



Prioritizing Environmental Chemicals for Obesity and Diabetes Outcomes Research: A Screening Approach Using ToxCast High Throughput Data

Scott Auerbach, Dayne Filer, David Reif, Vickie Walker, Alison C. Holloway, Jennifer Schlezinger, Supriya Srinivasan, Daniel Svoboda, Richard Judson, John R. Bucher, and Kristina A. Thayer

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510456>

Received: 9 July 2015

Accepted: 8 February 2016

Advance Publication: 15 March 2016

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* will provide a [508-conformant](#) version of this article upon final publication. If you require a 508-conformant version before then, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.



National Institute of
Environmental Health Sciences

Prioritizing Environmental Chemicals for Obesity and Diabetes Outcomes Research: A Screening Approach Using ToxCast High Throughput Data

Scott Auerbach¹, Dayne Filer², David Reif³, Vickie Walker¹, Alison C. Holloway⁴, Jennifer Schlezinger⁵, Supriya Srinivasan⁶, Daniel Svoboda⁷, Richard Judson², John R. Bucher¹, and Kristina A. Thayer¹

¹Division of the National Toxicology Program, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA; ²National Center for Computational Toxicology, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA; ³Bioinformatics Research Center, Department of Biological Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA; ⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; ⁵Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ⁶Department of Chemical Physiology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA; ⁷DS is employed by SciOme, LLC, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA

Address correspondence to Kristina Thayer, NTP Office of Health Assessment and Translation (OHAT), NIEHS/NTP, 530 Davis Drive, Room 2150/Mail Drop K2-04, Morrisville, NC 27560 USA. Telephone: 919-541-5021. Fax: 301.480.3286. E-mail: thayer@niehs.nih.gov

Running title: Identifying environmental chemicals of interest for obesity and diabetes

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Bruce Blumberg (University of California- Irvine), Deborah Clegg (Cedars-Sinai), and Morris White (Children’s Hospital Boston) for providing input on ToxCast assay selection during the 2011 NTP workshop “Role of Environmental Chemicals in the Development of Diabetes and Obesity” and to Jerry Heindel and Mike Devito for reviewing a draft version of the manuscript. This research was supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).

Disclaimers: The statements, opinions or conclusions contained in this report do not necessarily represent the statements, policies, opinions or conclusions of the U.S. EPA.

Funding and competing financial interests: The authors declare they have no competing financial interests with respect to this manuscript, or its content, or subject matter.

Abstract

Background: Diabetes and obesity are major threats to public health in the US and abroad.

Understanding the role chemicals in our environment play in the development of these conditions is an emerging issue in environmental health, although identifying and prioritizing chemicals for testing beyond those already implicated in the literature is a challenge. This review is intended to help researchers generate hypotheses about chemicals potentially contributing to diabetes and obesity-related health outcomes by summarizing relevant findings from the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) ToxCast high-throughput screening (HTS) program.

Objectives: To develop new hypotheses around environmental chemicals of potential interest for diabetes- or obesity-related outcomes using high throughput screening data.

Methods: Identify ToxCast assay targets relevant to several biological processes related to diabetes and obesity (insulin sensitivity in peripheral tissue, pancreatic islet and beta cell function, adipocyte differentiation, and feeding behavior) and present chemical screening data against those assay targets to identify chemicals of potential interest.

Discussion: Results of this screening-level analysis suggest that the spectrum of environmental chemicals to consider in research related to diabetes and obesity is much broader than indicated from research papers and reviews published in the peer-reviewed literature. Testing of hypotheses based on ToxCast data will also help assess the predictive utility of this HTS platform.

Conclusions: Certainly, more research is required to put these screening level analyses into context, but the information presented in this review should facilitate development of new hypotheses.

Introduction

The rise in obesity and diabetes rates are major threats to public health in the US and abroad (CDC 2011; Dahlquist et al. 2011; DIAMOND Project Group 2006; Ogden and Carroll 2010; Patterson et al. 2009). Excess caloric consumption and a sedentary lifestyle are well-recognized risk factors for obesity and diabetes. However, there is growing interest in the contribution of “non-traditional” risk factors to these conditions, including environmental chemicals. Research addressing the potential role of environmental chemicals in obesity and diabetes has rapidly expanded in the past several years, and the National Toxicology Program (NTP) has reviewed available information and identified research needs in this area (Behl et al. 2013; Maull et al. 2012; NTP 2011; Taylor et al. 2013; Thayer et al. 2012).

One result of the reviews and studies conducted to date is a list of molecular pathways whose disruption could increase the risk of obesity or diabetes. A logical step in the search for chemicals that could lead to these diseases is to examine *in vitro* data that indicates which chemicals may perturb the identified target pathways. To this end, we analyzed high throughput screening (HTS) data from the U S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) ToxCast program to identify candidate chemicals for consideration in future research on the environmental causes of obesity and diabetes. It is important not to equate perturbation of one of the diabetes/obesity-associated pathways with a determination that a chemical causes obesity or diabetes. Bioactivity is one indicator that a chemical has the potential to alter specific biological process, but whether that altered function produces a phenotypic outcome in an intact animal cannot be determined without further testing. Factors that can modulate the ultimate effect of bioactive chemicals include exposure, pharmacokinetics, diet and the ability of an intact animal to compensate for the effects of molecular-level perturbations.

In brief, our strategy was to (1) solicit input from experts in the mechanisms of diabetes and obesity who participated in a 2011 NTP workshop "Role of Environmental Chemicals in the Development of Diabetes and Obesity" (NTP 2011) to identify assay targets relevant to biological processes related to diabetes and obesity (e.g., insulin sensitivity in peripheral tissue, pancreatic islet and beta cell function, adipocyte differentiation, and feeding behavior); and (2) to identify chemicals that perturb these targets or pathways. These then become candidate chemicals for future research. This review describes the process of identifying pathways, the mapping of pathways to assays and the identification of chemicals showing significant activity against the assays. A major goal of disseminating this information is to encourage the targeted follow-up research that is needed to assess the utility of HTS data for this type of activity.

Methods

An analytical framework to describe the methods described below is presented in Figure 1.

Source *in vitro* data

In this review we analyzed data for an 1860 ToxCast chemical library. The types of chemicals tested include pesticide actives and inert ingredients, industrial and consumer products, potential “green” chemicals that could be safer alternatives to existing chemicals, in-use and failed pharmaceuticals, and chemicals evaluated in NTP toxicity tests.

ToxCast currently provides results from up to 821 assay endpoints that make use of numerous technology platforms from 7 vendors (Kavlock et al. 2012)(Excel File Table S1). These platforms include both cell-free (biochemical) and cell-based measures in multiple human primary cells, human or rodent cell lines, and rat primary hepatocytes (Judson et al. 2010). A wide spectrum of biological targets or effects is covered, including cytotoxicity, cell growth, genotoxicity, enzymatic activity, receptor binding, reporter gene, ion channels, transcription

factor activity and downstream consequences, and high-content imaging of cells (Judson et al. 2010). Assays were run by the individual vendors on uniformly procured chemical samples supplied by the EPA, and data were provided to EPA for normalization and additional processing. In brief, chemicals were tested at 4 to 15 concentrations depending upon assay complexity, capacity, and cost. The data processing workflow by EPA includes normalization, curve fits using Hill equations, visual examination of plots of the concentration–response relationships, and, finally, calculation of the concentration causing half-maximal response (AC50) or, in some platforms, the Lowest Effect Concentration (LEC). The specific criteria for determining the activity of a compound are platform dependent and described elsewhere (Kavlock et al. 2012). All analyses utilize the ToxCast data released in December 2014 (invitrodb_v1). In depth information on the assays, chemicals, and ToxCast data processing can be accessed through the EPA website (<http://actor.epa.gov/dashboard/>).

Expert opinion-based approach to identify relevant HTS gene-based assays for biological processes

Many of the assays in ToxCast can be considered “gene-based” because the biochemical activity they assess is linked to a gene or set of genes (e.g., peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors α , δ , and γ ; see Excel File Table S1 for ToxCast assay list based on annotated gene names). Other assays are related to apical cellular phenotypes (e.g., cell death, mitochondrial damage) and therefore too complex to map to a specific gene or set of genes. In the current analysis we sought to identify the gene-based assays that are relevant to the following biological processes related to diabetes or obesity: (1) adipocyte differentiation, (2) feeding behavior in rodents, (3) feeding behavior in *C. elegans*, (4) insulin sensitivity in peripheral tissue, (5) pancreatic islet cell function, (3), and (6) pancreatic beta cell function. With the exception of feeding behavior in rodents, the selected biological processes were considered appealing because of the availability

of relatively inexpensive and rapid model systems (cell lines, *ex vivo*, short-term *in vivo*) that could be used to test hypotheses generated from the HTS results.

