

**Daily Mean Temperature and Clinical Kidney Stone
Presentation in Five U.S. Metropolitan Areas:
A Time-Series Analysis**

**Gregory E. Tasian, Jose E. Pulido, Antonio Gasparrini,
Christopher S. Saigal, Benjamin P. Horton, J. Richard Landis,
Rodger Madison, and Ron Keren for the Urologic Diseases in
America Project**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307703>

Received: 26 September 2013

Accepted: 11 June 2014

Advance Publication: 10 July 2014

Daily Mean Temperature and Clinical Kidney Stone Presentation in Five U.S. Metropolitan Areas: A Time-Series Analysis

Gregory E. Tasian,^{1,2,3} Jose E. Pulido,² Antonio Gasparini,⁴ Christopher S. Saigal,^{5,6} Benjamin P. Horton,^{7,8} J. Richard Landis,⁹ Rodger Madison,⁶ and Ron Keren^{3,9,10} for the Urologic Diseases in America Project

¹Department of Surgery, Division of Urology, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ²Perelman School of Medicine at The University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ³Center for Pediatric Clinical Effectiveness, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ⁴Department of Medical Statistics, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, England;

⁵Department of Urology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California;

⁶RAND Corporation; Santa Monica, California, USA; ⁷Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences, Rutgers University; New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA; ⁸Division of Earth Sciences and Earth Observatory of Singapore, Nanyang Technological University, 639798, Singapore;

⁹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Perelman School of Medicine at The University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ¹⁰Department of Pediatrics The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Address correspondence to Gregory E. Tasian, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 34th Street and Civic Center Blvd., Department of Surgery, Division of Urology, 3rd Floor - Wood Center, Philadelphia, PA 19104-4399 USA. Telephone: 215.590.0317. Fax: 215.590.3985. E-mail: tasiang@chop.edu

Running title: Daily temperature and kidney stone presentation

Acknowledgments: Gregory Tasian is supported by NIH grant T32HD060550. Antonio Gasparri is supported by a Methodology Research fellowship by Medical Research Council-UK (G1002296). This analysis was funded by grant N01-DK70003 from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. The National Institutes of Health provided financial support for data management and analysis, but had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official view of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Dr. Tasian had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors made the decision to submit for publication.

Competing financial interests: No authors had any competing financial interests. The study sponsors provided financial support for data management and analysis, but had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

Abstract

Background: High ambient temperatures are a risk factor for nephrolithiasis, but the precise relationship between temperature and kidney stone presentation is unknown.

Objectives: Our objective was to estimate associations between mean daily temperature and kidney stone presentation according to lag time and temperatures.

Methods: Using a time series design and distributed lag non-linear models, we estimated the relative risk (RR) of kidney stone presentation associated with mean daily temperatures, including cumulative RR for a 20-day period, and RR for individual daily lags through 20 days. Our analysis used MarketScan data for 60,433 patients who presented for evaluation or treatment of kidney stones from 2005–2011 in Atlanta, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, and Philadelphia.

Results: Associations between mean daily temperature and kidney stone presentation were not monotonic, and there was variation in the exposure-response curve shapes and the strength of associations at different temperatures. However, in most cases RRs increased for temperatures above the reference value of 10°C. The cumulative RR for a daily mean temperature of 30°C versus 10°C was 1.38 in Atlanta (95% CI: 1.07, 1.79), 1.37 in Chicago (95% CI: 1.07, 1.76), 1.36 in Dallas (95% CI: 1.10, 1.69), 1.11 in Los Angeles (95% CI: 0.73, 1.68), and 1.47 in Philadelphia (95% CI: 1.00, 2.17). Kidney stone presentations also were positively associated with temperatures < 2°C in Atlanta, and < 10°C in Chicago and Philadelphia. In 4 cities, the strongest association between kidney stone presentation and a daily mean temperature of 30 versus 10°C was estimated for lags ≤ 3 days.

Conclusions: In general, kidney stone presentations increased with higher daily mean temperatures, with the strongest associations estimated for lags of only a few days. These findings further support an adverse effect of high temperatures on nephrolithiasis.

Introduction

Nephrolithiasis (kidney stones) is a painful condition that recurs in 50% of patients (Johnson et al. 1979) and is associated with kidney function loss, including end stage renal disease (Alexander et al. 2012). The prevalence of nephrolithiasis has increased markedly in Europe, Asia, and the Americas over the last three decades (Romero et al. 2010).

The etiology of kidney stones is multifactorial, but one important risk factor is high ambient temperature. Observed geographic and seasonal differences in nephrolithiasis rates (Curtin and Sampson 1989; Fakheri and Goldfarb 2009; Soucie et al. 1996) and urinary calcium and oxalate excretion (Elomaa et al. 1982) implicate high ambient temperature in the causal pathway (Fakheri and Goldfarb 2011). High ambient temperatures cause water loss, urinary concentration, and low urine volume and pH. This increases the relative supersaturation of calcium and uric acid, which thereby promotes nucleation, growth, and aggregation of lithogenic minerals in urine (Masterson et al. 2013; Parks et al. 2003). Consistent with this mechanism, previous studies suggested that high environmental temperatures are associated with short-term increases in the risk of nephrolithiasis (Boscolo-Berto et al. 2008; Evans and Costabile 2005; Fletcher et al. 2012). However, these studies were limited by assessment of temperatures only in summer or extreme conditions (Evans and Costabile 2005; Fletcher et al. 2012), inclusion of subjects from similar geographic areas (Boscolo-Berto et al. 2008; Evans and Costabile 2005; Fletcher et al. 2012) or selected patients admitted to the hospital (Fletcher et al. 2012), and assessment of lag periods between exposure and outcome that may have missed significant delayed associations between high daily temperatures and kidney stone presentation (Boscolo-Berto et al. 2008; Fletcher et al. 2012). The precise relationship between temperature and nephrolithiasis thus remains uncertain.

