



ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PERSPECTIVES

<http://www.ehponline.org>

A Study of the Combined Effects of Physical Activity and Air Pollution on Mortality in Elderly Urban Residents: The Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health Cohort

**Zorana Jovanovic Andersen, Audrey de Nazelle,
Michelle Ann Mendez, Judith Garcia-Aymerich, Ole Hertel,
Anne Tjønneland, Kim Overvad, Ole Raaschou-Nielsen,
and Mark J. Nieuwenhuijsen**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408698>

Received: 15 May 2014

Accepted: 26 January 2015

Advance Publication: 27 January 2015

This article will be available in its final, 508-conformant form 2–4 months after Advance Publication. If you require assistance accessing this article before then, please contact [Dorothy L. Ritter](#), *EHP* Web Editor. *EHP* will provide an accessible version within 3 working days of request.



A Study of the Combined Effects of Physical Activity and Air Pollution on Mortality in Elderly Urban Residents: The Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health Cohort

Zorana Jovanovic Andersen,^{1,2} Audrey de Nazelle,³ Michelle Ann Mendez,⁴ Judith Garcia-Aymerich,^{5,6,7} Ole Hertel,⁸ Anne Tjønneland,² Kim Overvad,^{9,10} Ole Raaschou-Nielsen,² and Mark J. Nieuwenhuijsen^{5,6,7}

¹Center for Epidemiology and Screening, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; ²Danish Cancer Research Center, Danish Cancer Society, Copenhagen, Denmark; ³Centre for Environmental Policy; Imperial College London, London, UK; ⁴ Department of Nutrition, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA; ⁵Center for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL), Barcelona, Spain; ⁶Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain; ⁷CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain; ⁸Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, Roskilde, Denmark; ⁹Department of Public Health, Section for Epidemiology, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; ¹⁰Department of Cardiology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

Address correspondence to Zorana Jovanovic Andersen, Center for Epidemiology and Screening, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Øster Farimagsgade 5, Copenhagen K 1014, Denmark. Telephone: +45 35327669. E-mail:

Zorana.Andersen@sund.ku.dk

Running title: Physical activity, air pollution and mortality

Acknowledgments: This work is part of the European project Transportation Air pollution and Physical Activities: an integrated health risk assessment programme of climate change and urban policies (TAPAS), which has partners in Barcelona, Basel, Copenhagen, Paris, Prague, and Warsaw, funded by the Coca-Cola Foundation, AGAUR, and CREAL.

Competing financial interests related to this research: None

Abstract

Background: Physical activity reduces, whereas exposure to air pollution increases the risk of premature mortality. Physical activity amplifies respiratory uptake and deposition of air pollutants in the lung, which may augment acute harmful effects of air pollution during exercise.

Objectives: To examine whether benefits of physical activity on mortality are moderated by long-term exposure to high air pollution levels in an urban setting.

Methods: 52,061 subjects (50-65 years) from the Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health cohort, living in Aarhus and Copenhagen reported data on physical activity in 1993-97 and were followed until 2010. High exposure to air pollution was defined as the upper 25th percentile of modelled nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) levels at residential addresses. We associated participation in sports, cycling, gardening, and walking with total and cause-specific mortality by Cox regression, and introduced NO₂ as an interaction term.

Results: 5,534 subjects died in total: 2,864 from cancer, 1,285 from cardiovascular disease, 354 from respiratory disease, and 122 from diabetes. Significant inverse associations of participation in sports, cycling, and gardening with total, cardiovascular, and diabetes mortality were not modified by NO₂. Reductions in respiratory mortality associated with cycling and gardening were more pronounced among participants with moderate/low NO₂ (hazard ratio (HR) = 0.55; 95% CI: 0.42, 0.72 and 0.55; 95% CI: 0.41, 0.73, respectively) than with high NO₂ exposure (HR = 0.77; 95% CI: 0.54, 1.11 and HR = 0.81; 95% CI: 0.55, 1.18, *p*-interaction = 0.09 and 0.02, respectively).

Conclusions: In general, exposure to high levels of traffic-related air pollution did not modify associations indicating beneficial effects of physical activity on mortality. These novel findings require replication in other study populations.

Introduction

Regular physical activity has many health benefits including reduced all-cause mortality along with a reduced risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer, and diabetes (Johnsen et al. 2013; Samitz et al. 2011; Schnohr et al. 2006; Woodcock et al. 2011). Exposure to air pollution may adversely affect human health by triggering or exacerbating respiratory and cardiovascular conditions, certain cancers, and possibly diabetes, leading to premature mortality (Beelen et al. 2013; Hoek et al. 2013; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2012; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2013;).

Declining rates of physical activity (Brownson et al. 2005) have given rise to population level health initiatives including promotion of active transport in cities, encouraging a shift from car use to cycling and walking (de Nazelle et al. 2011). These initiatives are also highly relevant as a solution to other urban challenges such as traffic congestion, air pollution, and greenhouse-gas emission problems in major cities. One of the major challenges to active transport initiatives and other efforts to promote exercise is the trade-off between the health benefits of increased physical activity and potential harms due to amplified exposure to air pollution during outdoor physical activity in urban areas (Rojas-Rueda et al. 2011; Rojas-Rueda et al. 2012; Rojas-Rueda et al. 2013; Woodcock et al. 2014). Increased respiratory uptake and deposition of air pollutants in the lung due to higher minute ventilation during physical exercise may amplify harmful effects of air pollution, even in young and healthy individuals (Giles and Koehle 2014; Strak et al. 2010). In a controlled, real-life exposure studies, a reduced lung function has been reported in association with walking on a busy street in London (McCreanor et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2009), running near high traffic close to major highway (Rundell et al. 2008a), cycling during rush hour on a high-traffic route (Strak et al. 2010), or hiking on high air pollution days (Korrick et al.

1998). Similarly, exposure to air pollution and exercise in a controlled setting was reported to alter markers of vascular impairment, arterial stiffness, and vascular reactivity, and to reduce exercise performance (Cutrufello et al. 2012; Lundback et al. 2009; Rundell et al. 2008b; Shah et al. 2008), and alter immune function (Chimenti et al. 2009). These studies documented evidence of acute adverse health effects of short-duration exposures to high levels of air pollution during exercise, which seem to be transient and reversible after exercise, at least in young healthy individuals.