We consulted with topic-specific experts in order to identify relevant ToxCast gene-based assays for these biological processes (Alison Holloway, Jennifer Schlezinger, Supriya Srinivasan, Bruce Blumberg, Deborah Clegg, and Morris White). In brief, a list of the gene-based assays included in Phase I of ToxCast with annotated gene names (Excel File Table S1) was distributed to several participants at the 2011 NTP workshop “Role of Environmental Chemicals in the Development of Diabetes and Obesity” (NTP 2011). These experts individually selected assays they considered most relevant to the biological processes listed above. The list of gene target assays chosen for each biological process is summarized in Table 1 and listed by ToxCast assay names in Excel File Table S2.

ToxPi analysis of biological process models

We calculate a ToxPi score for each biological process-chemical pair using data from ToxCast.

The ToxPi framework (Filer et al. 2014; Reif et al. 2013) was used to create these scores based on the ToxCast data for each of the 6 biological processes selected by the experts. The combination of the genes, assays and scores for a biological process is called the “biological process model”. Each component of the score for a biological process model (a slice in the ToxPi visualization) was equally weighted so that each component/slice had the same potential contribution to the score. It is important to emphasize that this approach only identifies chemicals with predicted absolute effects on these biological pathways, and not necessarily the direction of effect in terms of potentially adverse or therapeutic, e.g., pharmaceuticals used to treat diabetes would be expected to impact relevant biological pathways.

The input values for the ToxPi analysis was calculated as follows from the AC_{50} (concentration at half-maximal activity) and z-score (the distance from cytotoxicity; higher z-scores indicate increased potency from the chemical-specific cytotoxicity distribution) values provided in the December 2014 ToxCast release. First, the AC_{50} values were transformed to negative log molar units. For example, an active chemical-assay pair with an AC_{50} value of 1 μ M would have a log-transformed value of 6. Second, inactive chemical-assay pairs or chemical-assay pairs with a z-score ≤ 2 were made 0. Third, for active chemical-assay pairs, the z-score was added to the transformed AC_{50} value. For example, a chemical-assay pair with an AC_{50} value of 1 μ M and a z-score of 5.4, would have an input value of 11.4 (transformed AC_{50} value of 6 + the z-score value of 5.4).

Exclusion of chemical-assay pairs with z-score values ≤ 2 accounts for a phenomena referred to as the “cytotoxic signal burst,” which manifests itself as an increase in non-specific assay activation near concentrations where cell stress and cytotoxicity occur (Judson R, personal communication). Selecting a cutoff of 2 eliminates a majority of what appear to be cell-stress/cytotoxicity-related false positive activities in the assay data, while retaining marginal or ambiguous hits (Judson R, personal communication). To positively weight more specific responses (higher potency relative to cytotoxicity) the z-scores were added to their respective potency values.

Briefly, the ToxPi scores are calculated by summing the input values across all assays in a component/slice for each chemical. The summed values of the individual assays are then transformed to range from 0 to 1 by subtracting the minimum value and dividing by the range. The values are then multiplied by the proportional weight for that component/slice (1 divided by the number of slices for equally weighted slices as presented here), to give the component score.

The final ToxPi score is calculated by summing each component score, and range from 0 to 1, where a ToxPi score of 1 would mean that chemical was the most potent chemical in each component/slice of the model. Note that because some regions of the data matrix are sparse, this approach is only useful for an initial identification of candidate positive chemicals, but will miss others where testing data are not available.

“Signpost” chemicals for metabolic disorders included in Phase 2 of ToxCast

In order to provide context to the HTS data, we compared the screening results for several chemicals included in ToxCast to findings from the published literature. To do identify signpost chemicals, we used a previous summary provided as background material for the 2011 NTP workshop "Role of Environmental Chemicals in the Development of Diabetes and Obesity" (National Toxicology Program, see “Literature Review Documents”, 2011; Thayer et al. 2012) or as documented in clinical observations of drug effects (Dang et al. 2005; Sheehan 2005). The following chemicals tested in ToxCast were used as “signpost” chemicals: troglitazone, tributyltin chemicals, nicotine, haloperidol and chlorpromazine, tolazamide, amitraz, dexamethasone, nicotinic acid (niacin), and chlorinated persistent organic pollutants (POPs). Other environmental chemicals of interest, such as bisphenol A and phthalates, were not considered signpost chemicals because of uncertainties related to the consistency and/or interpretation of findings at the time of the 2011 NTP workshop or in a subsequent systematic review (Kuo et al. 2013; Maull et al. 2012; Taylor et al. 2013). To the best of our knowledge, high quality reviews (i.e., reviews that adhere to systematic review methodology and reporting standards) have not been more recently published. However, bisphenol A, phthalates, and other environmental chemicals studied for metabolic effects that were included in ToxCast (including

metabolites and other members of the same chemical class) are highlighted in the ToxPi graphics.

Chemical concordance could not be evaluated using a more systematic comparison because diabetes and obesity-related outcomes are not standard endpoints in toxicological studies, so these endpoints are not available for the majority of environmental chemicals or drugs tested in ToxCast. In addition, a number of environmental chemicals or drugs associated with diabetes, weight gain, or other metabolic effects have not yet been tested in ToxCast, including atypical antipsychotics (Taylor and McAskill 2000), arsenic (Maull et al. 2012), and certain organochlorine chemicals (Taylor et al. 2013).

Chemical-chemical correlation analysis

To complement the scores around the specific biological processes, a correlation analysis was done for each chemical-chemical pair across all ToxCast assays within the subset of ToxCast chemicals that have the most complete testing coverage (1061 of the 1860 chemicals across 685 of the 821 assays). Unlike the biological process analysis, the correlation analysis was limited to the subset of the ToxCast chemicals with the most complete testing coverage (ToxCast Phase I and II chemicals) to minimize the impact of missing data in the correlation profiles. Pearson's correlation values for each chemical-chemical pair were calculated on complete pair-wise observations using only transformed z-score values (see below) from each assay. This approach compares the assay specific profiles of the chemicals across all assays. Also note that this analysis is independent of the genes and pathways that were annotated to assays and used in the metabolic disease biological process models above. The z-score values were transformed by binning values into six categories, with the last four indicating increasing specificity of the metabolic bioactivity:

- Chemical-assay pairs not tested = N/A
- Tested, inactive or only tested at single concentration and presumed inactive = 0
- Tested, active & z-score ≤ 3 = 1
- Tested, active & $3 < \text{z-score} \leq 6$ = 2
- Tested, active & $6 < \text{z-score} \leq 9$ = 3
- Tested, active & z-score > 9 = 4

This provides, for each chemical, a list of chemicals ranked by overall bioassay similarity across the larger ToxCast assay suite as a way to complement the biological process models. The similarity profiling provides a list of additional candidate chemicals to consider for targeted research and could potentially provide the basis for developing chemotypes for metabolism disorders.

Chemical clustering based upon ToxPi similarity

Principal Components Analysis (PCA) was performed on the feeding behavior (*C. elegans*) ToxPi output matrix to illustrate an approach for identifying similar clusters of compounds. First, we selected all principal components (PCs) that explained at least 5% of the overall variance. Second, we performed *k*-means clustering on the reduced PCs matrix, using 10,000 iterations and a maximum number of clusters (*k*) equal to the dimension of the reduced PCs matrix. Third, we plotted the PCs of each chemical as points colored by cluster, plus the mean ToxPi profiles of each cluster.

Calculations

All calculations and analyses were implemented using R (R Core Team 2014). Source data is available at <http://www.epa.gov/chemical-research/toxicity-forecaster-toxcasttm-data> and R-code is available as supplemental materials (R-scripts folder).

Results

Overview of relative biological process model results

The top 30 chemicals for each biological process model are listed in Table 2 (also shown as ToxPi graphics in Figures S1-S6). The biological process model scores for all 1860 chemicals are available in Excel File Tables S3–S8 where chemicals can be sorted by overall score for a given biological process model or for individual components/slices, e.g., PPAR γ or GR activity. These tables also contain information on chemical properties (i.e., logP, estimated percent human oral absorption) which can be used to further prioritize follow-up targeted research efforts. In Figures S1-S6 we also indicate how other chemicals of high research interest for metabolic effects ranked in our analysis, e.g., bisphenol A, phthalate metabolites, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), several organophosphate and metabolites. In many cases the more studied chemicals (or metabolites) were not included in the top 30 chemicals for the biological processes. The chemical structures represented in the top 30 lists for each biological process are diverse (Excel File Table S18).

Pharmaceuticals were among the highest scoring chemicals and their known mechanistic target(s) were often identified in ToxCast. For example, the dopaminergic activities of haloperidol and chlorpromazine hydrochlorine (both antipsychotic medications) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR γ) activity of farglitazar (a PPAR γ agonist developed for treatment of hepatic fibrosis) were detected.