By 2100, global average temperatures are estimated to increase by 1°C to 4.5°C due to increases in greenhouse gas emissions (Meinshausen et al. 2011; Solomon et al. 2007). With the continued threat of climate change, it is important to quantify the impact of temperature on nephrolithiasis. High daily temperatures increase the risk of cardiopulmonary death (Guo et al. 2011), cardiovascular death (Armstrong 2006; Smoyer et al. 2000), and acute renal failure (Fletcher et al. 2012). It is possible that a similar relationship exists for kidney stones.

Our objective was to define the overall cumulative exposure-response and the lag-response relationships between daily temperatures and kidney stone presentation in five major American cities with diverse climates.

Methods

Data sources

This study was conducted among an insured population using the MarketScan Commercial Claims database (Truven Health Analytics; <http://truvenhealth.com/your-healthcare-focus/Life-Sciences/MarketScan-Databases-and-Online-Tools>). MarketScan contains claims data from 95 million unique patients since 2005 enrolled in more than 100 non-governmental health insurance plans in all states. All data is de-identified and each enrollee is assigned a unique identifier. The databases contain demographic information such as age and sex, but not race, dates of services, International Classification of Disease-9 (ICD-9) codes, and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes. Data of the enrollee's geographic location are available at the 3-digit zip code and metropolitan statistical area (MSA) level. The study was exempt from institutional review board review per Department of Health and Human Services regulation 45 CFR 46.101, category 4.

Weather data were obtained from the National Weather Service United States Air Force-Navy weather stations (National Climatic Data Center). We determined the mean, minimum, and maximum daily (24-hour) temperatures and the mean daily relative humidity by averaging the hourly recordings for all weather stations within each city. Stations with missing hourly data were excluded from that day's values.

Study population

The eligible population comprised adults and children living in the MSAs of Atlanta, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, and Philadelphia between 2005 and 2011. These cities represent climate zones in which 30% of the world population lives (Mellinger et al. 1999). Atlanta, Dallas, and Philadelphia have humid subtropical climates with hot summers and mild to cool winters. Chicago has a continental climate with hot summers and cold winters. Los Angeles has a Mediterranean climate with mild temperatures year round (Peel et al. 2007).

Case ascertainment

The outcome was kidney stone presentation, defined as a surgical procedure, hospital admission, and/or at least two emergency room or outpatient clinic visits less than 180 days apart for a primary diagnosis of nephrolithiasis using ICD-9 and CPT defined by the Urologic Disease in America Project (Litwin and Saigal 2012). The date of stone presentation was the earliest date of service associated with the nephrolithiasis claim(s) as defined above. Outcomes among unique individuals with more than one presentation for kidney stones during the study period were limited to the earliest occurrence. Individual data were aggregated at the MSA level into daily series of kidney stones counts for the period 2005 to 2011.

Statistical analysis

We performed a time series study using distributed lag non-linear models (DLNMs) to estimate the relationship between mean daily temperature and kidney stone presentation. Originally developed to evaluate the relationship between temperature and mortality, DLNMs are statistical models that describe associations between exposures and outcomes with potentially non-linear and delayed effects in time series data (Armstrong 2006; Gasparrini et al. 2010). We evaluated two aspects of the association between temperature and kidney stone presentation. First, we estimated the relative risk (RR) of kidney stone presentation in association with daily mean temperatures for each day during a 20-day period after the temperature exposure (lag-response). RRs were estimated over the distribution of mean daily temperatures for each MSA relative to a mean daily temperature of 10°C, a moderate temperature that occurred in each of the study locations. Second, we summed the estimated risks for each lag day to estimate the cumulative RR for kidney stone presentation in association with daily mean temperatures during the 20-day period following temperature exposure (cumulative exposure-response). We used a 20-day lag period based on recent evidence suggesting a short lag time between high temperatures and presentation for kidney stones (Boscolo-Berto et al. 2008; Fletcher et al. 2012).

We built Poisson regression models allowing for overdispersion for each city as follows:

$$Y_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu) = \alpha + \beta T_{t,l} + S(\text{RH}_t) + \text{DOW}_t + \text{month}_t + \text{year}_t \quad [1]$$

where t = day of observation; Y_t = observed stone counts on t ; α = intercept; l = lag days; $T_{t,l}$ = cross-basis matrix of temperature and lag; $S(\text{RH})$ = cubic spline of relative humidity; DOW_t = indicator variable for day of the week at t to control for daily fluctuations in outdoor activities; month and year = indicator variables to control for season, temperature trends, and differences in

the annual at-risk population. We included relative humidity because of its possible independent association with nephrolithiasis as has been reported in prior studies (Boscolo-Berto et al. 2008). For any given temperature, when humidity is low and the air is dry, more water is lost through the skin, thus decreasing urine volume and increasing supersaturation of calcium and uric acid in the urine.