One study examined whether exercise modified associations of acute (same day) exposure to air pollution with mortality in Hong Kong, and reported that regular exercise may reduce premature death attributable to air pollution in elderly subjects (Wong et al. 2007). A cohort study in children reported that participating in sports was associated with development of asthma in children residing in areas with high ozone, but not in areas with low ozone levels (McConnell et al. 2002a). These studies implied that there is a potential interaction between physical activity and air pollution, yet no cohort study in adults has explored whether long-term exposure to air pollution modifies beneficial health effects of physical activity on mortality.

In a large prospective urban cohort, we studied whether reductions in mortality linked to regular outdoor leisure-time and transport-related physical activity in terms of doing sports, cycling, gardening, and walking (Johnsen et al. 2013), were modified by long-term exposure to high levels of air pollution at residence.

Materials and Methods

Design and study population

This study was based on the Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health cohort, described in detail elsewhere (Tjønneland et al. 2007). In brief, the cohort consists of 57,053 men (48%) and women (52%) born in Denmark, aged 50–64 years, living in Copenhagen or Aarhus, with no previous cancer diagnosis at the time of enrollment (1993–1997). The participants completed an extensive questionnaire on diet, smoking, alcohol consumption, education, occupation, physical activity, history of diseases and medication, and other health-related items, and provided blood samples, blood pressure, and height and weight measurements at enrollment. Relevant Danish ethical committees and data protection agencies approved the study, and written informed consent was provided by all participants.

Mortality definition

Each cohort member was followed up in the Danish Register of Causes of Death (Helweg-Larsen 2011) until 31st December 2009, using a unique personal identification number. On the basis of the underlying cause of death, we defined total mortality as all mortality from natural causes (ICD-10 codes A00-R99), cancer mortality (C00-C97), cardiovascular mortality (I00–I99), respiratory mortality (J00-J99), and diabetes mortality (E10-14). We extracted the date of emigration or disappearance and the addresses of all cohort members from the Central Population Registry (Pedersen 2011) using their personal identification numbers.

Physical activity

Physical activity was assessed by a self-administered, interviewer-checked questionnaire in which leisure time and transport-related (to and from work, shopping, etc.) physical activity was

reported as hours per week spent on sports, cycling, gardening, walking, housework (cleaning, shopping), and ‘do-it-yourself’ activities (house repair, etc.). Data were collected separately for winter and summer of the previous year, and the two values were averaged, so that being active implies at least half of an hour spent on a specific activity per week. The physical activity questions have been validated in two studies which found high correlation between self-reported physical activity estimates with the accelerometer measurements of total metabolic equivalent in 182 subjects (Cust et al. 2008) and with combined heart-rate and movement sensing measurements in 1,941 subjects (InterAct Consortium 2012). We focus in this study on sports, cycling and gardening, which were previously associated with lower mortality in the same cohort (Johnsen et al. 2013), and additionally walking at least half of an hour per week, which is relevant as an outdoor physical activity pertinent to exposure to air pollution. A previous analysis of data from the cohort indicated that accounting for the amount of physical activity did not substantially alter associations with mortality when activity was dichotomized as any participation versus none (Johnsen et al. 2013). Therefore, our main analyses focused on the estimated effect of participation (yes/no) in sports, cycling, gardening, and walking on mortality, while associations with the amount of cycling (categorized as does not cycle; < 4 hours/week; or 0.5-4 hours/week) were estimated in sensitivity analyses.

Air pollution exposure

The outdoor concentration of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) was calculated at the residential addresses of each cohort member with the Danish AirGIS dispersion modeling system (see <http://www.dmu.dk/en/air/models/airgis/>). AirGIS is based on a geographical information system (GIS) and provides estimates of traffic-related air pollution with high temporal (1 year averages)

and spatial (address-level) resolution. AirGIS is a validated model, as high correlation was found between AirGIS estimated and measured NO₂ values (Raaschou-Nielsen O et al. 2000), which has been utilized in a number of studies (Andersen et al. 2012b; Andersen et al. 2012c; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2011; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2012; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2013) and is described in more detail in Supplemental Material. We used the mean of annual concentrations of NO₂ at residential addresses of each cohort participant since 1971 until the end of follow-up as a proxy of average exposure to traffic-related air pollution during exercise. We defined an indicator variable of high versus moderate/low NO₂ exposure separated by the 75th percentile of exposure range in the cohort (\geq versus $<$ 19.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Statistical methods

We used Cox proportional hazards regression with age as the underlying time scale to simultaneously estimate associations between mortality and participation in sports, cycling, gardening, and walking, with separate models used to estimate associations of the four activities with total, cancer, cardiovascular, respiratory, and diabetes mortality, respectively. The follow-up started on the date of enrollment into the cohort (1993-1997) until the date of death, emigration, or December 31, 2009, whichever came first. We fit a crude model adjusted for age (underlying time scale), each of the four domains of physical activity, NO₂, gender, and year of enrollment into cohort. In addition we fit a fully adjusted model that also included occupational physical activity (sedentary work, standing work, manual work, heavy manual work, or no occupation), smoking status (never, previous, current), lifetime smoking intensity (spline), smoking duration (years), environmental tobacco smoke (indicator of exposure to smoke in the home and/or at work for at least 4 h/day), alcohol intake (indicator and spline for intensity in

g/day), educational level (<8, 8–10, or >10 years of education), fruit and vegetable intake (g/day), fat intake (g/day), occupational risk (indicator of a year or longer in an occupation with potential exposure to smoke, particles, fumes or chemicals: mining, rubber industry, tannery, chemical industry, wood-processing industry, metal processing, foundry, steel-rolling mill, shipyard, glass industry, graphics industry, building industry, truck, bus or taxi driver, manufacture of asbestos or asbestos cement, asbestos insulation, cement article industry, china and pottery industry, painter, welder, hairdresser, auto mechanic), and mean income in the municipality of residence at enrollment (spline). We checked the proportional hazards assumption for all categorical variables by testing for a non-zero slope in a generalized linear regression of the scaled Schoenfeld residuals on functions of time (*estat phtest* command in Stata). We detected violation of proportional hazards assumption by marital status (single, married, divorced, widow/widower) and therefore stratified the model by this variable. .