In the top 200 ranked chemicals in the adipocyte differentiation process, 138 were identified in as having retinoic acid receptor (RAR) agonist activity using the same methods as described for other assays included in the adipocyte model. These chemicals may not stimulate adipocyte

differentiation because activation of RAR can block downstream signalling (Bonet et al. 2012; Frey and Vogel 2011). Researchers interested in utilizing the prioritization results from the adipocyte differentiation prediction process (Figure S1) should also review the RAR ToxCast activity data presented in Excel File Table S3 (see column T, "RAR_score").

Chemical clustering based upon model of feeding behavior in *C. elegans*

PCA followed by *k*-means clustering was used to illustrate how ToxPi output can be translated into multidimensional similarity scores of activity across slices. Figure S13 shows the mean ToxPi profiles of feeding behaviour in *C. elegans* for the three clusters having the highest overall ToxPi scores (See Table 1 for explanation of component assays in each slice). The 24 chemicals with the highest average ToxPi scores ("Cluster 3") were characterized by activity on slices representing Other, OtherHTR, HTr1, DRD2, and HTR2C, such as chlorpromazine hydrochloride. The 11 chemicals with the 2nd-highest average ToxPi scores ("Cluster 2") were characterized by activity on slices representing Other and NPY, such as the pharmaceutical AVE6324. The 15 chemicals with the 3rd-highest average ToxPi scores ("Cluster 7") were characterized by activity on slices representing Other, PPAR α , and INSR, such as the pharmaceutical PharmaGSID_47315. The remaining clusters further partition the variation within ToxPi scores into clusters of similar activity, including a large cluster of 1200 chemicals representing negligible (or no) activity in this model.

Signpost Chemicals

Most of the signpost chemicals (10 of 12 chemicals or classes of chemicals) would have been prioritized as chemicals of interest using a criterion of being in the top 10% most highly ranked in one or more biological process models. Most organochlorine chemicals included in ToxCast would not have been prioritized because they were not ranked highly in any biological process

(DDT isomers, heptachlor epoxide, mirex, dieldrin, lindane) and nicotinic acid and β -hexachlorocyclohexane ranked in the top 15% of only one biological process, so likely would not be flagged as chemicals of high interest. Below we discuss the findings for each signpost chemical (or chemical class) in more detail.

Signpost chemicals prioritized in the prediction models

Troglitazone

Troglitazone is an anti-diabetic drug that decreases insulin resistance through increasing adipocyte differentiation via activation of PPAR γ (Sheehan 2005). Its use has been associated with weight gain in humans and it is used as a positive control compound in cellular models of adipogenesis (another widely used positive control compound, rosiglitazone, is not currently included in the ToxCast library). The PPAR γ activity of troglitazone was identified in ToxCast and it was ranked highly, in the top 5 to 10%, for adipocyte differentiation, feeding behavior (*C. elegans*), insulin sensitivity, and in the biological process models for beta cell function (Table 3). Chemicals that have similar activity to troglitazone across the ToxCast assay set are shown in Table 4 and the full correlation analysis set is available in Excel File Table S9.

Tributyltin chemicals

Tri-substituted organotins such tributyltin (TBT) were previously used as biocides for anti-fouling paints to slow the growth of aquatic organisms, but are now extremely restricted for use in inland waterways. TBT has been shown to stimulate adipocyte differentiation (*in vitro* and *in vivo*) and increase the amount of fat tissue in adult animals exposed to TBT during fetal life or weaning (Grun and Blumberg 2006; Kirchner et al. 2010) and transgenerationally in the F3 generation following direct treatment to the F0 generation (Chamorro-Garcia et al. 2013). TBT is a potent agonist for PPAR γ as well as RXR α , two receptors that heterodimerize and are known to

promote adipocyte differentiation *in vitro* when activated (Grun et al. 2006). It should be noted that the *in vitro* profiles of the tin compounds are among the most complex of any tested, with hundreds of assays being activated.

The biological process models identified tributyltin, in the forms of tributyltin benzoate, tributyltin methacrylate, and tributyltin chloride, as chemicals of interest (Table 3). ToxCast also detected interactions with dopaminergic, adrenergic and serotonin receptors at relatively low concentrations ($AC_{50} \leq 10 \mu\text{M}$) for tributyltin chloride and methacrylate (data not shown). Chemicals exhibiting similar patterns of activity to tributyltin chloride are shown in Table 4. The full correlation analysis sets for tributyltin chloride and tributyltin methacrylate are available in Excel File Tables S10 and S11 (tributyltin benzoate was not included in the chemical set used for correlation analyses).

The adipogenic effects of TBT associated with PPAR γ and RXR α activation have been documented, but effects on insulin sensitivity have not been as well explored. It is worth noting that a diabetic phenotype for triphenyltin (TPT) has been reported in the literature (National Toxicology Program, see “Organotins and Phthalates Literature Review Documents”, 2011). Studies suggest that rats and mice may be relatively insensitive models for studying effects of organotins on glucose regulation (Zuo et al. 2011) and that rabbits and hamsters may be more sensitive (Matsui et al. 1984; Ohhira et al. 1999). The diabetic phenotype appears to be transient (Ogino et al. 1996) with no histological abnormalities noted in the islet cells (Matsui et al. 1984; Miura et al. 1997). Mechanisms implicated include reduction of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ and insulin secretion in response to K(ATP) channel-dependent depolarization, and related decreases of NAD(P)H and ATP production during glucose metabolism in pancreatic islet cells (Miura et al. 2012; Miura et al. 1997; Miura and Matsui 2001, 2006; Watanabe et al. 2002).

Nicotine

Nicotine is a parasympathomimetic agent that is present in the nightshade family of plants. It acts as a pharmacological stimulant through activation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Inhaling tobacco smoke from either active or passive (e.g., second hand smoke) smoking is the main source of nicotine exposure for the general population (CDC 2013). Epidemiological data support a positive association between maternal smoking and increased risk of obesity or overweight in children after infancy (Behl et al. 2013; Ino 2010; Oken et al. 2008). The data were considered strongly suggestive of a causal relationship by participants in the 2011 NTP workshop and supported by findings from experimental animals (Behl et al. 2013). The association with obesity or overweight following exposure during development is different from exposure later in life where smoking is known to suppresses appetite and adult smokers tend to gain weight following smoking cessation (Yang et al. 2013; Zoli and Picciotto 2012). Rats exposed to nicotine during perinatal development tend to have higher body weight and more fat mass compared to controls, with the effect typically first becoming apparent at weaning and persisting through adulthood (Behl et al. 2013). The mechanism(s) by which nicotine might be acting are not well-established but studies suggests alterations in brain circuitry by affecting leptin signalling in the hypothalamus and implicate a role for central hypothyroidism induced by a hypothalamic–pituitary dysfunction (Behl et al. 2013; National Toxicology Program, see “Maternal Smoking During Pregnancy/Nicotine Literature Review Documents”, 2011). The feeding behavior in rodent models identified nicotine as chemical of interest (ranked 26, in the top 5% of chemicals) (Table 3). The nicotine metabolite cotinine did not rank highly in any biological process model.

Nicotine was considered active on three assay targets at an AC_{50} of $< 10 \mu\text{M}$: binding to human nicotinic cholinergic receptor, alpha 2 (CHRNA2) and rodent cholinergic receptor, nicotinic, alpha 7 (Chrna7) at AC_{50} values of 0.62 and 1.69 μM , respectively, and up regulation of estrogen-related receptor alpha ($ERR\alpha$, or gene symbol ESRRA) at and $AC_{50} = 3.39 \mu\text{M}$. The high rank of nicotine for feeding behavior in rodents was based mostly on interactions with $ERR\alpha$. While the binding interactions with nicotinic cholinergic receptors were expected, the interaction with $ERR\alpha$ has not been previously identified and is interesting given the apparent role of ERRs in in regulating adipogenesis, energy homeostasis, diabetes, and heart disease (Deblois and Giguere 2011; Ranhotra 2010; Villena and Kralli 2008) (Bonnelye and Aubin 2013; Ju et al. 2012). Chemicals exhibiting the greatest similarity in activity across the ToxCast assays are shown in Table 4 and the full correlation analysis set is available in Excel File Table S12.