We used natural cubic splines to smooth the relationships and capture non-linear associations between temperature and kidney stone diagnoses, and fit the same model for all five study MSAs to avoid overfitting for any particular city. We evaluated one to six knots placed at equal intervals over the range of temperatures and lag days, with the latter natural log-transformed to increase sensitivity for shorter lags. Our final model included the fewest knots needed to capture inflections in the associations and minimize the Akaike Information Criterion, specifically two knots for temperature and four for lag (at 2, 3, 4, and 7 days.). Locations of temperature knots were: Atlanta (6.7°C, 18.9°C), Chicago (-8.9°C, 6.1°C), Dallas (6.5°C, 21.4°C), Los Angeles (13.0°C, 20.6°C), and Philadelphia (3.7°C, 18.4°C). We assessed for differences in MSA mean annual temperature using analysis of variance. Tests were 2-sided, and statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. Analyses were performed with R (version 3.0.1; R Development Core Team 2013) using the *dlnm* package (Gasparrini 2011).

Sensitivity analyses

We performed several sensitivity analyses to evaluate the robustness of our results given the sensitivity of DLNMs to model choice. First, we used quadratic splines to capture non-linear effects at temperature extremes. Second, we defined temperature as minimum and maximum daily temperatures to assess if alternative definitions of temperature exposure changed the estimated associations between temperature and kidney stone presentation. Finally, we increased

the lag window to 30 days given the suggestion of longer lag times in previous reports (Boscolo-Berto et al. 2008; Evans and Costabile 2005). The number and location of spline knots for the temperature range and lag period in the models used for the sensitivity analyses with a 20-day lag window were the same as those used for the primary analysis. For models that assessed a 30-day lag, spline knots were placed at 2, 3, 5, and 10 days.

Results

Between 2005 and 2011, 60,433 patients enrolled in insurance plans contained in MarketScan presented with kidney stones in Atlanta, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, and Philadelphia (Table 1). With the exception of Atlanta and Los Angeles ($p=0.09$), mean annual temperatures of each city were different ($p < 0.001$).

Overall cumulative exposure-response

Associations between mean daily temperature and kidney stone presentation were not monotonic, and there was variation in the shape of the exposure-response curves and the strength of associations at different temperatures. However, in most cases, RRs increased for temperatures above the reference value of 10°C (Figure 1). RR for a kidney stone presentation cumulated over a 20-day period associated with a mean daily temperature of 30°C compared with 10°C were 1.38 in Atlanta (95% CI: 1.07, 1.79), 1.37 in Chicago (95% CI: 1.07, 1.76), 1.36 in Dallas (95% CI: 1.10, 1.69), 1.11 in Los Angeles (95% CI: 0.73, 1.68), and 1.47 in Philadelphia (95% CI: 1.00, 2.17). The temperatures above 10°C at which statistically significant associations were first observed varied among the cities (Table 2). Heterogeneity was also noted at the limits of the temperature ranges. In Dallas, the excess RR of kidney stone presentation stabilized at 36-39% as temperatures increased above 30°C. For Atlanta, Chicago, and

Philadelphia, the risk of kidney stone presentation increased throughout the upper temperature range of each city. Kidney stone presentations also were positively associated with temperatures $< 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ in Atlanta, and $<10^{\circ}\text{C}$ in Chicago and Philadelphia. Relative humidity was not a statistically significant predictor of the risk of kidney stone presentation (data not shown).

Lag-response

We estimated bimodal increases in the RR of stone presentation for days in which the temperature was 30°C relative to days with mean temperatures of 10°C . The strongest association between kidney stone presentation and a daily mean temperature of 30°C versus 10°C was estimated for lags ≤ 3 days and a second peak was estimated at 4 to 6 days (Figure 2). Periods of increased risk were followed immediately by days of lower risk. The RRs of kidney stone presentation following hot days at 10 to 20 days lag were heterogeneous. A trend of increased risk was found in Philadelphia from 10 – 20 days, in Atlanta and Chicago from 15 – 20 days, whereas the risk in Dallas and Los Angeles varied around the null after 10 days

Relative risk along exposure-response curve and lag

We constructed 3-dimensional graphs to demonstrate simultaneously the relationships along temperature and lag (Figure 3). Consistent trends of increasing RR of kidney stone presentation were observed within 7 days of high temperatures across cities. However, confidence intervals cannot be represented in these figures and therefore the precision of the estimates cannot be appreciated.

Sensitivity analyses

The shapes of the overall cumulative exposure-response and lag-response relationships between temperature and nephrolithiasis using quadratic splines were similar to the natural cubic splines

and did not reveal any non-linear relationships at temperature range limits. This suggests that these relationships were adequately captured by natural cubic splines, which were chosen for the main model because of their more conservative smoothing of data and the gain of a degree of freedom. The patterns of the overall cumulative exposure-response relationship and the distribution of risk across time in the lag-response estimations were consistent whether mean, maximum, or minimum daily temperatures were used. However, compared to mean daily temperature, the precision of the estimates for maximum temperatures were lower and the Akaike Information Criterion values were larger for minimum temperatures (data not shown). Increasing the lag window to 30 days also decreased the precision of the temperature-nephrolithiasis association as evidenced by the wider confidence intervals in the overall cumulative exposure-response curves (Supplemental Material, Figure S1). With a lag window of 30 days, a slight decrease in the relative risk of kidney stone presentation was observed at lags of 20 to 25 days from an 30°C day in Dallas, Los Angeles, and Philadelphia (Supplemental Material, Figure S2); however, the relative risk of nephrolithiasis over this lag sub-period was less than 3% (95% CI 1.00, 1.05).