Significance level below 0.05 was considered as statistically significant result in all analyses. An additional model was fit in which potentially mediating variables [body mass index (BMI) (continuous, kg/m³), self-reported diagnosis or medication for hypertension and hypercholesterolemia] were added to the full model. Effect modification of associations between the four physical activities (yes/no) and mortality from different causes by exposure to NO₂ (moderate/high or low) was evaluated by introducing an interaction term into the model, and tested using likelihood ratio tests (Table 3). Additionally, for cycling, we tested if there was an interaction between intensity of cycling (> 4 hours/week; 0.5-4 hours/week; does not cycle) and NO₂ levels, to examine if there is a dose-response relationship with different levels of NO₂ [very high ≥ 23.9 µg/m³ (90th percentile of exposure range); moderate 15.1-23.9 µg/m³; low < 15.1 µg/m³, (50th percentile of exposure range)]. We also conducted sensitivity analyses using 1-year

mean of NO₂ at the residential address at enrollment (1993–97, corresponding to the time period for the self-reported physical activity data) as an alternative proxy of exposure to air pollution. Finally, two sensitivity analyses were conducted on total and respiratory mortality: 1) with high exposure to air pollution defined as NO₂ levels above the 90th percentile (23.9 µg/m³) of exposure range; and 2) in a cohort subset consisting of 13,948 subjects living in inner Copenhagen (municipalities of Copenhagen and Frederiksberg), the most urban part of the cohort, with the highest levels of cycling and air pollution (75th percentile of NO₂ distribution = 24 µg/m³). Results are presented as hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), estimated with *stcox* in Stata 11.2.

Results

Of 57,053 cohort members, 571 were excluded due to cancer diagnosis before baseline, two due to uncertain date of cancer diagnosis, 960 for whom an address history was not available for at least 80% of the time between 1971 and recruitment date in the Central Population Registry or their address at baseline could not be geocoded, 948 due to missing air pollution exposure (due to missing traffic counts or other air pollution model input data), and 2,511 due to missing information for a potential confounder or effect modifier, leaving 52,061 cohort members for the study. Excluded subjects did not significantly differ from the rest of the cohort with respect to age, physical activity levels, education, etc. (results not shown).

Study participants were followed for a mean of 13 years, resulting in 677,760 person-years, during which 5,534 (10.6 %) died in total. Of these, 2,864 (50.6%) died from cancer, 1,285 (23.2%) from cardiovascular disease, 354 (6.4%) from respiratory disease, and 122 (2.2%) from diabetes as the underlying cause of death.

Mean age at recruitment was 56.6 years (Table 1). The majority of the study subjects participated in physical activity: 54.3% participated in sports, 68.0 % cycled, 73.5 % did gardening, and 93.0 % walked. Participation in all physical activities was lower among those who died during follow-up than in the entire cohort (Table 1), with the lowest participation among those who died from respiratory disease and diabetes, respectively (Table 2). The mean concentration of NO₂ at residence was 16.9 ± 5.2 µg/m³ for the cohort and 17.9 ± 5.7 µg/m³ for the subjects who died during follow-up (Table 1).

Statistically significant inverse associations were observed between participation in sports (versus non participation) and all mortality (Table 3), with fully adjusted HRs of 0.78 (95% CI: 0.73, 0.82) for total mortality, 0.82 (95% CI: 0.76, 0.89), 0.78 (95% CI: 0.69, 0.88), 0.60 (95% CI: 0.47, 0.77), and 0.34 (95% CI: 0.21, 0.55) for cancer, cardiovascular, respiratory and diabetes mortality, respectively. Statistically significant inverse associations, somewhat weaker than those for participation in sports, were estimated for cycling (versus not cycling) and gardening (versus not gardening) with all but cancer mortality: 0.83 (95% CI: 0.78, 0.88) and 0.84 (95% CI: 0.79, 0.89) for total mortality, 0.78 (95% CI: 0.69, 0.88) and 0.82 (95% CI: 0.72, 0.93) for cardiovascular mortality, 0.62 (95% CI: 0.50, 0.77) and 0.63 (95% CI: 0.50, 0.79) for respiratory mortality, and 0.61 (95% CI: 0.42, 0.89) and 0.42 (95% CI: 0.28, 0.62) for diabetes mortality, respectively. Walking (versus not walking) was statistically significantly inversely associated with respiratory mortality only (HR = 0.71; 95% CI: 0.51, 0.97). All estimates were robust (remained unchanged or were only slightly attenuated) to additional adjustment for BMI, blood pressure, and hypercholesterolemia (data not shown).

There was no statistically significant effect modification of inverse associations between any of the four physical activities and mortality by NO₂, except for gardening (p=0.02), and borderline significant associations for cycling (p-value for interaction 0.09) on respiratory mortality (Table 3). The inverse associations of cycling and gardening with respiratory mortality were stronger among subjects with moderate/low NO₂ exposure (HR = 0.55; 95% CI: 0.42, 0.72 and HR = 0.55; 95% CI: 0.41, 0.73, respectively) than among those with high NO₂ exposure (HR = 0.77; 95% CI: 0.54, 1.11 and HR = 0.81; 95% CI: 0.55, 1.18, respectively). Comparable and slightly attenuated effect estimates were observed of all physical activities with a 1-year mean level of NO₂ at the cohort baseline (data not shown). There was no significant interaction between cycling intensity and NO₂ when considering dose-response relationship between increasing levels of cycling intensity and NO₂ levels, categorized as low, moderate, or high (see Supplemental Material, Table S1). Furthermore, sensitivity analyses showed no effect modification of associations between total and respiratory mortality with any physical activity when exposure to NO₂ was dichotomized at the 90th percentile (23.9 µg/m³) (Supplemental Material, Table S2), or in the subset of cohort living in inner Copenhagen (Supplemental Material, Table S3).

Discussion

Estimates suggesting that leisure-time participation in sports, cycling, and gardening was associated with lower mortality were not significantly modified by exposure to NO₂ in an urban setting, for total, cancer, cardiovascular and diabetes mortality. Estimated benefits of cycling and gardening on respiratory mortality were moderately attenuated among those with high levels of NO₂ exposure compared with moderate or low exposure.