Haloperidol and chlorpromazine

Haloperidol and chlorpromazine are primarily used for the treatment of schizophrenia and have been associated with weight gain in patients (Musil et al. 2015; Sheehan 2005). The effects of these drugs on both schizophrenia and weight gain appear to be mediated through a blockade of a number of G-protein coupled receptors that mediate the effects of serotonin, histamine and dopamine. Individuals taking haloperidol experience increased appetite and sedation along with a decrease in basal metabolic rate. Both haloperidol and chlorpromazine were ranked in the top 5% most active chemicals in biological process models for feeding behavior in *C. elegans* and models for islet and beta cell function (Table 3), suggesting these chemicals would have been effectively prioritized for potential effects on metabolic function. Chemicals with similar activity

profiles to haloperidol are shown in Table 4. The full correlation analysis set for both haloperidol and chlorpromazine are available in Excel File Tables S13 and S14, respectively.

Tolazamide

Tolazamide is a sulfonylurea drug used to treat diabetes. Sulfonylureas have been associated with hypoglycemia and weight gain in patients (Dang et al. 2005; Sheehan 2005). As pharmaceuticals, sulfonylurea derivatives help control diabetes by increasing insulin secretion from β cells which results in a lowering of blood glucose. More specifically, sulfonylureas bind with high affinity to the sulfonylurea receptor-1 subunit (SUR1) of the ATP-sensitive potassium channel [K(ATP)] in pancreatic beta cells (Thevenod 2002). Sulfonylurea binding causes K(ATP) channels to close, reducing potassium conductance and leading to membrane depolarization. The membrane depolarization leads to opening of calcium channels and entry of Ca^{+2} ions into the β cell, which then triggers insulin secretion and subsequent decreased blood glucose levels ([NLM 2014](#)). The stimulation of insulin secretion by sulfonylureas, while beneficial in the short term, may cause pancreatic damage due to overstimulation, which may cause reactive oxygen species, endoplasmic reticulum stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and β cell death (Remedi and Nichols 2008). Although SUR1 is not included in ToxCast, tolazamide was ranked in the top 5 to 10 % in the islet and beta cell function models, in the top 10% for insulin sensitivity, and in the top 15% for feeding behavior in rodents (Table 3). The reason for tolazamide ranking highly in the insulin sensitivity, islet cell, and beta cell models was based on binding assay results for the ATP-sensitive potassium inwardly-rectifying channel (KCNJ11) gene, which is commonly associated with diabetes of genetic origin (Greeley et al. 2011). K-ATP channels are found in the cell membranes of pancreatic beta cells and open and close in response to blood glucose levels. At least 30 mutations in the KCNJ11 gene have been identified

in people with permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus ([Greeley et al. 2011](#); [Karges et al. 2011](#); [NLM 2014](#)) and mutations are also associated with gestational diabetes mellitus (Zhang et al. 2013). Mutations prevent K-ATP channels from closing, which leads to reduced insulin secretion from beta cells and impaired blood sugar control. Several environmental chemicals also ranked highly for insulin sensitivity, islet cell, or beta cell function based on KCNJ11 binding assay, including 2,4,6-trichlorophenol, 4-nitrotoluene, ethofumesate and fluometuron. Chemicals with similar activity profiles to tolazamide are shown in Table 4 and the full correlation analysis set is available in Excel File Table S15.

Sulfonylurea herbicides have been used since the early 1980s for the control of nuisance broadleaf weeds and grasses where they are taken up by the roots and foliage and act by disrupting protein synthesis. They have high toxicity toward plant growth, low application rates, and are considered to have low toxicity in mammalian studies (Fletcher et al. 1994). General population exposure to sulfonylurea herbicides is not expected to be high because of the low application rates of these herbicides. This assumption is supported by NHANES data showing median urinary levels below the limit of detection for the 17 sulfonylureas included in the biomonitoring program (CDC 2013). Five sulfonylurea herbicides are included in ToxCast (under the chemical class “metsulfuron-like”) and generally did not rank highly with exceptions for being in the top ~10% for adipocyte differentiation (thifensulfuron-methyl) or feeding behavior in rodents (flucarbazone-sodium).

Amitraz

Amitraz is a formamidine insecticide that has been reported to cause hyperglycemia in children and adults following accidental or deliberate poisoning (National Toxicology Program, see “Pesticides Literature Review Documents”, 2011). The mechanism of action for amitraz as an

insecticide are not completely clear, but appear to involve alpha-adrenergic agonism, interference with octopamine (insect equivalent of norepinephrine) action in the central nervous system, uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation, and inhibition of monoamine oxidases and prostaglandin synthesis (Bonsall and Turnbull 1983; California EPA 1995). Amitraz has also been shown to cause hyperglycemia in dogs (Hsu and Schaffer 1988; Hugnet et al. 1996) and worker honeybees (Cascino et al. 1989) and impaired glucose tolerance in rats (Smith et al. 1990). The hyperglycemia in dogs and impaired glucose tolerance in rats is accompanied by hypoinsulinemia (Hsu and Schaffer 1988; Hugnet et al. 1996; Smith et al. 1990). The effects of amitraz on glucose are attributed to activation of α -2 adrenoceptors which suppress insulin secretion when activated, presumably through cellular responses that ultimately lead to lower [Ca(2+)] in cytosol of islet cells (Abu-Basha et al. 1999; Chen and Hsu 1994).

The α -2 adrenergic receptor interactions of amitraz were identified in ToxCast. It had AC50s for α -2A and α -2b adrenergic receptors at 0.05 – 1.6 μ M (ADRA2A, Adra2a, Adra2b), but not for other adrenergic receptors subtypes [α -2C (ADRA2C), β -1 (ADRB1), β -2 (ADRB2), β -3 (ADRB3)]. Amitraz was ranked in the top 10% in both models for feeding behavior and in the model for beta cell function (Table 3). Chemicals exhibiting similar patterns of activity to amitraz are shown in Table 4 and the full correlation analysis set is available in Excel File Table S16.

Dexamethasone

Dexamethasone is a synthetic glucocorticoid that is commonly used to treat inflammatory conditions such as allergic disorders, skin conditions, ulcerative colitis, arthritis, lupus, psoriasis, or breathing disorders. Glucocorticoids acutely cause hyperglycemia and with long term therapy

have been associated with significant weight gain (Dang et al. 2005; Sheehan 2005).

Glucocorticoid receptors (GR) play a role in committing pre-adipocytes to the adipocyte lineage and stimulating adipogenesis (Farmer 2006; Janesick and Blumberg 2011). Dexamethasone was ranked in the top 5% of chemicals in the adipocyte differentiation model, but was not ranked highly in any other model (Table 3). Dexamethasone was identified as one of the most potent GR agonists in ToxCast and its GR activity was the only factor contributing to its ranking in the adipocyte differentiation model. Chemicals exhibiting similar patterns of activity to dexamethasone are shown in Table 4 and the full correlation analysis set is available in Excel File Table S17.

Signpost chemicals not prioritized in prediction models

In some cases signpost chemicals derived from the peer-reviewed literature were not ranked highly in our analysis, possibly because the assay targets underlying the response were not selected by our experts, assays relevant to the chemical's mechanism causing the effects were not included in ToxCast, and/or potential false negative results in the screening level data provided by high throughput techniques. Understanding the basis for not identifying signpost chemicals is a very important issue from a public health perspective where missing active chemicals in a screening strategy is often considered of more concern than identifying false positives.

Nicotinic acid (niacin)

Nicotinic acid, or niacin, is a water soluble B vitamin. At therapeutic doses it has been associated with hyperglycemia and can at high doses produce hypolipidemia (Dang et al. 2005). This effect appears to be related to increased insulin resistance and in an increase in hepatic gluconeogenesis. None of the models identified niacin as a chemical of concern for metabolic

effects (Table 3) perhaps because the relevant assay targets are not included in ToxCast. The therapeutic effects of niacin are primarily mediated through G protein coupled receptors not screened in ToxCast, niacin receptor 1 (NIACR1) and niacin receptor 2 (NIACR2). The niacin receptors have roles in energy regulation (Gille et al. 2008; Hernandez et al. 2010; Mandrika et al. 2010). NIACR1 inhibits cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) production which limits fat breakdown in adipose tissue resulting in less free fatty acids available for liver to produce triglycerides and very-low-density lipoproteins (VLDL) and consequently low-density lipoprotein (LDL) or "bad" cholesterol.

Chlorinated persistent organic pollutants (POPs)

A number of chlorinated persistent organic pollutants associated with diabetes in humans were tested in ToxCast but did not rank highly in our models, including several dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) or dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE) isomers (p,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDT, o,p'-DDT), heptachlor epoxide, mirex, dieldrin, β -hexachlorocyclohexane (β -HCH), and lindane (γ -HCH) (Taylor et al. 2013). Of these chemicals the highest ranked were o,p'-DDT and β -HCH, which was ranked in the top 15% for feeding behavior rodents based solely on ESRI activity. Similarly, other chlorinated POPs that have not been as well studied in humans for diabetes outcomes were included in ToxCast and generally did not rank highly (kepone, endosulfan, endosulfan sulfate, endosulfan I, chlordane, endrin, aldrin, heptachlor, chlorendic acid, o,p'-DDD, p,p'-DDD). These chemicals can be identified under the Chemical_Super_Category field in Excel File Tables S4-S8 as "phenol chloro," "polychlorobicycle," and "alkane cyclo chloro." Our models were not designed to assess many aspects of carbohydrate and lipid metabolism and additional analysis focusing on these chemicals is an area worth future consideration.