Discussion

We observed that as daily temperatures increased above 10°C, the risk of kidney stone presentation over the next 20 days also increased in most cities. The lag between high daily temperatures and the risk of kidney stone presentation was short with the maximum risk occurring within three days of temperature exposure. Our estimations suggest that there is a graded increase in the risk of patients seeking medical care for kidney stones as average daily temperatures increase and that the time between hot days and kidney stone presentation is short.

We hypothesize that the estimated relative risks of kidney stone presentation across a range of temperatures might represent patients presenting with calcium-based kidney stones, which are the most common type of stones in the United States and other developed countries. Patients who are at risk for developing calcium stones are those with calcium apatite deposits in the basement membranes of the thin loops of Henle (Randall's plaques) or in the collecting ducts of the nephron. The rate at which calcium apatite deposits form is currently unknown, but it is likely these deposits build up over months to years and once they ultimately erode through the urothelium, serve as the nidus for stone formation within the urinary space (Evan et al. 2003; Evan et al. 2007). Dehydration, the proposed causal mechanism through which high temperatures would act, increases the supersaturation of calcium and uric acid, thus promoting calcium stone formation on the apatite deposits. Modeling and laboratory experiments suggest stones grow over the span of hours in the proper urinary environment and that growth rates are dramatically increased by increasing urinary supersaturation of calcium (Borissova et al. 2010; Costa-Bauza et al. 2005). An alternative hypothesis is that temperature extremes may cause patients with a diverse group of conditions to present for medical care and the risks we estimated are not unique to kidney stones.

Overall cumulative exposure-response

The association between higher daily temperatures and an increased risk of kidney stone presentation was generally consistent among different cities. The relative risk of nephrolithiasis began to rise significantly at warm temperatures (e.g. 24 to 26°C) in Atlanta, Chicago, and Dallas, and continued to increase as temperatures rose. At 30°C in Atlanta, Chicago, and Dallas, which had the highest number of kidney stone events and hence the most precise estimates, the relative risk of a kidney stone presentation varied by only three percentage points, and was 36 to

39% higher than at 10°C. There were, however, some differences among the cities. The risk of stone presentation was 47% higher at 30°C than at 10°C in Philadelphia, which had the fewest number of patients and thus less precise estimates. In Los Angeles, the relative risk of kidney stone presentation increased between 10°C and 15°C, but did not reach statistical significance, and did not rise further after 15°C.

Our estimates suggest a possible ceiling effect in Dallas, where a 36 to 39% excess risk of nephrolithiasis was estimated as temperatures rose above 30°C. One explanation for the ceiling effect is that the population adapts to the local climate: at high temperatures in developed cities, people spend more time indoors and increase their fluid intake, thus mitigating the effect of ambient temperature extremes. It is also possible the association flattened off because it was constrained to do so by the model. We caution against over-interpreting the associations we estimated for temperature extremes in part because we used cubic splines in our models to smooth data, which are constrained to be linear at the extremes of temperatures where data are sparse.

An increased risk of kidney stone presentation was estimated for cold temperatures in Atlanta, Chicago, and Philadelphia. To our knowledge, an association between very cold temperatures and kidney stones has been reported in only one prior study. In this study conducted in Norway, which has cold winters and mild summers, renal colic occurred more frequently in winter months than in summer months (Laerum 1983). Similar to high heat, people likely adapt to very cold temperatures by spending more time inside and thus have a risk of nephrolithiasis associated with higher indoor temperatures. An ancillary explanation is that cold weather is associated with behaviors that increase the risk of nephrolithiasis such as the consumption of stone-promoting foods and beverages or decreased fluid intake.

In the only other study that examined the exposure-response relationship between daily temperatures and nephrolithiasis of which we are aware, Fletcher *et al.* estimated a 6% increase in the odds of hospital admission for nephrolithiasis for each 2.8°C increase in daily summer temperatures (Fletcher et al. 2012). This equates to a 42% higher odds of kidney stone presentation at 30°C relative to 10°C, which is similar to the relative risks we estimated in our analysis, which included different geographic areas and spanned all seasons. Although the present study was performed in the United States, the cities included in the analysis have climates that are representative of those found throughout the world. Given the increase of nephrolithiasis globally (Romero et al. 2010) and the increase in global temperatures (Marcott et al. 2013), more studies are needed to estimate the association between temperature and kidney stones in other countries. We hypothesize that the association between daily temperature and kidney stone presentations is similar in different regions of the world, as it is with temperature and mortality (Armstrong 2006; Gasparrini et al. 2012; Guo et al. 2011).

