects were in horizontal position. Interestingly, particle and work noise were associated with higher HRV independent of each other, based on mutually adjusted models. The increase in high-frequency power and RMSSD suggests changes in vagal activity which is a major contributor of the HF component (Malik 1996). However, we have seen positive associations with HF and LF power resulting in null associations with the LF/HF ratio. Hence, a reciprocal relationship between sympathetic and parasympathetic balance does not appear to be

present under the present conditions similar to the positive associations between ultrafine concentrated ambient particles and HF and LF power reported by a recent study (Samet et al. 2009). Others reported increased vagal activity after exposure to fine particles, characterized by increased RMSSD and decreased standard deviation of the averages of NN intervals (SDANN) (Pope et al. 1999) and increased HF variability and decreased LF/HF ratio (Riediker et al. 2004). However, particle exposures have often been associated with lower HRV (Gold et al. 2000; Gong et al. 2004; He et al. 2011; Huang et al. 2013; Liao et al. 1999; Magari et al. 2001; Pieters et al. 2012; Weichenthal et al. 2011) and some studies reported evidence of variable effects depending on subjects or particle sizes (Devlin et al. 2003; Timonen et al. 2006; Yeatts et al. 2007). Associations of particle exposures and HRV may be influenced by age, cardiovascular health history or genetic background, as well as the duration of exposure, timing of the clinical evaluation and the composition and size of particles. However, there is no clear pattern across these studies that can explain the contradictory results. Timing may have played an important role in regard to the associations between work noise, noise after work and HRV. A recent study describing immediate changes in HRV changes after noise exposure showed that SDNN was positively associated to concurrent noise above 65 dB(A), but negatively to noise exposure lagged by 5–15 min (Kraus et al. 2013).

Noise exposure after work was significantly associated with higher blood pressure measured on the following morning. Work noise was associated with lower blood pressure, though associations were imprecise and not statistically significant. As for HRV, it is important to consider the time lag of these outcomes. The time point of evaluation may not reflect acute effects of noise exposure of the day before. A few recent studies have reported associations

between occupational noise exposure and blood pressure and cardiovascular diseases, although their results are contradictory (Gan et al. 2011; Tomei et al. 2010; van Kempen et al. 2002). However, associations of noise and cardiovascular health outcomes were more commonly linked to traffic and aircraft noise at home and during recreational periods outside occupational settings (Babisch 2011; Dratva et al. 2012; Eriksson et al. 2007; Jarup et al. 2008). The type of noise sources affects noise perception and seems to be an important determinant for noise specific health effects (Babisch 2011). Work noise was primarily dominated by working equipment and secondly by road traffic. We do not have information about the type of noise sources for the period after work. After work noise was characterized by events in the early evening and might have been strongly influenced by noise caused by the subjects themselves (hobby, music, TV, etc.). However, we cannot differentiate it from environmental noise and we cannot exclude that disturbing night noise below the lower measurement limit of 50 dB(A) confounded associations with health outcomes. Changes in effect estimates by omitting correction of work noise for ear protection show that controlling for this is important.

The similar effect estimates of particle exposure models adjusted for noise and noise exposure models adjusted for particles are in line with recent publications that did not see changes when controlling for both factors. Noise did not change effect estimates for an elevated risk for high blood pressure and cardiovascular mortality attributed to PM_{2.5}, black smoke and traffic intensity (Beelen et al. 2009; Fuks et al. 2011). Associations between aircraft noise and death from myocardial infarction were not attenuated by adjusting for PM₁₀ (Huss et al. 2010). Risk estimates for hypertension in relation to traffic noise did not change significantly when adjusted for PM₁₀ (de Kloizenaar et al. 2007). However, a recent study investigating combined effects on

HRV reported stronger associations between traffic related air pollution and HRV when noise levels were above 65.6 dB(A) (Huang et al. 2013).

Conclusions

In this study we observed higher acute-phase inflammation markers CRP and SAA as well as a decrease in TNF α in association with PM_{2.5}. PM_{2.5} and work noise were independently associated with higher HRV during the night after a work shift. Noise levels during recreational time after work were positively associated with blood pressure measurements taken the following morning. Our findings suggest that exposure to particles and noise at the work place might pose a cardiovascular health risk as evidenced by associated increases in pro-inflammatory biomarkers. It is therefore important to make efforts to reduce these exposures.