Signpost chemicals not included in ToxCast chemical library

A number of chemicals (and their metabolites) that have been most strongly associated with type 2 diabetes in humans have not been tested in the ToxCast platforms, including inorganic arsenic species and a number of chlorinated persistent organic pollutants (hexachlorobenzene, oxychlordan, trans-nonachlor, PCBs, and dioxins/dioxin-like chemicals) (Kuo et al. 2013; Maull et al. 2012; Taylor et al. 2013).

Another signpost chemical of interest not tested in ToxCast is Vacor, a banned rodenticide associated with type 1 diabetes in humans following acute poisoning episodes (Gallanosa et al. 1981; Karam et al. 1980; Miller et al. 1978; Mindel 1986; Peters et al. 1981; Pont et al. 1979; Prosser and Karam 1978; Yoon 1990). Animal and *in vitro* studies show that Vacor damages pancreatic β cells which leads to impaired glucose tolerance in rats (Lee et al. 1988) and decreased insulin release in isolated rat pancreatic islet cells and hamster insulinoma HIT-T15 cells (Esposti et al. 1996; Taniguchi et al. 1989; Wilson and Gaines 1983). Vacor is a substituted urea compound containing ~2% N-3-pyridylmethyl N'-p-nitrophenyl urea (PNU, CASRN 53558-25-1) that has been described as causing pancreatic effects that are similar to alloxan and streptozotocin (Esposti et al. 1996), two experimental diabetogenic agents that also contain a urea group and were also not included in ToxCast.

Discussion

Overall, our analysis suggests that ToxCast data can serve as a useful resource for prioritizing chemicals with respect to their potential to alter metabolic function. With the exception of several organotins, the most highly ranked environmental chemicals in the biological process models are not to our knowledge being studied for potential metabolic effects. Instead, the research community is focusing on a relatively narrow set of chemical (or chemical classes) such

as bisphenol A, phthalates, perfluorinated chemicals, or certain types of pesticides (Filer et al. 2014).

These results do not demonstrate that the chemicals ranked highest in the models, or considered most similar to signpost chemicals based on correlation analysis, will cause adverse metabolic effects at the organismal level. However, the shortened list of candidates for further testing may increase the feasibility of more time-consuming and expensive follow-up testing to confirm novel metabolic toxicants.

The next steps in considering results from this analysis should include confirming the results presented here with follow-up testing. Additional testing could focus on specific activities (e.g., PPAR γ activation) utilizing different technology platforms, or a phenotypic responses using *in vitro* or alternative model systems that align with the biological processes modelled in our analyses (e.g., lipid accumulation in adipocytes, body fat in *C. elegans*, islet cell culture). Follow-up testing is especially important for glycaemic control and adipogenic endpoints because they are understudied in toxicology, making it difficult to systematically evaluate the models presented here with existing data.

Several factors will need to be considered when evaluating the results from follow-up testing. First, binding assays make up approximately half of the assays used to build the biological process models, in particular for the dopamine, serotonin, and GABA receptors assays used in feeding behavior for *C. elegans* and the beta cell function model (Excel File Table S2). These assays will not provide information about the directionality of activity (i.e., agonist or antagonist), limiting their utility for developing hypotheses on whether a chemical is activating or inhibiting a biological pathway. For example, several anti-diabetogenic drugs were identified

as active for islet or beta cell function and insulin sensitivity in peripheral tissue. Similarly, RAR agonist activity needs to be considered when evaluating the results from the adipocyte differentiation model. Activation of RAR is associated with an anti-adiposity phenotype (Bonet et al. 2012; Frey and Vogel 2011), although it should be noted that impaired adipogenesis can itself be metabolically deleterious as failure to expand adipose depots (e.g. in clinical states of lipodystrophy) promote insulin resistance and diabetes.

Second, there is concern for specificity of gene-based assays in the context of cytotoxicity and other secondary mechanisms leading to potential false-positive results. To limit the influence of cytotoxicity and other secondary mechanisms in the models, we weighted the input data based on the distribution of cytotoxicity assays, down-weighting and often removing data for lack of specificity. Using this approach biases the analysis toward identifying chemicals that are specific for the assay targets of interest. Consequently, chemicals that exhibit broad spectrum toxicity at low concentrations, such as tributyltin chloride, will not rank as highly as if less stringent cytotoxicity filtering were used.

Third, a number of gene targets identified by experts during the 2011 NTP workshop as relevant to the biological processes described in this article are not included in ToxCast, including glucose transporter 2 (GLUT2), insulin receptor substrates 1 and 2 (IRS1, IRS2), the ZFP423 gene and Wnt genes involved in adipogenesis, leptin receptor (LEPR), fatty acid binding protein 4 found in adipocytes (FABP4), and genes expressed in stem cells that will populate white adipose tissue lineage and could be early indicators of commitment to adipocyte lineage (CD24, CD29, CD34, PDGFRb, NG2, Sca1).

Finally, there is limited metabolizing capability in both the Tox21 and ToxCast platforms. The chemical library contains key metabolites for limited chemicals, e.g., metabolites of phthalates and organophosphate pesticides. However, it is likely that many other *in vitro* screens will have the same limitation.

Despite the limitations in using ToxCast HTS data, it is encouraging that the models identified the majority of “signpost” chemicals for metabolic effects including amitraz, tributyltins, nicotine and several drugs. In this analysis we relied exclusively on expert opinion to identify relevant assays for our models. We considered selecting assay targets based on bioinformatics-based biological process/pathway databases, e.g., Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa et al. 2014) or CoPub (Frijters et al. 2008), but decided to utilize expert opinion for several reasons. First, the gene coverage of biological pathways within ToxCast varies and is limited for pathways related to diabetes and obesity. For example, the KEGG pathway for “Type II diabetes mellitus – Homo sapiens (human)” includes more than 50 genes, but approximately half of these are not included in ToxCast assays. Second, genes identified in text-mining resources such as CoPub do not indicate the directionality of the association with the biological process, i.e., activation/antagonism or up/down regulation, which is important when trying to identify assay targets associated with potentially adverse health outcomes. Third, the gene targets identified from the pathway databases might not necessarily be applicable to specific medium-throughput methods that could be used to assess the results, including *in vitro* models of islet/beta cell function, adipocyte differentiation, and feeding behavior and body fat in *C. elegans*. In other words, different assays may have different relevance depending on the model system used in more targeted research. We do not consider this a shortcoming of our analysis; instead it reflects a practical approach to using HTS. Future analyses of this type could

be perhaps improved by using a combination of approaches to include use of expert opinion, systematic reviews of the literature to identify signpost chemicals for mechanistic insight, and utilization of bioinformatics-based databases such as KEGG and CoPub. ToxCast data can also be used to complement other databases developed to annotate gene interactions of environmental chemicals such as the Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD, Davis et al. 2013) and the Pesticide Target Interaction Database (PTID, Gong et al. 2012).

Analogous to the biological process scores, we used the z-score values to calculate the chemical-chemical correlations. Anchoring the correlation analysis to z-score values identifies chemicals with similar specific profiles despite shifts in potency, allowing us to identify environmental chemicals similar to the “signpost” chemicals despite often having lower potency values. In this sense, use of the z-scores in similarity profiling can identify potential health outcomes. The dose level where an effect occurs (i.e., potency), especially within the context of potential exposure, also needs to be considered. Using AC_{50} values (concentration at half maximal activity) in the correlation analysis would better capture the potential potency of an environmental chemical, but likely remove the specificity of similarity. For completeness we present the results of the correlation analysis based on AC_{50} data in Excel File Tables S9 to S17 because this did alter the ranking of chemicals considered most similar.

The clustering presented in Figure S7 illustrates one approach to assessing profile similarity, although ToxPi output data are provided in the supplemental tables to facilitate alternative approaches. Nonetheless, the *C. elegans* feeding behavior clusters illustrate the notion of chemical “activity” as a multidimensional phenomenon. Across diverse compound and assay sets, different components of activity will come to the fore, which is why ToxPi scores should always be interpreted in context with slice-wise profiles.

Conclusions

The results of this screening-level analysis suggest that the spectrum of environmental chemicals to consider in research related to diabetes and obesity is much broader than indicated from research papers and reviews published in the peer-reviewed literature. Certainly, more research is required to put these screening level analyses into context, but our hope is that the information presented in this review facilitates development of new hypotheses by researchers interested in understanding the potential role of environmental chemicals in the development or progression of disease for diabetes, obesity, or metabolic syndrome.