Lag-response

The lag between high temperatures and kidney stone presentation was short with the maximum risk occurring within three days of exposure and persistence of a mildly elevated RR at 10 to 20 days in Philadelphia. The lag-response we observed is strikingly similar to Fletcher's case-crossover study in which the maximum odds for admission for kidney stones following high daily temperatures also was observed at 3 days (Fletcher et al. 2012). Although lag-response was not directly measured, Boscolo-Berto *et al.* estimated the correlation between the average temperatures of the 15, 30, 45, and 60 days preceding presentation of patients with renal colic in northern Italy (Boscolo-Berto et al. 2008). Renal colic was most strongly correlated with temperatures over the preceding 15 days. Finally, Evans *et al.* noted that the mean time to

symptomatic stone occurrence, defined by the period of time between arrival of soldiers in the Kuwait desert and presentation with a symptomatic stone, was 93 days (Evans and Costabile 2005). Although, as concluded by the authors, these results appear to support a longer time interval between exposure to hot temperatures and symptomatic kidney stone presentation, closer analysis suggests the lag-response is consistent with our observations. Troops were deployed in March when average daily temperatures in Kuwait were 20°C. Few symptomatic stones were observed until the end of May when daily temperatures approached 35°C, and the highest incidence of stones was noted in June through August when average daily temperatures were 36 to 38°C. Although the RR of kidney stone presentation associated with daily temperatures we estimated do not prove causation, they are consistent with the few reports on the short lag between temperature exposure and kidney stone presentation (Boscolo-Berto et al. 2008; Evans and Costabile 2005; Fletcher et al. 2012) and raise questions about the rate at which kidney stones might develop *in vivo*.

Within 7-10 days following temperature exposure, we observed that increases in the relative risk of kidney stone presentation were immediately followed by decreases in relative risk. We attribute this phenomenon, which has been observed in the association between temperature and mortality (Armstrong 2006; Guo et al. 2011), to “harvesting” of nephrolithiasis cases in subjects who would have formed stones at some future time, but for whom heat caused the event to occur earlier. We hypothesize that harvesting of susceptible cases reflects the causal mechanism of stone formation and explains the short lag between hot days and stone presentation. In patients who are predisposed to stone formation (*e.g.* those with Randall’s plaques) low urinary volume resulting from sweating on hot days may result in spontaneous stone nucleation and/or cause small asymptomatic stones to grow large enough to become symptomatic.

Limitations

We acknowledge this study's limitations. First, we do not know if the association between high daily temperatures and kidney stone presentation reflects the time of stone formation or the detachment of previously formed stones from the urothelium. Additionally, because this study included only subjects who formed stones, the association we observed between temperature and nephrolithiasis only applies to those who are at risk for stone formation, such as those with Randall's plaques or calcium apatite deposits in the collecting duct of the nephron.

Second, misclassification of exposure is possible. Temperatures may vary within an MSA and we do not know which subjects had access to resources that lessen the effect of heat and cold. Access to air conditioning should bias our estimates of association at high temperatures towards the null. Additionally, commercially insured patients are less likely to work in jobs that require exposure to ambient temperatures (Park 2000), which should also bias our estimates towards a null association.

An advantage of time series designs is that factors that are constant in the short time frame of the exposure-response (e.g. race, gender, age) should not confound the association between temperature and the measured outcome (Gasparri and Armstrong 2010). However, it is possible the effect of temperature on nephrolithiasis may differ in certain patient subgroups. For example, the young and old may be more sensitive to heat, and the effect of heat on urine chemistries and volume may differ between males and females (Parks et al. 2003). However, stratification or restricting the analyses to particular patient characteristics was not reasonable because of the reduction in power that would result from the much smaller sample size. Further studies to determine whether, race, age, or sex modifies the effect of temperature will help elucidate particular groups of patients particularly vulnerable to heat-mediated nephrolithiasis.

Implications

Global temperatures between 2000 and 2009 were warmer than 82% of temperatures over the last 11,300 years (Marcott et al. 2013). Continued greenhouse gas emissions are projected to further increase global average temperatures by 1°C to 4.5°C during the twenty-first century (Meinshausen et al. 2011; Solomon et al. 2007). In 2008, Brikowski *et al.* predicted how the geographic distribution and prevalence of nephrolithiasis would change in the United States due to temperature increases caused by greenhouse gas emissions. In these theoretical models, Brikowski estimated that 1.6-2.2 million new cases of nephrolithiasis will result from increases in mean annual temperatures by 2050 and that >70% of the United States population would live in “high-risk” zones for nephrolithiasis by 2095 (Brikowski et al. 2008). However, these projections relied on speculative models of temperature dependence of stone risk and used mean annual temperature to define temperature exposure. This study provides an empiric model of the temperature dependence of kidney stone presentation in diverse geographic areas with different climates.

Our observations of the association between hot days and kidney stone presentation also call into question whether mean annual temperature is the most appropriate metric of temperature exposure for predicting the future distribution and prevalence of nephrolithiasis under different climate change scenarios. Mean annual temperature has been used universally to explain geographic differences in nephrolithiasis prevalence (Chen et al. 2000; Soucie et al. 1996). However, we believe mean annual temperature is an oversimplification of temperature exposure because it does not accurately reflect the proportion of hot days experienced by a population. Our observations of the prevalence of nephrolithiasis and mean annual temperature in Atlanta and Los Angeles illustrate this concept. The prevalence of nephrolithiasis in Atlanta was almost two

times greater than Los Angeles, but the mean annual temperature of both Atlanta and Los Angeles was 17°C. However, Atlanta, on average, had 53 days each year with mean 24 hour temperatures greater than 27°C, whereas Los Angeles had only 10. This suggests that mean annual temperature inadequately reflects the heat experienced by a population. Due to the association between high daily temperatures and kidney stone presentation we observed, we propose that the number of hot days (e.g. above 30°C) in a given year is a better predictor of the prevalence of nephrolithiasis than mean annual temperature. Future studies are needed to validate our findings in the general population and to determine the best definition of high ambient temperature exposure as it relates to the prevalence of nephrolithiasis.