References

- Adar SD, Sheppard L, Vedal S, Polak JF, Sampson PD, Diez Roux AV, et al. 2013. Fine particulate air pollution and the progression of carotid intima-medial thickness: A prospective cohort study from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution. *PLoS Med* 10:e1001430.
- Babisch W, Beule B, Schust M, Kersten N, Ising H. 2005. Traffic noise and risk of myocardial infarction. *Epidemiology* 16:33-40.
- Babisch W. 2006. Transportation noise and cardiovascular risk: Updated review and synthesis of epidemiological studies indicate that the evidence has increased. *Noise Health* 8:1-29.
- Babisch W. 2008. Road traffic noise and cardiovascular risk. *Noise Health* 10:27-33.
- Babisch W. 2011. Cardiovascular effects of noise. *Noise Health* 13:201-204.
- Bauer M, Moebus S, Mohlenkamp S, Dragano N, Nonnemacher M, Fuchsluger M, et al. 2010. Urban particulate matter air pollution is associated with subclinical atherosclerosis: Results from the HNR (Heinz Nixdorf Recall) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 56:1803-1808.
- Beelen R, Hoek G, Houthuijs D, van den Brandt PA, Goldbohm RA, Fischer P, et al. 2009. The joint association of air pollution and noise from road traffic with cardiovascular mortality in a cohort study. *Occup Environ Med* 66:243-250.
- Bigert C, Gustavsson P, Hallqvist J, Hogstedt C, Lewne M, Plato N, et al. 2003. Myocardial infarction among professional drivers. *Epidemiology* 14:333-339.
- Brook RD. 2007. Why physicians who treat hypertension should know more about air pollution. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)* 9:629-635.
- Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA, 3rd, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, et al. 2010. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the american heart association. *Circulation* 121:2331-2378.
- Davies HW, Teschke K, Kennedy SM, Hodgson MR, Hertzman C, Demers PA. 2005. Occupational exposure to noise and mortality from acute myocardial infarction. *Epidemiology* 16:25-32.
- de Kloizenaar Y, Gansevoort RT, Miedema HM, de Jong PE. 2007. Hypertension and road traffic noise exposure. *J Occup Environ Med* 49:484-492.

- Devlin RB, Ghio AJ, Kehrl H, Sanders G, Cascio W. 2003. Elderly humans exposed to concentrated air pollution particles have decreased heart rate variability. *Eur Respir J Suppl* 40:76s-80s.
- Dratva J, Phuleria HC, Foraster M, Gaspoz JM, Keidel D, Künzli N, et al. 2012. Transportation noise and blood pressure in a population-based sample of adults. *Environ Health Perspect* 120:50-55.
- Duffin R, Tran CL, Clouter A, Brown DM, MacNee W, Stone V, et al. 2002. The importance of surface area and specific reactivity in the acute pulmonary inflammatory response to particles. *Annals of Occupational Hygiene* 46:242-245.
- Eriksson C, Rosenlund M, Pershagen G, Hilding A, Ostenson CG, Bluhm G. 2007. Aircraft noise and incidence of hypertension. *Epidemiology* 18:716-721.
- Fierz M, Houle C, Steigmeier P, Burtscher H. 2011. Design, calibration, and field performance of a miniature diffusion size classifier. *Aerosol Sci Technol* 45:1-10.
- Fuks K, Moebus S, Hertel S, Viehmann A, Nonnemacher M, Dragano N, et al. 2011. Long-term urban particulate air pollution, traffic noise, and arterial blood pressure. *Environ Health Perspect* 119:1706-1711.
- Gan WQ, Davies HW, Demers PA. 2011. Exposure to occupational noise and cardiovascular disease in the united states: The national health and nutrition examination survey 1999-2004. *Occup Environ Med* 68:183-190.
- Gold DR, Litonjua A, Schwartz J, Lovett E, Larson A, Nearing B, et al. 2000. Ambient pollution and heart rate variability. *Circulation* 101:1267-1273.
- Gong H, Jr., Linn WS, Terrell SL, Clark KW, Geller MD, Anderson KR, et al. 2004. Altered heart-rate variability in asthmatic and healthy volunteers exposed to concentrated ambient coarse particles. *Inhal Toxicol* 16:335-343.
- He F, Shaffer ML, Li X, Rodriguez-Colon S, Wolbrette DL, Williams R, et al. 2011. Individual-level PM_{2.5} exposure and the time course of impaired heart rate variability: The APACR study. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 21:65-73.
- Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Goldbohm S, Fischer P, van den Brandt PA. 2002. Association between mortality and indicators of traffic-related air pollution in the Netherlands: A cohort study. *Lancet* 360:1203-1209.