References

- Abu-Basha EA, Yibchok-Anun S, Hopper DL, Hsu WH. 1999. Effects of the pesticide amitraz and its metabolite BTS 27271 on insulin and glucagon secretion from the perfused rat pancreas: involvement of alpha_{2D}-adrenergic receptors. *Metab* 48(11):1461-1469.
- Amireault P, Sibon D, Cote F. 2013. Life without peripheral serotonin: insights from tryptophan hydroxylase 1 knockout mice reveal the existence of paracrine/autocrine serotonergic networks. *ACS Chemical Neuroscience* 4(1):64-71.
- Barros RP, Gustafsson JA. 2011. Estrogen receptors and the metabolic network. *Cell Metabolism* 14(3):289-299.
- Behl M, Rao D, Aagaard K, Davidson TL, Levin ED, Slotkin TA, Srinivasan S, Wallinga D, White MF, Walker VR, Thayer KA, Holloway AC. 2013. Evaluation of the association between maternal smoking, childhood obesity, and metabolic disorders: A National Toxicology Program workshop review. *Environ Health Perspect* 121(2):170-180.
- Bonet ML, Ribot J, Palou A. 2012. Lipid metabolism in mammalian tissues and its control by retinoic acid. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1821(1):177-189.
- Bonnelye E, Aubin JE. 2013. An energetic orphan in an endocrine tissue: a revised perspective of the function of estrogen receptor-related receptor alpha in bone and cartilage. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 28(2):225-233.
- Bonsall JL, Turnbull GJ. 1983. Extrapolation from safety data to management of poisoning with reference to amitraz (a formamidine pesticide) and xylene. *Hum Toxicol* 2(4):587-592.
- Caicedo A. 2013. Paracrine and autocrine interactions in the human islet: more than meets the eye. *Seminars in cell & developmental biology* 24(1):11-21.
- California EPA. 1995. Amitraz Risk Characterization Document Volume 1 (December 12, 1995). California Environmental Protection Agency Health Assessment Section, Medical Toxicology Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation.
<http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/risk/rcd/amitraz.pdf> [accessed 25 September 2015].
- Cascino P, Nectoux M, Guiraud G, Bounias M. 1989. The formamidine amitraz as a hyperglycemic alpha-agonist in worker honeybees (*Apis mellifera mellifera* L.) in vivo. *Biomed Environ Sci* 2(2):106-114.
- CDC. 2011. National Diabetes Fact Sheet, Data and Trends.
<http://apps.nccd.cdc.gov/DDTSTRS/default.aspx> [accessed 12 December 2011].

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2013. Fourth Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals (Updated Tables, September 2012). Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <http://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/>. Updated March 2013 [accessed 25, May 2014].
- Chamorro-Garcia R, Sahu M, Abbey RJ, Laude J, Pham N, Blumberg B. 2013. Transgenerational inheritance of increased fat depot size, stem cell reprogramming, and hepatic steatosis elicited by prenatal exposure to the obesogen tributyltin in mice. *Environ Health Perspect* 121(3):359-366.
- Chen TH, Hsu WH. 1994. Inhibition of insulin release by a formamidine pesticide amitraz and its metabolites in a rat beta-cell line: an action mediated by alpha-2 adrenoceptors, a GTP-binding protein and a decrease in cyclic AMP. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 271(3):1240-1245.
- Dahlquist GG, Nystrom L, Patterson CC. 2011. Incidence of type 1 diabetes in Sweden among individuals aged 0-34 years, 1983-2007: an analysis of time trends. *Diabetes Care* 34(8):1754-1759.
- Dang DK, Haines S, Ponte CD, Calis KA. 2005. Glucose and insulin dysregulation (Chapter 28). In: *Drug-Induced Diseases: Prevention, Detection, and Management* (Tisdale JE, Miller DA, eds) Bethesda, Maryland: American Society of Health-System Pharmacist, Inc, 365-378.
- Davis AP, Murphy CG, Johnson R, Lay JM, Lennon-Hopkins K, Saraceni-Richards C, Sciaky D, King BL, Rosenstein MC, Wieggers TC, Mattingly CJ. 2013. The Comparative Toxicogenomics Database: update 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41(D1):D1104-1114.
- de Bono M, Bargmann CI. 1998. Natural variation in a neuropeptide Y receptor homolog modifies social behavior and food response in *C. elegans*. *Cell* 94(5):679-689.
- Deblois G, Giguere V. 2011. Functional and physiological genomics of estrogen-related receptors (ERRs) in health and disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1812(8):1032-1040.
- Deblois G, Giguere V. 2010. Functional and physiological genomics of estrogen-related receptors (ERRs) in health and disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta*.
- DIAMOND Project Group. 2006. Incidence and trends of childhood Type 1 diabetes worldwide 1990-1999. *Diabet Med* 23(8):857-866.
- Eldor R, DeFronzo RA, Abdul-Ghani M. 2013. In vivo actions of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: glycemic control, insulin sensitivity, and insulin secretion. *Diabetes Care* 36 Suppl 2:S162-174.

- Esposti MD, Ngo A, Myers MA. 1996. Inhibition of mitochondrial complex I may account for IDDM induced by intoxication with the rodenticide Vacor. *Diabetes* 45(11):1531-1534.
- Farmer SR. 2006. Transcriptional control of adipocyte formation. *Cell metabolism* 4(4):263-273.
- Filer D, Patisaul HB, Schug T, Reif D, Thayer K. 2014. Test driving ToxCast: Endocrine profiling for 1858 chemicals included in phase II. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology* 19(0):145-152.
- Fletcher JS, Pfleeger TG, Ratsch HC. 1994. Potential environmental risks associated with the new sulfonylurea herbicides. *Environ Sci Technol* 28(6):1204.
- Frey SK, Vogel S. 2011. Vitamin A metabolism and adipose tissue biology. *Nutrients* 3(1):27-39.
- Frijters R, Heupers B, van Beek P, Bouwhuis M, van Schaik R, de Vlieg J, Polman J, Alkema W. 2008. CoPub: a literature-based keyword enrichment tool for microarray data analysis. In: *Nucleic Acids Res*, Vol. 36, W406-410.
- Gallanosa AG, Spyker DA, Curnow RT. 1981. Diabetes mellitus associated with autonomic and peripheral neuropathy after Vacor rodenticide poisoning: a review. *Clin Toxicol* 18(4):441-449.
- Gille A, Bodor ET, Ahmed K, Offermanns S. 2008. Nicotinic acid: pharmacological effects and mechanisms of action. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 48:79-106.
- Gong J, Liu X, Cao X, Diao Y, Gao D, Li H, Qian X. 2012. PTID: an integrated web resource and computational tool for agrochemical discovery. *Bioinformatics*.
- Greeley SA, Naylor RN, Philipson LH, Bell GI. 2011. Neonatal diabetes: an expanding list of genes allows for improved diagnosis and treatment. *Current diabetes reports* 11(6):519-532.
- Grun F, Blumberg B. 2006. Environmental obesogens: organotins and endocrine disruption via nuclear receptor signalling. *Endocrinol* 147(6 Suppl):S50-55.
- Grun F, Watanabe H, Zamanian Z, Maeda L, Arima K, Cubacha R, Gardiner DM, Kanno J, Iguchi T, Blumberg B. 2006. Endocrine-disrupting organotin compounds are potent inducers of adipogenesis in vertebrates. *Mol Endocrinol* 20(9):2141-2155.
- Gupta D, Kono T, Evans-Molina C. 2010. The role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in pancreatic beta cell function and survival: therapeutic implications for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes, obesity & metabolism* 12(12):1036-1047.