Conclusions

In general, kidney stone presentations increased with higher daily mean temperatures, with the strongest associations estimated for lags of only a few days. These findings further support an adverse effect of high temperatures on nephrolithiasis. Given the threat of climate change and the increasing prevalence of nephrolithiasis globally, more studies are needed to determine how daily temperatures affect the risk of nephrolithiasis in different populations, and what genetic, behavioral, and environmental factors modify its effect.

References

- Alexander RT, Hemmelgarn BR, Wiebe N, Bello A, Morgan C, Samuel S, et al. 2012. Kidney stones and kidney function loss: A cohort study. *BMJ* 345:e5287.
- Armstrong B. 2006. Models for the relationship between ambient temperature and daily mortality. *Epidemiology* 17:624-631.
- Borissova A, Goltz GE, Kavanagh JP, Wilkins TA. 2010. Reverse engineering the kidney: Modelling calcium oxalate monohydrate crystallization in the nephron. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 48:649-659.
- Boscolo-Berto R, Dal Moro F, Abate A, Arandjelovic G, Tosato F, Bassi P. 2008. Do weather conditions influence the onset of renal colic? A novel approach to analysis. *Urol Int* 80:19-25.
- Brikowski TH, Lotan Y, Pearle MS. 2008. Climate-related increase in the prevalence of urolithiasis in the United States. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105:9841-9846.
- Chen YY, Roseman JM, Devivo MJ, Huang CT. 2000. Geographic variation and environmental risk factors for the incidence of initial kidney stones in patients with spinal cord injury. *J Urol* 164:21-26.
- Costa-Bauza A, Perello J, Isern B, Grases F. 2005. An experimental study on residual lithiasis after shock wave lithotripsy. *Urol Res* 33:51-56.
- Curtin J and Sampson M. 1989. Greenhouse effect and renal calculi. *Lancet* 2:1110.
- Elomaa I, Karonen SL, Kairento AL, Pelkonen R. 1982. Seasonal variation of urinary calcium and oxalate excretion, serum 25(OH)D3 and albumin level in relation to renal stone formation. *Scandinavian journal of urology and nephrology* 16:155-161.
- Evan AP, Lingeman JE, Coe FL, Parks JH, Bledsoe SB, Shao Y, et al. 2003. Randall's plaque of patients with nephrolithiasis begins in basement membranes of thin loops of Henle. *J Clin Invest* 111:607-616.
- Evan AP, Coe FL, Lingeman JE, Shao Y, Sommer AJ, Bledsoe SB, et al. 2007. Mechanism of formation of human calcium oxalate renal stones on Randall's plaque. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 290:1315-1323.
- Evans K and Costabile RA. 2005. Time to development of symptomatic urinary calculi in a high risk environment. *J Urol* 173:858-861.

- Fakheri RJ and Goldfarb DS. 2009. Association of nephrolithiasis prevalence rates with ambient temperature in the United States: A re-analysis. *Kidney Int* 76:798.
- Fakheri RJ and Goldfarb DS. 2011. Ambient temperature as a contributor to kidney stone formation: Implications of global warming. *Kidney International* 79:1178-1185.
- Fletcher BA, Lin S, Fitzgerald EF, Hwang SA. 2012. Association of summer temperatures with hospital admissions for renal diseases in New York state: A case-crossover study. *Am J Epidemiol* 175:907-916.
- Gasparrini A and Armstrong B. 2010. Time series analysis on the health effects of temperature: Advancements and limitations. *Environ Res* 110:633-638.
- Gasparrini A, Armstrong B, Kenward MG. 2010. Distributed lag non-linear models. *Stat Med* 29:2224-2234.
- Gasparrini A. 2011. Distributed lag linear and non-linear models in R: The package dlnm. *J Stat Softw* 43:1-20.
- Gasparrini A, Armstrong B, Kenward MG. 2012. Multivariate meta-analysis for non-linear and other multi-parameter associations. *Statistics in Medicine* 31:3821-3839.
- Guo Y, Barnett AG, Pan X, Yu W, Tong S. 2011. The impact of temperature on mortality in Tianjin, China: A case-crossover design with a distributed lag nonlinear model. *Environ Health Perspect* 119:1719-1725.
- Johnson CM, Wilson DM, O'Fallon WM, Malek RS, Kurland LT. 1979. Renal stone epidemiology: A 25-year study in Rochester, Minnesota. *Kidney Int* 16:624-631.
- Laerum E. 1983. Urolithiasis in general practice. An epidemiological study from a Norwegian district. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 17:313-319.
- Litwin M and Saigal C. 2012. Urinary tract stones. Table 9-1. Washington, DC.
<http://www.udaonline.net/pdf-compendium/v2012>
- Marcott SA, Shakun JD, Clark PU, Mix AC. 2013. A reconstruction of regional and global temperature for the past 11,300 years. *Science* 339:1198-1201.
- Masterson JH, Jourdain VJ, Collard DA, Choe CH, Christman MS, L'Esperance JO, et al. 2013. Changes in urine parameters after desert exposure: Assessment of stone risk in United States Marines transiently exposed to a desert environment. *J Urol* 189:165-170.