- Huang J, Deng F, Wu S, Lu H, Hao Y, Guo X. 2013. The impacts of short-term exposure to noise and traffic-related air pollution on heart rate variability in young healthy adults. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 23:559-564.
- Huss A, Spoerri A, Egger M, Roosli M, Swiss National Cohort Study G. 2010. Aircraft noise, air pollution, and mortality from myocardial infarction. *Epidemiology* 21:829-836.
- Janssen NAH, Schwartz J, Zanobetti A, Suh HH. 2002. Air conditioning and source-specific particles as modifiers of the effect of PM10 on hospital admissions for heart and lung disease. *Environ Health Perspect* 110:43-49.
- Jarup L, Babisch W, Houthuijs D, Pershagen G, Katsouyanni K, Cadum E, et al. 2008. Hypertension and exposure to noise near airports: The HYENA study. *Environ Health Perspect* 116:329-333.
- Johnson BD, Kip KE, Marroquin OC, Ridker PM, Kelsey SF, Shaw LJ, et al. 2004. Serum amyloid A as a predictor of coronary artery disease and cardiovascular outcome in women: The national heart, lung, and blood institute-sponsored women's ischemia syndrome evaluation (WISE). *Circulation* 109:726-732.
- Kooter IM, Gerlofs-Nijland ME, Boere AJ, Leseman DL, Fokkens PH, Spronk HM, et al. 2010. Diesel engine exhaust initiates a sequence of pulmonary and cardiovascular effects in rats. *J Toxicol* 2010:206057.
- Kraus U, Schneider A, Breitner S, Hampel R, Ruckerl R, Pitz M, et al. 2013. Individual daytime noise exposure during routine activities and heart rate variability in adults: A repeated measures study. *Environ Health Perspect* 121:607-612.
- Künzli N, Jerrett M, Garcia-Esteban R, Basagana X, Beckermann B, Gilliland F, et al. 2010. Ambient air pollution and the progression of atherosclerosis in adults. *PLoS One* 5:e9096.
- Liao D, Creason J, Shy C, Williams R, Watts R, Zweidinger R. 1999. Daily variation of particulate air pollution and poor cardiac autonomic control in the elderly. *Environ Health Perspect* 107:521-525.
- Magari SR, Hauser R, Schwartz J, Williams PL, Smith TJ, Christiani DC. 2001. Association of heart rate variability with occupational and environmental exposure to particulate air pollution. *Circulation* 104:986-991.

- Malik M. 1996. Heart rate variability. Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use. Task force of the european society of cardiology and the north american society of pacing and electrophysiology. *Eur Heart J* 17:354-381.
- Meier R, Cascio WE, Danuser B, Riediker M. 2013a. Exposure of highway maintenance workers to fine particulate matter and noise. *Ann Occup Hyg* 57:992-1004.
- Meier R, Clark K, Riediker M. 2013b. Comparative testing of a miniature diffusion size classifier to assess airborne ultrafine particles under field conditions. *Aerosol Sci Tech* 47:22-28.
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, et al. 2005. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 26:319-338.
- Peters A, Frohlich M, Doring A, Immervoll T, Wichmann HE, Hutchinson WL, et al. 2001. Particulate air pollution is associated with an acute phase response in men; results from the MONICA-Augsburg study. *Eur Heart J* 22:1198-1204.
- Peters A, Von Klot S, Heier M, Trentinaglia I, Hormann A, Wichmann HE, et al. 2004. Exposure to traffic and the onset of myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 351:1721-1730.
- Pieters N, Plusquin M, Cox B, Kicinski M, Vangronsveld J, Nawrot TS. 2012. An epidemiological appraisal of the association between heart rate variability and particulate air pollution: A meta-analysis. *Heart* 98:1127-1135.
- Pope CA, 3rd, Verrier RL, Lovett EG, Larson AC, Raizenne ME, Kanner RE, et al. 1999. Heart rate variability associated with particulate air pollution. *Am Heart J* 138:890-899.
- Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Rifai N. 2000. C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. *N Engl J Med* 342:836-843.
- Riediker M, Cascio WE, Griggs TR, Herbst MC, Bromberg PA, Neas L, et al. 2004. Particulate matter exposure in cars is associated with cardiovascular effects in healthy young men. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 169:934-940.
- Rioux CL, Tucker KL, Mwamburi M, Gute DM, Cohen SA, Brugge D. 2010. Residential traffic exposure, pulse pressure, and C-reactive protein: Consistency and contrast among exposure characterization methods. *Environ Health Perspect* 118:803-811.