Our finding of significant reductions in total natural and cause-specific mortality related to physical activity confirm existing evidence (Samitz et al. 2011; Woodcock et al. 2011) including Danish data (Johnsen et al. 2013; Schnohr et al. 2006). Estimated benefits of cycling, including cycling to and from work and shopping, were weaker than estimated effects of participating in sports, but were significant, and comparable to the limited evidence. Benefits of cycling estimated in the present analysis were slightly weaker than those earlier reported for cycling to work on all-cause mortality in another cohort in Denmark (relative risk = 0.72; 95% CI: 0.57, 0.91) (Andersen et al. 2000) and comparable to cycling to work in Chinese women on overall mortality (HR = 0.79; 95% CI: 0.61, 1.01 and HR = 0.66; 95% CI: 0.40, 1.07, for 0.1-3.4 and \geq 3.5 MET (metabolic equivalent)-hours/day, respectively, as compared to no cycling) (Matthews et al. 2007). Estimated inverse effects of gardening on mortality were noteworthy, as they similar in magnitude to those of cycling, whereas weak inverse associations were detected between walking and respiratory mortality only.

Adverse effects of chronic exposure to air pollution on total natural and cardiovascular mortality are well supported (Hoek et al. 2013), and positive associations were also evident in this Danish cohort, where air pollution levels are relatively low (Beelen et al. 2013; Beelen et al. 2014; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2012; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2013). Long-term exposure to air pollution was also associated with diabetes mortality in this cohort (Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2013), but not with respiratory mortality, in agreement with the recent meta-analyses of 16 European cohorts, including a subset of the cohort in the present analyses (Dimakopoulou et al. 2014). On the other hand, associations of air pollution with incidence of chronic respiratory disease, asthma and COPD, have been also found in this cohort (Andersen et al. 2011; Andersen et al. 2012a).

Our results that long-term benefits of physical activity on all major types of mortality, were not moderated by exposure to high levels of NO₂, are novel. This may imply that acute stress and damages to cardiovascular system induced by short-term exposure to air pollution during exercise, in terms of vascular impairment, arterial stiffness, and reduced blood flow, as shown in earlier studies (Lundback et al. 2009; Rundell et al. 2008b; Shah et al. 2008) seem to be transient and reversible and do not abate long-term benefits of physical activity on mortality. Our results may furthermore be explained by the short duration of the physical activities, with mean of 2-3 hours per week for most activities (Table 1), implying that extra inhaled dose of air pollution during physical activity, which is a function of increased inhalation and duration, is only a small fraction of total inhaled dose of air pollution (Rojas-Rueda et al. 2011), and therefore not sufficient to increase the risk of premature mortality. Our results are furthermore in line with a study finding significantly lower levels of physical activity on days with poor air quality among respiratory disease patients, but not in cardiovascular patients, who do not seem immediately enough bothered by air pollution in order to change their outdoor physical activity habits (Balluz et al. 2008; Wells et al. 2012). Our study thus may imply that effects of long-term exposure to NO₂ and physical activity on overall and cardiovascular mortality are independent of each other, with benefits of outdoor physical activity not being reduced by exposure to NO₂.

Inverse associations of cycling and gardening with respiratory mortality were closer to the null among subjects with high NO₂ exposure (0.77; 95% CI: 0.54, 1.11 and 0.81; 95% CI: 0.55, 1.18, respectively) than among those with moderate/low NO₂ (0.55; 95% CI: 0.42, 0.72 and 0.55; 95% CI: 0.41, 0.73, respectively). Only one similar study exists in a cohort of children, which, consistent with our findings, showed asthma development only in children living in areas with high ozone concentrations, and not in those living in areas with low ozone (McConnell et al.

2002b). It is plausible that amplification of lung damage due to greater inhaled doses of air pollution due to physical activity in urban areas with high air pollution may moderate the benefits of physical activity, which improves some of the same physiological mechanisms. Earlier studies have shown that hikers with a history of asthma had significantly greater air pollution-related acute reductions in pulmonary functions than asthma-free hikers (Korrick et al. 1998), and that subjects with moderate asthma had greater acute lung function reductions after walking in busy street in London than those with mild asthma (McCreanor et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2009). However, reduced physical activity was observed on days with poor air quality among respiratory disease patients (Balluz et al. 2008; Wells et al. 2012), but not in cardiovascular disease patients, as noted earlier. This implies an alternative explanation to our findings that reduced benefit from physical activity in subjects residing in areas with high air pollution may be due to abstaining from physical activity on days with high air pollution, and not from enhanced negative effects of enhanced exposure to air pollution during physical activity. Our findings were weakened by the fact that there was no dose-response relationship in reductions of respiratory mortality related to cycling by number of hours spent cycling and by increasing levels of air pollution (see Supplemental Material, Table S1). Although numbers are small in the interaction analyses, the lack of effect of duration of cycling may imply that the cyclists themselves differ from the non-cyclists, and that in general, the effect of air pollution are minimal in healthy people. Finally, significant interactions of cycling and gardening with air pollution observed for respiratory mortality (Table 3) could not be reproduced when considering levels of NO₂ above 24.9 µg/m³ (the 90th percentile) as high exposure, or when considering the subset of subjects living in inner Copenhagen, where levels of air pollution and number of people cycling are at the highest in Denmark (see Supplemental Material, Table S2 and S3). These analyses need to

however be interpreted with caution, as in both sensitivity analyses, exposure levels were also increased in the “low” exposure category, possibly obscuring differences in associations between the lower and higher levels of exposure. In summary, our findings suggest that outdoor physical activity in areas with high air pollution may moderate, but not reverse the benefits of physical activity on respiratory mortality. In other words, our findings suggest that adverse effects of the additional pollutants inhaled over time do not outweigh the benefits of physical activity. Our results, however, need to be reproduced, due to the small number of people dying from respiratory causes, and due to the sensitivity of these results to the definition of NO₂ and sub-cohort definition. Furthermore, it is important to note, that due to the relatively small number of people dying from respiratory causes (6% in this cohort), and assuming that our results are true, reductions in health benefits related to physical activity in areas with high air pollution, on total population are rather marginal.