- Hernandez C, Molusky M, Li Y, Li S, Lin JD. 2010. Regulation of hepatic ApoC3 expression by PGC-1beta mediates hypolipidemic effect of nicotinic acid. *Cell metabolism* 12(4):411-419.
- Hsu WH, Schaffer DD. 1988. Effects of topical application of amitraz on plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in dogs. *Am J Vet Res* 49(1):130-131.
- Hugnet C, Buronrosse F, Pineau X, Cadore JL, Lorgue G, Berny PJ. 1996. Toxicity and kinetics of amitraz in dogs. *Am J Vet Res* 57(10):1506-1510.
- Hummasti S, Laffitte BA, Watson MA, Galardi C, Chao LC, Ramamurthy L, Moore JT, Tontonoz P. 2004. Liver X receptors are regulators of adipocyte gene expression but not differentiation: identification of apoD as a direct target. *Journal of lipid research* 45(4):616-625.
- Ino T. 2010. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and offspring obesity: meta-analysis. *Pediatr Int* 52(1):94-99.
- Janesick A, Blumberg B. 2011. Endocrine disrupting chemicals and the developmental programming of adipogenesis and obesity. *Birth defects research Part C, Embryo today : reviews* 93(1):34-50.
- Ju D, He J, Zhao L, Zheng X, Yang G. 2012. Estrogen related receptor alpha-induced adipogenesis is PGC-1beta-dependent. *Molecular biology reports* 39(3):3343-3354.
- Judson RS, Houck KA, Kavlock RJ, Knudsen TB, Martin MT, Mortensen HM, David DM, Rotroff DM, Shah I, Richard AM, Dix DJCINEHPA, A P. 2010. In vitro screening of environmental chemicals for targeted testing prioritization: the ToxCast project. *Environ Health Perspect* 118(4):485-492.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. 2014. Data, information, knowledge and principle: back to metabolism in KEGG. *Nucleic acids research* 42(1):D199-205.
- Karam JH, Lewitt PA, Young CW, Nowlain RE, Frankel BJ, Fujiya H, Freedman ZR, Grodsky GM. 1980. Insulinopenic diabetes after rodenticide (Vacor) ingestion: a unique model of acquired diabetes in man. *Diabetes* 29(12):971-978.
- Karges B, Meissner T, Icks A, Kapellen T, Holl RW. 2011. Management of diabetes mellitus in infants. *Nature reviews Endocrinology* 8(4):201-211.
- Kavlock R, Chandler K, Houck K, Hunter S, Judson R, Kleinstreuer N, Knudsen T, Martin M, Padilla S, Reif D, Richard A, Rotroff D, Sipes N, Dix D. 2012. Update on EPA's ToxCast program: providing high throughput decision support tools for chemical risk management. *Chem Res Toxicol* 25(7):1287-1302.

- Kirchner S, Kieu T, Chow C, Casey S, Blumberg B. 2010. Prenatal exposure to the environmental obesogen tributyltin predisposes multipotent stem cells to become adipocytes. *Mol Endocrinol* 24(3):526-539.
- Kuo CC, Moon K, Thayer KA, Navas-Acien A. 2013. Environmental chemicals and type 2 diabetes: an updated systematic review of the epidemiologic evidence. *Current Diabetes Reports* 13(6):831-849.
- Lee TH, Doi K, Yoshida M, Baba S. 1988. Morphological study of nervous system in Vacor-induced diabetic rats. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 4(4):275-279.
- Mandrika I, Petrovska R, Klovins J. 2010. Evidence for constitutive dimerization of niacin receptor subtypes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 395(2):281-287.
- Matsui H, Wada O, Manabe S, Ushijima Y, Fujikura T. 1984. Species difference in sensitivity to the diabetogenic action of triphenyltin hydroxide. *Experientia* 40(4):377-378.
- Mauil EA, Ahsan H, Edwards J, Longnecker MP, Navas-Acien A, Pi J, Silbergeld EK, Styblo M, Tseng CH, Thayer KA, Loomis DC. 2012. Evaluation of the association between arsenic and diabetes: a National Toxicology Program workshop review. *Environ Health Perspect* 120(12):1658-1670.
- Miller LV, Stokes JD, Silpipat C. 1978. Diabetes mellitus and autonomic dysfunction after vacor rodenticide ingestion. *Diabetes Care* 1(2):73-76.
- Mindel JS. 1986. N-3-pyridylmethyl-N'-p-nitrophenylurea ocular toxicity in man and an animal model. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc* 84:389-428.
- Miura Y, Hori Y, Kimura S, Hachiya H, Sakurai Y, Inoue K, Sawada T, Kubota K. 2012. Triphenyltin impairs insulin secretion by decreasing glucose-induced NADP(H) and ATP production in hamster pancreatic beta-cells. *Toxicology* 299(2-3):165-171.
- Miura Y, Kato M, Ogino K, Matsui H. 1997. Impaired cytosolic Ca²⁺ response to glucose and gastric inhibitory polypeptide in pancreatic beta-cells from triphenyltin-induced diabetic hamster. *Endocrinology* 138(7):2769-2775.
- Miura Y, Matsui H. 2001. Effects of triphenyltin on cytosolic Na⁽⁺⁾ and Ca⁽²⁺⁾ response to glucose and acetylcholine in pancreatic beta-cells from hamster. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 174(1):1-9.
- Miura Y, Matsui H. 2006. Triphenyltin impairs a protein kinase A (PKA)-dependent increase of cytosolic Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ and PKA-independent increase of cytosolic Ca²⁺ associated with insulin secretion in hamster pancreatic beta-cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 216(3):363-372.

- Mukherjee R, Davies PJ, Crombie DL, Bischoff ED, Cesario RM, Jow L, Hamann LG, Boehm MF, Mondon CE, Nadzan AM, Paterniti JR, Jr., Heyman RA. 1997. Sensitization of diabetic and obese mice to insulin by retinoid X receptor agonists. *Nature* 386(6623):407-410.
- Musil R, Obermeier M, Russ P, Hamerle M. 2015. Weight gain and antipsychotics: a drug safety review. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 14(1):73-96.
- National Library of Medicine (US). 2014. Genetics Home Reference [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): The Library; 2014 Feb 15. KCNJ11; [reviewed 2014 Jan; cited 2014 Feb 15]. Available from: <http://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/gene/KCNJ11> [accessed 15 Feb 2014].
- National Toxicology Program. 2011. "Role of Environmental Chemicals in the Development of Diabetes and Obesity" workshop. Available at <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/36433> [accessed 21 September 2015].
- Noble T, Stieglitz J, Srinivasan S. 2013. An integrated serotonin and octopamine neuronal circuit directs the release of an endocrine signal to control *C. elegans* body fat. *Cell metabolism* 18(5):672-684.
- Ogden C, Carroll M. 2010. Prevalence of Obesity Among Children and Adolescents: United States, Trends 1963-1965 Through 2007-2008. CDC-NCHS Health E-Stat. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hestat/obesity_child_07_08/obesity_child_07_08.htm [accessed 12 December 2011].
- Ogino K, Inukai T, Miura Y, Matsui H, Takemura Y. 1996. Triphenyltin chloride induces glucose intolerance by the suppression of insulin release from hamster pancreatic beta-cells. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 104(5):409-411.
- Ohhira S, Matsui H, Watanabe K. 1999. Effects of pretreatment with cytochrome P-450 inducers, especially phenobarbital on triphenyltin metabolism and toxicity in hamsters. *Toxicology* 137(3):151-159.
- Oken E, Levitan EB, Gillman MW. 2008. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and child overweight: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 32(2):201-210.
- Patterson CC, Dahlquist GG, Gyurus E, Green A, Soltesz GC. 2009. Incidence trends for childhood type 1 diabetes in Europe during 1989-2003 and predicted new cases 2005-20: a multicentre prospective registration study. *Lancet* 373(9680):2027-2033.
- Peters KS, Tong TG, Kutz K, Benowitz NL. 1981. Diabetes mellitus and orthostatic hypotension resulting from ingestion of Vacor rat poison: endocrine and autonomic function studies. *West J Med* 134(1):65-68.

- Pont A, Rubino JM, Bishop D, Peal R. 1979. Diabetes mellitus and neuropathy following Vacor ingestion in man. *Arch Intern Med* 139(2):185-187.
- Prosser PR, Karam JH. 1978. Diabetes mellitus following rodenticide ingestion in man. *J Am Med Assoc* 239(12):1148-1150.
- R Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <http://www.R-project.org/>.
- Ranhotra HS. 2010. The estrogen-related receptor alpha: the oldest, yet an energetic orphan with robust biological functions. *Journal of receptor and signal transduction research* 30(4):193-205.
- Reif DM, Sypa M, Lock EF, Wright FA, Wilson A, Cathey T, Judson RR, Rusyn I. 2013. ToxPi GUI: an interactive visualization tool for transparent integration of data from diverse sources of evidence. *Bioinformatics* 29(3):402-403.
- Remedi MS, Nichols CG. 2008. Chronic antidiabetic sulfonylureas in vivo: reversible effects on mouse pancreatic beta-cells. *PLoS Med* 5(10):e206.
- Sawin ER, Ranganathan R, Horvitz HR. 2000. *C. elegans* locomotory rate is modulated by the environment through a dopaminergic pathway and by experience through a serotonergic pathway. *Neuron* 26(3):619-631.
- Sheehan A. 2005. Weight Gain (Chapter 31). In: *Drug-Induced Diseases: Prevention, Detection, and Management* (Tisdale JE, Miller DA, eds) Bethesda, Maryland: American Society of Health-System Pharmacist, Inc, 409-420.
- Skibicka KP, Dickson SL. 2013. Enteroendocrine hormones - central effects on behavior. *Current opinion in pharmacology* 13(6):977-982.
- Smith BE, Hsu WH, Yang PC. 1990. Amitraz-induced glucose intolerance in rats: antagonism by yohimbine but not by prazosin. *Arch Toxicol* 64(8):680-683.
- Srinivasan S. 2014. Regulation of Body Fat in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Annu Rev Physiol*.
- Srinivasan S, Sadegh L, Elle IC, Christensen AG, Faergeman NJ, Ashrafi K. 2008. Serotonin regulates *C. elegans* fat and feeding through independent molecular mechanisms. *Cell metabolism* 7(6):533-544.
- Taniguchi H, Yamashiro Y, Chung MY, Hara Y, Ishihara K, Ejiri K, Baba S. 1989. Vacor inhibits insulin release from islets in vitro. *J Endocrinol Invest* 12(4):273-275.
- Taylor DM, McAskill RC. 2000. Atypical antipsychotics and weight gain--a systematic review. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica* 101(6):416-432.