- Samet JM, Rappold A, Graff D, Cascio WE, Berntsen JH, Huang YCT, et al. 2009. Concentrated ambient ultrafine particle exposure induces cardiac changes in young healthy volunteers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 179:1034-1042.
- Schiller B, Hammer J, Barben J, Trachsel D. 2009. Comparability of a hand-held nitric oxide analyser with online and offline chemiluminescence-based nitric oxide measurement. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 20:679-685.
- Schwartz J. 1999. Air pollution and hospital admissions for heart disease in eight U.S. counties. *Epidemiology* 10:17-22.
- Schwartz J, Laden F, Zanobetti A. 2002. The concentration-response relation between PM_{2.5} and daily deaths. *Environ Health Perspect* 110:1025-1029.
- Selander J, Nilsson ME, Bluhm G, Rosenlund M, Lindqvist M, Nise G, et al. 2009. Long-term exposure to road traffic noise and myocardial infarction. *Epidemiology* 20:272-279.
- Timonen KL, Vanninen E, de Hartog J, Ibaldo-Mulli A, Brunekreef B, Gold DR, et al. 2006. Effects of ultrafine and fine particulate and gaseous air pollution on cardiac autonomic control in subjects with coronary artery disease: The ULTRA study. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 16:332-341.
- Tomei G, Fioravanti M, Cerratti D, Sancini A, Tomao E, Rosati MV, et al. 2010. Occupational exposure to noise and the cardiovascular system: A meta-analysis. *Sci Total Environ* 408:681-689.
- van Kempen E, Babisch W. 2012. The quantitative relationship between road traffic noise and hypertension: A meta-analysis. *J Hypertens* 30:1075-1086.
- van Kempen EE, Kruize H, Boshuizen HC, Ameling CB, Staatsen BA, de Hollander AE. 2002. The association between noise exposure and blood pressure and ischemic heart disease: A meta-analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* 110:307-317.
- Weichenthal S, Kulka R, Dubeau A, Martin C, Wang D, Dales R. 2011. Traffic-related air pollution and acute changes in heart rate variability and respiratory function in urban cyclists. *Environ Health Perspect* 119:1373-1378.
- Wu S, Deng F, Niu J, Huang Q, Liu Y, Guo X. 2010. Association of heart rate variability in taxi drivers with marked changes in particulate air pollution in Beijing in 2008. *Environ Health Perspect* 118:87-91.

Yeatts K, Svendsen E, Creason J, Alexis N, Herbst M, Scott J, et al. 2007. Coarse particulate matter PM_{2.5-10} affects heart rate variability, blood lipids, and circulating eosinophils in adults with asthma. *Environ Health Perspect* 115:709-714.

Table 1: Summary statistics of exposure parameters used to assess associations between exposure and health outcomes.

Exposure	Mean ± SD	Min	Max	# of observations^a
PM _{2.5} Realtime work (µg/m ³)	65.7 ± 69.9	7.3	347.8	77
PM _{2.5} Realtime after work ^b (µg/m ³)	22.9 ± 19.5	0.2	81.3	75
PM _{2.5} Mass work (µg/m ³)	56.1 ± 39.0	20.3	186.9	48
UFP PNC work (particles/cm ³)	75,699 ± 81,761	15,524	331,683	48
UFP LDSA ^c work (µm ² /cm ³)	111.6 ± 86.8	31.5	385.5	48
L _{eq} work (dB[A]) ^d	81.0 ± 3.6	73.3	91.6	77
L _{eq} after work (dB[A])	65.8 ± 5.8	56.4	85.0	77

^aNumber of total personal exposure assessments (PM_{2.5}Realtime and L_{eq}) and total exposure assessment at work site (PM_{2.5}Mass, UFP PNC and UFP LDSA). Exposure measured at work site was assigned to two subjects when two co-located subjects were measured during the same work shift. Due to missing health data not all observations were used in all models: a total of 77 observations were used for blood pressure models, 73 observations for HRV models and 71 observations for blood marker models. ^bPM_{2.5}Realtime measurements after work have only been used in sensitivity analyses and not for the reported associations. ^cLung-deposited surface area of ultrafine particles. ^dL_{eq} over full work shift corrected for the use of ear protection.

Table 2: Summary statistics of health endpoints used to assess associations between exposure and health outcomes.