Strengths of our study include a large prospective cohort, with well-defined and validated information on physical activity and air pollution exposure, both of which have been linked to mortality earlier (Johnsen et al. 2013; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2012; Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2013). We furthermore benefited from the state-of-the art information on individual exposure to NO₂ with high spatial (address-specific) and temporal (annual mean) resolution, assessed over 35 years. Another strength of this cohort is the very high prevalence of cycling of 68%, which includes both leisure and utilitarian (to work, shopping, etc.) cycling, facilitating the data for evaluation of an interaction of air pollution with this type of physical activity, in contrast to existing studies on cycling to work (Andersen et al. 2000; Matthews et al. 2007; Rojas-Rueda et al. 2011; Rojas-Rueda et al. 2012). Furthermore, this is a first cohort study evaluating individual-level benefits of physical activity in an urban cohort while taking into consideration individual

exposure to air pollution. A study of short-term effects of air pollution on mortality in Hong-Kong, with several-fold higher levels of air pollution than in Copenhagen, found that those who exercised regularly had reduced susceptibility to acute effects of air pollution and lower mortality than those who did not exercise (Wong et al. 2007). Our study provides a novel approach in contrast to existing health impact assessment studies, estimating benefits versus risks of increased physical activity, typically in the context of evaluation of active travel policies targeted to shift commuters from car use to cycling, on a population level, based on derivation of risk estimates from different studies and hypothetical scenarios (Andersen et al. 2000; de Hartog et al. 2010; Rojas-Rueda et al. 2011; Rojas-Rueda et al. 2012; Rojas-Rueda et al. 2013).

A weakness of our study is the use of NO₂ levels at residence as a proxy of average air pollution levels encountered during physical activity. This assumption works well for gardening typically taking place at the residence, which was the exact location of air pollution modelling, but less well for cycling and walking. Given that this cohort consists of elderly subjects aged 50-65 years at baseline, many of whom retired prior to study recruitment or during study follow-up, it is reasonable to assume that most of their time walking and cycling had taken place in close proximity to their residence, which may be well represented by air pollution levels at residence. The higher exposure misclassification is expected for cycling than for gardening. The cycling levels were the same for subjects residing in areas with low/moderate and high air pollution levels, of 68%. Gardening was more common in subjects living in areas with low/moderate air pollution (79%) than in those living in areas with high air pollution (58%), due to higher rates of house ownership in the suburbs than in the inner city, where pollution is highest.. Furthermore, a weakness of this study is that we did not have information on cycling, walking, and exercising habits of cohort participants, and possible behavioral adjustment in those living in areas of high

air pollution levels to avoid the most polluted areas, which may bias results. Similarly, participating in sports is a poor proxy of outdoor activity, as we do not have information on the type of sports activity, or whether it took place outdoor (running), or indoors (gym, badminton, swimming, etc.). Thus, lack of findings of interaction with air pollution and participation in sports may be due to exposure misclassification. Many cohort members retired during the study follow-up, implying the possibility of misclassification of exposure over time, if retirement led to considerable changes in cycling after enrollment. The majority of biking trips (over 65%) in Denmark are undertaken for leisure time activities, shopping, and doing errands and minority for transport to and back from work (DTU 2013). Cycling decreases with age in Denmark, but only marginally, by about 10-15% from age 50-59 to 60-69, due to retirement/decrease in share of cycling trips to work (Vejdirektoratet 2013)..

Another weakness is a lack of data on particulate matter (PM), which was available for only half of the cohort participants living in Copenhagen (Beelen et al. 2013), and only for 2010, and was therefore not used here. However, NO₂ and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) were found to correlate strongly with PM in Denmark, with spearman correlation coefficient of 0.70 for PM₁₀ (PM with diameter bellow 10 µm) and 0.93 for ultrafine fraction of PM (Hertel et al. 2001; Ketzler M et al. 2003), implying that similar results for PM would be expected. Furthermore, we have shown earlier that PM₁₀ originates largely from long-range transport in Denmark, resulting in smaller spatial variation than ultrafine PM and NO₂ (Andersen et al. 2007) which originate mainly from traffic, which is the main focus of this study, reflecting air pollution exposures during exercise or commute. Still, it should be noted that NO₂ serves as a proxy for all traffic-related pollutants, including elemental carbon (EC), NO, carbon monoxides (CO), ultrafine PM, noise and possibly road dust.

Another weakness is that DCH cohort participants are likely healthier than the general Danish population, as it was shown that they are better educated and had higher income than nonparticipants (Tjønneland et al. 2007). Finally, air pollution levels are relatively low in Copenhagen and Aarhus, and these findings need to be reproduced in sites with higher air pollution levels.

Our results are in agreement with a growing number of health impact assessment studies which evaluate the net effects of an increase in cycling at the population level, typically as a shift from car use, and conclude that health benefits due to increased physical activity levels generally outweigh the risks related to increase inhaled air pollution doses during cycling (de Hartog et al. 2010; Rojas-Rueda et al. 2011; Rojas-Rueda et al. 2012; Woodcock et al. 2014).

Conclusions

Physical activity plays a key role in improving the physiologic mechanisms and health outcomes that exposure to air pollution may exacerbate. This presents a challenge in understanding and balancing the beneficial effects of physical activity in the urban environment with the detrimental effects of air pollution on human health. Our findings suggest that beneficial effects of physical activity on mortality in an urban area with relatively low levels of air pollution are not moderated in subjects residing in areas with the highest levels of air pollution. Estimated benefits of cycling and gardening on respiratory mortality were marginally reduced, but not annulled, for those living in areas with high NO₂ levels, but these novel results need confirmation. Overall, the long-term benefits of physical activity in terms of reduced mortality outweigh the risk associated with enhanced exposure to air pollution during physical activity.

Table 1. Characteristics of 52,061 participants in Danish Diet, Cancer and Health cohort.