- Meinshausen M, Smith SJ, Calvin K, Daniel JS, Kainuma MLT, Lamarque J-F, et al. 2011. The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300. *Climate Change* 109:213 - 241.
- Mellinger AD, Sachs JD, Gallup JL. 1999. Climate, water navigability and economic development: Center for International Development at Harvard University. http://www.hks.harvard.edu/var/ezp_site/storage/fckeditor/file/pdfs/centers-programs/centers/cid/publications/faculty/wp/024.pdf.
- Park CH. 2000. Prevalence of employer self-insured health benefits: National and state variation. *Med Care Res Rev* 57:340-360.
- Parks JH, Barsky R, Coe FL. 2003. Gender differences in seasonal variation of urine stone risk factors. *J Urol* 170:384-388.
- Peel MC, Finlayson BL, McMahon TA. 2007. Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 11:1633-1644.
- Romero V, Akpınar H, Assimos DG. 2010. Kidney stones: A global picture of prevalence, incidence, and associated risk factors. *Rev Urol* 12:e86-96.
- Smoyer KE, Rainham DG, Hewko JN. 2000. Heat-stress-related mortality in five cities in southern Ontario: 1980-1996. *Int J Biometeorol* 44:190-197.
- Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, et al., eds. 2007. *Climate change 2007 - the physical science basis: Working group I contribution to the fourth assessment report of the IPCC*. Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Soucie JM, Coates RJ, McClellan W, Austin H, Thun M. 1996. Relation between geographic variability in kidney stones prevalence and risk factors for stones. *Am J Epidemiol* 143:487-495.

Table 1. Summary statistics for Atlanta, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, and Philadelphia from 2005-2011.

City and population characteristics	Atlanta	Chicago	Dallas	Los Angeles	Philadelphia
Number of stone events	12,165	23,699	12,462	7,803	4,304
Range of mean daily temperature	-5, 31	-23, 32	-8, 36	4, 31	-11,33
Number of days > 30°C	16	5	324	6	12
Mean daily relative humidity (range)	54 (13,100)	61 (16, 100)	47 (11, 100)	42 (5, 100)	54 (10, 100)
Median Age (IQR)	53 (43, 64)	60 (51, 70)	46 (36, 57)	42 (32, 51)	54 (43, 65)
Average annual population enrolled in MarketScan	646,037	1,304,449	652,740	685,966	225,771
Average annual kidney stone incidence from 2005 to 2011 per 100,000* (range)	274 (229, 327)	260 (217, 269)	272 (245, 339)	167 (146, 192)	256 (219, 294)

*The denominator for the average annual incidence of kidney stones is the actual population living in each city enrolled in MarketScan for the entirety of the year.

Table 2. Relative risk (95% confidence interval) of kidney stone presentation cumulated over 20 day lag period associated with mean daily temperature (°C) relative to 10°C based on data from privately insured residents of Atlanta, Chicago, Dallas, Los Angeles, and Philadelphia, 2005–2011.

Mean daily temperature (°C)	Atlanta	Chicago	Dallas	Los Angeles	Philadelphia
36	NA	NA	1.39 (1.06, 1.82)*	NA	NA
34	NA	NA	1.38 (1.08, 1.77)*	NA	NA
32	NA	1.46 (1.10, 1.95)*	1.37 (1.09, 1.72)*	NA	1.68 (1.07, 2.64)*
30	1.38 (1.07, 1.79)*	1.37 (1.07, 1.76)*	1.36 (1.10, 1.69)*	1.11 (0.73, 1.68)	1.47 (1.00, 2.17)*
28	1.34 (1.06, 1.69)*	1.28 (1.04, 1.58)*	1.35 (1.10, 1.65)*	1.12 (0.81, 1.54)	1.30 (0.93, 1.80)
26	1.30 (1.05, 1.60)*	1.20 (1.01, 1.44)*	1.32 (1.09, 1.62)*	1.12 (0.86, 1.47)	1.16 (0.87, 1.54)
24	1.26 (1.03, 1.53)*	1.14 (0.99, 1.31)	1.30 (1.07, 1.57)*	1.13 (0.89, 1.44)	1.05 (0.82, 1.34)
22	1.21 (1.00, 1.46)*	1.08 (0.96, 1.21)	1.26 (1.06, 1.50)*	1.14 (0.90, 1.43)	0.97 (0.77, 1.21)
20	1.17 (0.98, 1.39)	1.03 (0.95, 1.13)	1.22 (1.04, 1.42)*	1.14 (0.93, 1.40)	0.91 (0.75, 1.12)
18	1.12 (0.97, 1.31)	1.00 (0.94, 1.07)	1.17 (1.03, 1.33)*	1.14 (0.96, 1.36)	0.89 (0.74, 1.06)
16	1.08 (0.96, 1.22)	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)	1.12 (1.02, 1.23)*	1.13 (0.97, 1.31)	0.89 (0.77, 1.03)
14	1.04 (0.97, 1.13)	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)	1.07 (1.01, 1.14)*	1.10 (0.97, 1.25)	0.91 (0.83, 1.01)
12	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)*	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)*	1.06 (0.97, 1.15)	0.95 (0.90, 1.00)*
10	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent
8	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)*	0.98 (0.95, 1.00)	0.93 (0.83, 1.05)	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)*
6	1.02 (0.97, 1.08)	1.07 (1.02, 1.11)*	0.97 (0.92, 1.02)	0.86 (0.66, 1.12)	1.11 (1.02, 1.21)*
4	1.07 (0.99, 1.16)	1.11 (1.04, 1.18)*	0.97 (0.88, 1.07)	0.79 (0.52, 1.21)	1.15 (1.03, 1.30)*
2	1.14 (1.01, 1.29)*	1.15 (1.05, 1.25)*	0.99 (0.85, 1.15)	NA	1.18 (1.03, 1.35)*
0	1.23 (1.03, 1.47)*	1.18 (1.06, 1.31)*	1.01 (0.81, 1.27)	NA	1.19 (1.02, 1.38)*
-2	1.34 (1.04, 1.73)*	1.20 (1.07, 1.35)*	1.05 (0.77, 1.43)	NA	1.18 (0.99, 1.40)
-4	1.47 (1.06, 2.05)*	1.21 (1.06, 1.37)*	1.09 (0.73, 1.63)	NA	1.16 (0.94, 1.42)
-6	NA	1.19 (1.04, 1.35)*	1.15 (0.70, 1.89)	NA	1.12 (0.87, 1.45)
-8	NA	1.15 (1.01, 1.31)*	1.20 (0.66, 2.20)	NA	1.09 (0.79, 1.49)
-10	NA	1.10 (0.96, 1.25)	NA	NA	1.05 (0.71, 1.55)
-12	NA	1.03 (0.90, 1.18)	NA	NA	NA
-14	NA	0.95 (0.81, 1.12)	NA	NA	NA
-16	NA	0.87 (0.72, 1.06)	NA	NA	NA
-18	NA	0.80 (0.63, 1.00)	NA	NA	NA
-20	NA	0.72 (0.55, 0.95)*	NA	NA	NA
-22	NA	0.65 (0.47, 0.90)*	NA	NA	NA