Outcome	Mean \pm SD	Min	Max	# of observations
Blood pressure				
Systolic BP (mmHg)	122.2 \pm 13.4	95.0	154.0	77
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	78.1 \pm 8.6	62.0	102.0	77
Pro-inflammatory and pro-thrombotic blood markers				
Serum IL-6 (ng/l)	0.54 \pm 0.29	0.17	1.46	71
Serum TNFa (ng/l)	3.79 \pm 1.00	1.16	6.52	71
Serum CRP (mg/l)	2.09 \pm 1.64	0.19	7.24	71
Serum SAA (mg/l)	4.63 \pm 4.01	0.85	17.53	71
Plasma vWF (%)	109.22 \pm 39.57	37.40	207.00	70
Plasma Tissue Factor (ng/l)	74.84 \pm 29.77	30.90	177.90	70
Heart rate variability parameters				
Mean HR (beats/min)	54.4 \pm 7.7	42.0	79.0	73
SDNN (ms)	82.7 \pm 46.9	25.0	226.0	73
pNN50 (%)	28.7 \pm 24.8	0.0	87.0	73
RMSSD (ms)	73.8 \pm 66.1	8.0	279.0	73
High frequency power (ms ²)	3,325 \pm 6,261	23	32,544	73
Low frequency power (ms ²)	3,041 \pm 2,921	220	14,790	73
Ratio HF/LF (-)	2.9 \pm 2.5	0.2	11.3	73
Lung parameters				
FeNO (ppb)	18.6 \pm 6.3	7.4	38.7	77
FVC (litre)	4.8 \pm 0.5	3.7	6.1	77
FEV1 (litre)	3.8 \pm 0.4	2.8	4.7	77

Blood pressure, blood markers and lung parameters were assessed in the morning after the day of exposure assessment. HRV was assessed during a sleep period approximately 10 hours post-work.

Table 3: Associations of particle exposures during work and pro-inflammatory and pro-thrombotic markers in the blood [percentage differences (95% CI)]^a.

Outcome	PM_{2.5}Realtime	PM_{2.5}Mass	LDSA
IL-6	-1.18 (-2.60, 0.26)	-1.52 (-3.98, 1.00)	-0.65 (-1.98, 0.70)
TNF α	-0.25 (-0.58, 0.08)	-0.60 (-1.15, -0.04)	0.02 (-0.31, 0.35)
CRP	1.97 (-0.62, 4.62)	5.56 (1.05, 10.27)	1.38 (-0.88, 3.70)
SAA	1.23 (-0.79, 3.29)	3.56 (0.04, 7.21)	1.00 (-0.88, 2.91)
vWF	0.30 (-0.55, 1.15)	0.41 (-1.06, 1.88)	0.17 (-0.66, 0.99)
Tissue factor	-0.96 (-2.24, 0.32)	-0.56 (-2.80, 1.69)	-0.84 (-2.05, 0.37)

LDSA: Lung-deposited surface area of UFP

^aEstimates from linear mixed-effect regression models with subject-specific random intercepts to account for repeated observations. All models were adjusted for noise exposure at work, noise exposure after work, age, and BMI. Point estimates represent estimated percentage changes in ln-transformed outcomes with a 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in for PM_{2.5}Realtime and PM_{2.5}Mass, and a 10- $\mu\text{g}^2/\text{cm}^3$ increase in LDSA. Percent changes of TNF α , vWF and Tissue factor, which have not been ln-transformed were calculated in reference to the mean.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Mutually adjusted associations of particle exposures (panel a: $PM_{2.5Realtime}$, panel b: $PM_{2.5Mass}$, panel c: UFP LDSA), noise exposure during work, and noise exposure after work with heart rate variability (HRV measured during a sleep period approximately 10 hours post-work). Estimates from linear mixed-effect regression models with subject-specific random intercepts to account for repeated observations. All models have been adjusted for age and BMI. Percentage change of pNN50, which has not been ln-transformed, was calculated in reference to the mean.

Figure 2: Mutually adjusted associations of particle exposures (panel a: $PM_{2.5Realtime}$, panel b: $PM_{2.5Mass}$, panel c: UFP LDSA), noise exposure during work, and noise exposure after work with systolic and diastolic blood pressure measured in the morning approximately 15 hours post work. Estimates from linear mixed-effect regression models with subject-specific random intercepts to account for repeated observations. All models have been adjusted for age and BMI. Percentage changes were calculated in reference to the mean blood pressure.

Figure 1.




Figure 2.