Baseline cohort covariates	Total n = 52,061	Deceased^a n = 5,534
Mean ± SD age at cohort entry (years)	56.6 ± 4.3	58.5 ± 4.4
Males n (%)	24,734 (47.5)	3,292 (59.5)
Participating in sports n (%)	28,274 (54.3)	2,189 (39.5)
Cycling n (%)	35,385 (68.0)	3,275 (59.2)
Gardening n (%)	38,261 (73.5)	3,612 (65.3)
Walking n (%)	48,436 (93.0)	5,060 (91.4)
Mean ± SD time/week ^b participating in sports (hour)	2.4 ± 2.3	2.5 ± 2.4
Mean ± SD time/week ^b cycling (hour)	3.2 ± 3.4	3.4 ± 3.6
Mean ± SD time/week ^b gardening (hour)	3.0 ± 3.2	3.7 ± 3.9
Mean ± SD time/week ^b walking (hour)	4.4 ± 4.6	5.1 ± 5.6
Sedentary work n (%)	18,711 (35.9)	1,560 (28.2)
Standing work n (%)	8,988 (17.3)	857 (15.5)
Manual work n (%)	10,506 (20.2)	954 (17.2)
Heavy manual work n (%)	2,405 (4.6)	279 (5.0)
Unemployed n (%)	11,451 (22.0)	1,884 (34.0)
Never smoked n (%)	18,766 (36.0)	1,021 (18.4)
Previously smoked n (%)	14,354 (27.6)	1,249 (22.6)
Currently smoke n (%)	18,941 (36.4)	3,264 (59.0)
Mean ± SD smoking intensity in ever smokers (g/day)	16.2 ± 10.1	18.8 ± 10.3
Mean ± SD smoking duration in ever smokers (years)	29.6 ± 12.1	35.4 ± 10.9
Exposed to environmental tobacco smoke n (%)	33,323 (64.0)	4,304 (77.8)
Consume alcohol n (%)	50,897 (97.8)	5,293 (95.6)
Mean ± SD alcohol use in consumers (g/day)	20.9 ± 21.8	27.1 ± 29.9
Mean ± SD body mass index (BMI)	26.0 ± 4.0	26.5 ± 4.6
< 8 years of education n (%)	17,064 (32.8)	2,349 (42.2)
8–10 years of education n (%)	24,066 (46.2)	2,274 (41.1)
≥ 10 years of education n (%)	10,931 (21.0)	911 (16.5)
Median (5-95 th percentile) fruit and vegetable intake (g/day)	350.3 ± 202.7	310.3 ± 203.0
Median (5-95 th percentile) fat intake (g/day)	76.0 ± 27.0	79.9 ± 29.3
Single n (%)	3,067 (5.9)	363 (6.6)
Married n (%)	37,454 (71.9)	3,614 (65.3)
Divorced n (%)	8,683 (16.7)	1,144 (20.7)
Widow/widower n (%)	2,857 (5.5)	413 (7.5)
Risk occupation ^c n (%)	17,254 (33.1)	2,312 (41.8)
Hypertension n (%)	7,671 (15.3)	388 (25.3)
Hypercholesterolemia n (%)	3,126 (6.2)	173 (11.3)
Mean ± SD NO ₂	16.9 ± 5.2	17.9 ± 5.7
Uper 25 th percentile (NO ₂ > 19.0 µg/m ³)	13,016 (25.0)	1,806 (32.6)

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide (µg/m³).

^aTotal natural mortality, excluding external cause of death. ^bFor those who participate in physical activity. ^cOccupied ≥1 year in an industry with exposure to smoke, particles, fumes or chemicals (see Methods).

Table 2. Participation in physical activity by cause-specific mortality for 52,061 participants in the Danish Diet, Cancer and Health cohort.

Physical Activity	Total Cohort <i>n</i>=52,061	Cancer Mortality <i>n</i>=2,864	Cardiovascular Mortality <i>n</i>=1,285	Respiratory Mortality <i>n</i>=354	Diabetes Mortality <i>n</i>=122
Participating in Sports <i>n</i> (%)	28,274 (54.3)	1,226 (42.8)	486 (37.8)	99 (28.0)	23 (18.8)
Cycling <i>n</i> (%)	35,385 (68.0)	1,801 (62.9)	736 (57.3)	169 (47.7)	57 (46.7)
Gardening <i>n</i> (%)	38,261 (73.5)	1,987 (69.4)	843 (65.6)	184 (52.0)	54 (44.3)
Walking <i>n</i> (%)	48,436 (93.0)	2,649 (92.5)	1,162 (90.4)	309 (87.3)	107 (87.7)

Table 3. Association of total and cause-specific mortality with participation (yes/no) in physical activities among 52,061 participants in Diet, Cancer and Health cohort.

Physical Activity	Main model		Interaction Model, Fully Adjusted ^b		<i>p</i> -value ^c
	Crude ^a model	Fully Adjusted ^b model	Moderate/Low NO ₂ (< 19.0 µg/m ³)	High NO ₂ (≥ 19.0 µg/m ³)	
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	
Total mortality (<i>n</i> = 5,534)					
Sports	0.62 (0.59, 0.65)	0.78 (0.73, 0.82)	0.79 (0.74, 0.85)	0.75 (0.67, 0.83)	0.14
Cycling	0.77 (0.73, 0.81)	0.83 (0.78, 0.88)	0.83 (0.77, 0.88)	0.83 (0.75, 0.92)	0.81
Gardening	0.72 (0.68, 0.77)	0.84 (0.79, 0.89)	0.85 (0.78, 0.92)	0.83 (0.75, 0.91)	0.77
Walking	0.91 (0.83, 1.00)	0.97 (0.88, 1.06)	0.96 (0.86, 1.08)	0.95 (0.80, 1.14)	0.79
Cancer mortality (<i>n</i> = 2,864)					
Sports	0.66 (0.62, 0.72)	0.82 (0.76, 0.89)	0.84 (0.77, 0.92)	0.77 (0.67, 0.89)	0.12
Cycling	0.86 (0.80, 0.93)	0.93 (0.86, 1.01)	0.92 (0.84, 1.01)	0.95 (0.83, 1.10)	0.96
Gardening	0.87 (0.80, 0.94)	0.96 (0.88, 1.04)	1.00 (0.89, 1.11)	0.86 (0.77, 1.02)	0.27
Walking	1.00 (0.87, 1.15)	1.06 (0.93, 1.23)	1.00 (0.88, 1.22)	1.12 (0.85, 1.48)	0.79
Cardiovascular mortality (<i>n</i> = 1,285)					
Sports	0.61 (0.54, 0.69)	0.78 (0.69, 0.88)	0.76 (0.66, 0.88)	0.80 (0.65, 0.99)	0.88
Cycling	0.73 (0.66, 0.82)	0.78 (0.69, 0.88)	0.83 (0.72, 0.95)	0.70 (0.58, 0.85)	0.16
Gardening	0.85 (0.71, 1.03)	0.82 (0.72, 0.93)	0.85 (0.72, 1.00)	0.77 (0.63, 0.94)	0.55
Walking	0.85 (0.71, 1.03)	0.88 (0.73, 1.07)	0.86 (0.69, 1.00)	0.91 (0.64, 1.28)	0.95
Respiratory mortality (<i>n</i> = 354)					
Sports	0.40 (0.31, 0.50)	0.60 (0.47, 0.77)	0.65 (0.49, 0.88)	0.50 (0.32, 0.77)	0.70
Cycling	0.54 (0.43, 0.67)	0.62 (0.50, 0.77)	0.55 (0.42, 0.72)	0.77 (0.54, 1.11)	0.09
Gardening	0.50 (0.40, 0.63)	0.63 (0.50, 0.79)	0.55 (0.41, 0.73)	0.81 (0.55, 1.18)	0.02
Walking	0.63 (0.46, 0.86)	0.71 (0.51, 0.97)	0.67 (0.46, 0.97)	0.89 (0.47, 1.67)	0.40
Diabetes mortality (<i>n</i> = 122)					
Sports	0.28 (0.17, 0.44)	0.34 (0.21, 0.55)	0.41 (0.23, 0.73)	0.24 (0.10, 0.57)	0.22
Cycling	0.58 (0.40, 0.84)	0.61 (0.42, 0.89)	0.58 (0.36, 0.94)	0.66 (0.37, 1.15)	0.93
Gardening	0.33 (0.22, 0.48)	0.42 (0.28, 0.62)	0.44 (0.27, 0.74)	0.37 (0.20, 0.70)	0.79
Walking	0.74 (0.43, 1.28)	0.77 (0.44, 1.33)	0.82 (0.40, 1.67)	0.73 (0.30, 1.73)	0.74