*Denotes statistical significance. p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Estimates of relative risk and confidence intervals are not available for temperatures that were outside of the temperature range for each city (NA in table).

Distributed lag non-linear model allowing for overdispersion were used to estimate the results for each city:

$$Y_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu) = \alpha + \beta T_{t,l} + S(\text{RH}_t) + \text{DOW}_t + \text{month}_t + \text{year}_t$$

where t = day of observation; Y_t = observed stone counts on t ; α = intercept; l = lag days; $T_{t,l}$ = cross-basis matrix of temperature and lag; $S(\text{RH})$ = cubic spline of relative humidity; DOW_t = indicator variable for day of the week at t to control for daily fluctuations in outdoor activities; month and year = indicator variables to control for season, temperature trends, and differences in the annual at-risk population.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Overall relative risk of kidney stone presentation cumulated over 20 day lag period associated with mean daily temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) relative to 10°C in Atlanta (A), Chicago (B), Dallas (C), Los Angeles (D), and Philadelphia (E) from 2005-2011. The estimated relative risks of kidney stone presentation associated with mean daily temperature cumulated over a 20 day lag period using distributed lag non-linear models are shown for each city. Two spline knots were placed at equal intervals over the range of temperatures for each city. Locations of temperature knots were: Atlanta (6.7°C , 18.9°C), Chicago (-8.9°C , 6.1°C), Dallas (6.5°C , 21.4°C), Los Angeles (13.0°C , 20.6°C), Philadelphia (3.7°C , 18.4°C). Four spline knots were placed at equal intervals in the natural log scale of lags (2, 3, 4, and 7 days) to increase sensitivity for shorter lags. The solid line is the point estimate at each temperature and the surrounding grey area represents the 95% CI.

Figure 2. Lag-response between a 30°C (mean) day and kidney stone presentation relative to 10°C over a 20 day period in Atlanta (A), Chicago (B), Dallas (C), Los Angeles (D), and Philadelphia (E) from 2005-2011. For each city, the estimated relative risks of kidney stone presentation in association with a daily mean temperature of 30°C (relative to 10°C) for each lag day from the temperature exposure during a 20-day period are shown. We used distributed lag non-linear models to estimate the relative risks and placed two spline knots at equal intervals over the range of temperatures for each city. Locations of temperature knots were: Atlanta (6.7°C , 18.9°C), Chicago (-8.9°C , 6.1°C), Dallas (6.5°C , 21.4°C), Los Angeles (13.0°C , 20.6°C), Philadelphia (3.7°C , 18.4°C). We placed four spline knots at equal intervals in the natural log scale of lags (2, 3, 4, and 7 days) to increase sensitivity for shorter lags. The solid line is the relative risk at each lag day from the exposure and the surrounding grey area represents the 95% CI.

Figure 3. Risk of kidney stone presentation relative to 10°C along temperature and 20-day lag period in Atlanta (A), Chicago (B), Dallas (C), Los Angeles (D), and Philadelphia (E) from 2005-2011. The three-dimensional relationships of temperature (x-axis), lag (z-axis) and relative risk of kidney stone presentation

(y-axis) are shown. The point estimate of the relative risk of kidney stone presentation at each point along the temperature range and lag window is shown using 10°C as the reference temperature. We used distributed lag non-linear models to estimate the relative risks and placed two spline knots at equal intervals over the range of temperatures for each city. Locations of temperature knots were: Atlanta (6.7°C , 18.9°C), Chicago (-8.9°C , 6.1°C), Dallas (6.5°C , 21.4°C), Los Angeles (13.0°C , 20.6°C), Philadelphia (3.7°C , 18.4°C). We placed four spline knots at equal intervals in the natural log scale of lags (2, 3, 4, and 7 days) to increase sensitivity for shorter lags.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3