HR hazard ratio;

CI confidence interval;

^aAdjusted for NO₂, gender, calendar year, and mutually for other three physical activities. ^bAdjusted for NO₂, gender, calendar year, and mutually for other three physical activities, occupational physical activity, smoking status, smoking intensity, smoking duration, alcohol intake, environmental tobacco smoke, education, fruit and vegetable intake, fat intake, risk occupation, mean income in municipality, and stratified by marital status. ^c*p*-value for interaction.

References

- Andersen LB, Schnohr P, Schroll M, Hein HO. 2000. All-cause mortality associated with physical activity during leisure time, work, sports, and cycling to work. *Arch Intern Med* 160:1621-1628.
- Andersen ZJ, Wåhlin P, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Scheike T, Loft S. 2007. Ambient Particle Source Apportionment and Daily Hospital Admissions among Children and Elderly in Copenhagen. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 17:625-36.
- Andersen ZJ, Hvidberg M, Jensen SS, Ketzel M, Loft S, Sorensen M, et al. 2011. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution: a cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 183:455-461.
- Andersen ZJ, Bonnelykke K, Hvidberg M, Jensen SS, Ketzel M, Loft S, et al. 2012a. Long-term exposure to air pollution and asthma hospitalisations in older adults: a cohort study. *Thorax* 67:6-11.
- Andersen ZJ, Kristiansen LC, Andersen KK, Olsen TS, Hvidberg M, Jensen SS, et al. 2012b. Stroke and long-term exposure to outdoor air pollution from nitrogen dioxide: a cohort study. *Stroke* 43:320-325.
- Andersen ZJ, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Ketzel M, Jensen SS, Hvidberg M, Loft S, et al. 2012c. Diabetes incidence and long-term exposure to air pollution: a cohort study. *Diabetes Care* 35:92-98.
- Balluz LS, Okoro CA, Mokdad A. 2008. Association between selected unhealthy lifestyle factors, body mass index, and chronic health conditions among individuals 50 years of age or older, by race/ethnicity. *Ethn Dis* 18:450-457.
- Beelen R, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Stafoggia M, Andersen ZJ, Weinmayr G, Hoffmann B, et al. 2013. Effects of long-term exposure to air pollution on natural-cause mortality: an analysis of 22 European cohorts within the multicentre ESCAPE project. *Lancet* 383:785-95.
- Beelen R, Stafoggia M, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Andersen ZJ, Xun WW, Katsouyanni Ketal. 2014. Long-term Exposure to Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Mortality: An Analysis of 22 European Cohorts. *Epidemiology*.

- Brownson RC, Boehmer TK, Luke DA. 2005. Declining rates of physical activity in the United States: what are the contributors? *Annu Rev Public Health* 26:421-443.
- Chimenti L, Morici G, Paterno A, Bonanno A, Vultaggio M, Bellia V, et al. 2009. Environmental conditions, air pollutants, and airway cells in runners: a longitudinal field study. *J Sports Sci* 27:925-935.
- Cust AE, Smith BJ, Chau J, van der Ploeg HP, Friedenreich CM, Armstrong BK, et al. 2008. Validity and repeatability of the EPIC physical activity questionnaire: a validation study using accelerometers as an objective measure. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 5:33.
- Cutrufello PT, Smoliga JM, Rundell KW. 2012. Small things make a big difference: particulate matter and exercise. *Sports Med* 42:1041-1058.
- de Hartog J, Boogaard H, Nijland H, Hoek G. 2010. Do the health benefits of cycling outweigh the risks? *Environ Health Perspect* 118:1109-1116.
- de Nazelle A, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Anto JM, Brauer M, Briggs D, Braun-Fahrlander C, et al. 2011. Improving health through policies that promote active travel: a review of evidence to support integrated health impact assessment. *Environ Int* 37:766-777.
- Dimakopoulou K, Samoli E, Beelen R, Stafoggia M, Jovanovic AZ, Hoffmann B, et al. 2014. Air Pollution and Non-Malignant Respiratory Mortality in 16 Cohorts within the ESCAPE Project. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 189:684-96.
- DTU (Danish Technical University). 2013. Faktaark om cykeltrafik i Danmark 2013. Available: <http://www.modelcenter.transport.www6.sitecore.dtu.dk/Transportvaneundersogelsen/TU-udgivelser/Faktaark-om-cykeltrafik-i-Danmark-2013>[accessed 20 January 2015].
- Giles LV, Koehle MS. 2014. The health effects of exercising in air pollution. *Sports Med* 44:223-249.
- Helweg-Larsen K. 2011. The Danish Register of Causes of Death. *Scand J Public Health* 39:26-29.
- Hertel O, Jensen SS, Andersen HV, Palmgren F, Wahlin P, Skov H, et al. 2001. Human exposure to traffic pollution. Experience from Danish studies. *Pure Appl Chem* 73:137-145.
- Hoek G, Krishnan RM, Beelen R, Peters A, Ostro B, Brunekreef B, et al. 2013. Long-term air pollution exposure and cardio- respiratory mortality: a review. *Environ Health* 12:43.

- InterAct Consortium. 2012. Validity of a short questionnaire to assess physical activity in 10 European countries. *Eur J Epidemiol* 27:15-25.
- Johnsen NF, Ekblond A, Thomsen BL, Overvad K, Tjønneland A. 2013. Leisure time physical activity and mortality. *Epidemiology* 24:717-725.
- Ketzel M, Wahlin P, Berkowicz R, Palmgren F. 2003. Particle and trace gas emission factors under urban driving conditions in Copenhagen based on street and roof level observations. *Atmos Environ* 37:2735-2749.
- Korrick SA, Neas LM, Dockery DW, Gold DR, Allen GA, Hill LB, et al. 1998. Effects of ozone and other pollutants on the pulmonary function of adult hikers. *Environ Health Perspect* 106:93-99.
- Lundback M, Mills NL, Lucking A, Barath S, Donaldson K, Newby DE, et al. 2009. Experimental exposure to diesel exhaust increases arterial stiffness in man. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 6:7.
- Matthews CE, Jurj AL, Shu XO, Li HL, Yang G, Li Q, et al. 2007. Influence of exercise, walking, cycling, and overall nonexercise physical activity on mortality in Chinese women. *Am J Epidemiol* 165:1343-1350.
- McConnell R, Berhane K, Gilliland F, London SJ, Islam T, Gauderman WJ, et al. 2002a. Asthma in exercising children exposed to ozone: a cohort study. *Lancet* 359:386-391.
- McConnell R, Berhane K, Gilliland F, London SJ, Islam T, Gauderman WJ, et al. 2002b. Asthma in exercising children exposed to ozone: a cohort study. *Lancet* 359:386-391.
- McCreanor J, Cullinan P, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Stewart-Evans J, Malliarou E, Jarup L, et al. 2007. Respiratory effects of exposure to diesel traffic in persons with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 357:2348-2358.
- Pedersen CB. 2011. The Danish Civil Registration System. *Scand J Public Health* 39:22-25.
- Raaschou-Nielsen O, Hertel O, Vignati E et al. 2000. An air pollution model for use in epidemiological studies: evaluation with measured levels of nitrogen dioxide and benzene. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 10:4-14.
- Raaschou-Nielsen O, Andersen ZJ, Hvidberg M, Jensen SS, Ketzel M, Sorensen M, et al. 2011. Air pollution from traffic and cancer incidence: a Danish cohort study. *Environ Health* 10:67.

- Raaschou-Nielsen O, Andersen ZJ, Jensen SS, Ketzel M, Sorensen M, Hansen J, et al. 2012. Traffic air pollution and mortality from cardiovascular disease and all causes: a Danish cohort study. *Environ Health* 11:60.
- Raaschou-Nielsen O, Sorensen M, Ketzel M, Hertel O, Loft S, Tjønneland A, et al. 2013. Long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution and diabetes-associated mortality: a cohort study. *Diabetologia* 56:36-46.
- Rojas-Rueda D, de Nazelle A, Tainio M, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ. 2011. The health risks and benefits of cycling in urban environments compared with car use: health impact assessment study. *BMJ* 343:d4521.
- Rojas-Rueda D, de Nazelle A, Teixido O, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ. 2012. Replacing car trips by increasing bike and public transport in the greater Barcelona metropolitan area: a health impact assessment study. *Environ Int* 49:100-109.
- Rojas-Rueda D, de Nazelle A, Teixido O, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ. 2013. Health impact assessment of increasing public transport and cycling use in Barcelona: a morbidity and burden of disease approach. *Prev Med* 57:573-579.
- Rundell KW, Slee JB, Caviston R, Hollenbach AM. 2008a. Decreased lung function after inhalation of ultrafine and fine particulate matter during exercise is related to decreased total nitrate in exhaled breath condensate. *Inhal Toxicol* 20:1-9.
- Rundell KW, Caviston R. 2008b. Ultrafine and fine particulate matter inhalation decreases exercise performance in healthy subjects. *J Strength Cond Res* 22:2-5.
- Samitz G, Egger M, Zwahlen M. 2011. Domains of physical activity and all-cause mortality: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Int J Epidemiol* 40:1382-1400.
- Schnohr P, Lange P, Scharling H, Jensen JS. 2006. Long-term physical activity in leisure time and mortality from coronary heart disease, stroke, respiratory diseases, and cancer. The Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 13:173-179.
- Shah AP, Pietropaoli AP, Frasier LM, Speers DM, Chalupa DC, Delehanty JM, et al. 2008. Effect of inhaled carbon ultrafine particles on reactive hyperemia in healthy human subjects. *Environ Health Perspect* 116:375-380.

- Strak M, Boogaard H, Meliefste K, Oldenwening M, Zuurbier M, Brunekreef B, Hoek G. 2010. Respiratory health effects of ultrafine and fine particle exposure in cyclists. *Occup Environ Med* 67:118-124.
- Tjønneland A, Olsen A, Boll K, Stripp C, Christensen J, Engholm G, et al. 2007. Study design, exposure variables, and socioeconomic determinants of participation in Diet, Cancer and Health: a population-based prospective cohort study of 57,053 men and women in Denmark. *Scand J Public Health* 35:432-441.
- Vejdirektoratet. 2013. Cykling in Danmark – hvor star vi? Tal og statistik. Available: <http://www.trm.dk/~media/Files/Publication/2013/Cykelstrategi%202013/Charlotte%20Vithen.pdf> [accessed 20 January 2015]
- Wells EM, Dearborn DG, Jackson LW. 2012. Activity change in response to bad air quality, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2007-2010. *PLoS One* 7:e50526.
- Wong CM, Ou CQ, Thach TQ, Chau YK, Chan KP, Ho SY, et al. 2007. Does regular exercise protect against air pollution-associated mortality? *Prev Med* 44:386-392.
- Woodcock J, Franco OH, Orsini N, Roberts I. 2011. Non-vigorous physical activity and all-cause mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Int J Epidemiol* 40:121-138.
- Woodcock J, Tainio M, Cheshire J, O'Brien O, Goodman A. 2014. Health effects of the London bicycle sharing system: health impact modelling study. *BMJ* 348:g425.
- Zhang JJ, McCreanor JE, Cullinan P, Chung KF, Ohman-Strickland P, Han IK, et al. 2009. Health effects of real-world exposure to diesel exhaust in persons with asthma. *Res Rep Health Eff Inst* 5-109.