- Taylor KW, Novak RF, Anderson HA, Birnbaum LS, Blystone C, Devito M, Jacobs D, Kohrle J, Lee DH, Rylander L, Rignell-Hydbom A, Tornero-Velez R, Turyk ME, Boyles AL, Thayer KA, Lind L. 2013. Evaluation of the Association between Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) and Diabetes in Epidemiological Studies: A National Toxicology Program Workshop Review. *Environ Health Perspect* 121(7):774-783.
- Thayer KA, Heindel JJ, Bucher JR, Gallo MA. 2012. Role of environmental chemicals in diabetes and obesity: A National Toxicology Program workshop report. *Environ Health Perspect* 120(6):779-789.
- Thevenod F. 2002. Ion channels in secretory granules of the pancreas and their role in exocytosis and release of secretory proteins. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 283(3):C651-672.
- Tiano JP, Mauvais-Jarvis F. 2012. Importance of oestrogen receptors to preserve functional beta-cell mass in diabetes. *Nature reviews Endocrinology* 8(6):342-351.
- Tontonoz P, Hu E, Graves RA, Budavari AI, Spiegelman BM. 1994. mPPAR gamma 2: tissue-specific regulator of an adipocyte enhancer. *Genes & development* 8(10):1224-1234.
- Ustione A, Piston DW, Harris PE. 2013. Minireview: Dopaminergic regulation of insulin secretion from the pancreatic islet. *Molecular endocrinology (Baltimore, Md)* 27(8):1198-1207.
- Villena JA, Kralli A. 2008. ERRalpha: a metabolic function for the oldest orphan. *Trends in endocrinology and metabolism: TEM* 19(8):269-276.
- Wang YX. 2010. PPARs: Diverse regulators in energy metabolism and metabolic diseases. *Cell Res* 20(2):124-137.
- Watanabe M, Watanabe K, Matsui H. 2002. Hypoglycemic and hypotriglyceridemic effects of tolbutamide in triphenyltin chloride-induced diabetic rabbits. *Vet Hum Toxicol* 44(3):140-144.
- Wilson GL, Gaines KL. 1983. Effects of the rodenticide Vacor on cultured rat pancreatic beta cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 68(3):375-379.
- Yang M, Bhowmik D, Wang X, Abughosh S. 2013. Does combination pharmacological intervention for smoking cessation prevent post-cessation weight gain? A systemic review. *Addictive behaviors* 38(3):1865-1875.
- Yoon JW. 1990. The role of viruses and environmental factors in the induction of diabetes. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 164:95-123.
- Zhang C, Bao W, Rong Y, Yang H, Bowers K, Yeung E, Kiely M. 2013. Genetic variants and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Hum Reprod Update* 19(4):376-390.
- Zoli M, Picciotto MR. 2012. Nicotinic Regulation of Energy Homeostasis. *Nicotine Tob Res* 14(11):1270-1290.

Zuo Z, Chen S, Wu T, Zhang J, Su Y, Chen Y, Wang C. 2011. Tributyltin causes obesity and hepatic steatosis in male mice. *Environ Toxicol* 26(1):79-85.

Table 1. ToxCast assays included in each of the biological models.

ToxPi Model Inputs [ToxCast assays per input]		References
Adipocyte Differentiation: 5 slices		
PPARγ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PPARγ: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma [4] PPRE: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor response element [1] 	RXRA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RXRA: retinoid X receptor, alpha [1] 	(Farmer 2006; Frijters et al. 2008; Hummasti et al. 2004; Janesick and Blumberg 2011; Mukherjee et al. 1997; Tontonoz et al. 1994; Wang 2010)
GR (or NR3C1): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GR (or NR3C1): nuclear receptor subfamily 3, group C, member 1 (or glucocorticoid receptor) [4] 	Other <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CEBPB: CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta [1] SREBF1: sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1 [1] 	
LXR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LXR: NR1H2 (or LXRβ) - nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 2 (or liver X receptor) and NR1H3 (or LXRα) - nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 3 [2] LXRE: LXR response element [1] 		
Feeding Behavior (rodents): 9 slices		
CCK: cholecystokinin A and B receptors [2]	INSR: insulin receptor [2]	(Barros and Gustafsson 2011; Deblois and Giguere 2010; Frijters et al. 2008; Ranhotra 2010; Skibicka and Dickson 2013)
ESR1: estrogen receptor α or 1 [4]	MAP: mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 [3]	
ESRRA: estrogen-related receptor alpha [1]	NPY: NPY neuropeptide Y receptors Y1, Y2, Y5; Bos taurus [3]	
FoxO1: forkhead box O1 [1]	STAT3: signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (acute-phase response factor) [1]	
IL6: interleukin 6 (interferon, beta 2) [1]		
Feeding Behavior (C. elegans): 12 slices		
DRD2: dopamine receptor D2 [1]	INSR: insulin receptor [2]	(de Bono and Bargmann 1998; Frijters et al. 2008; Noble et al. 2013; Sawin et al. 2000; Srinivasan 2014; Srinivasan et al. 2008)
GSK3B: glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta [1]	NPY: NPY neuropeptide Y receptors Y1, Y2, Y5; Bos taurus [3]	
HTR2C: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 2C [1]	PPARδ: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor delta [1]	
HTR3A: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 3A [1]	PRKACA: protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic, alpha [1]	
HTR2A: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 2A [1]	Sstr1: somatostatin receptor 1 [1]	
Other HTR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slc6a4: solute carrier family 6 (neurotransmitter transporter, serotonin), member 4 [2] Htr1a: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 1A [Mus musculus] [1] Htr4: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 4 [1] HTR6: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 6 [1] HTR7: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 7 (adenylate cyclase-coupled) [1] HTR5A: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 5A [1] 	Other <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PPARγ: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma [4] PPRE: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor response element [1] DRD4: dopamine receptor D4 [1] DRD1: dopamine receptor D1 [1] NR1H1: vitamin D (1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3) receptor [2] NR1H2 (or LXRβ): nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 2 (or liver X receptor) and NR1H3 (or LXRα) - nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 3 [2] 	

ToxPi Model Inputs [ToxCast assays per input]		References
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NR1H3 (or LXRA): nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 3(Liver X receptor alpha) [1] • CEBPB: CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta [1] 	
Insulin Sensitivity in Peripheral Tissue: 11 slices		
AKT: v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 1 [2]	PPARγ: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ [4]	(Frijters et al. 2008; Wang 2010)
CREB: cAMP responsive element binding protein 3 [1]	PPRE: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor response element [1]	
FOX: forkhead box A2; forkhead box O1 [2]	PTPNI: protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 1 [1]	
INSR: insulin receptor [2]	SREBF1: sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1 [1]	
Kcnj11: potassium inwardly-rectifying channel, subfamily J, member 1 [1]	STAT3: signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (acute-phase response factor) [1]	
PPARα: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha [2]		
Islet Cell Function: 11 slices		
betaCatenin: TCF/b-catenin response element [1]	INSR: insulin receptor [2]	(Frijters et al. 2008; Greeley et al. 2011)
DRD1: DRD dopamine receptors D1, D2, D3, D5 [Bos taurus] [1]	Kcnj11: potassium inwardly-rectifying channel, subfamily J, member 1 [1]	
FOXA2: forkhead box A2 [1]	ONECUT1: one cut homeobox 1 [1]	
FOXO1: forkhead box O1 [1]	PAX6: paired box 6 [1]	
GSK3B: glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta [1]	PTPNI: protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 1 [1]	
HNF4A: hepatocyte nuclear factor 4, alpha [1]		
Beta Cell Function: 14 slices		
ACHE: acetylcholinesterase [2]	HRT, solute carrier: solute carrier family 6 (neurotransmitter transporter, serotonin), member 4 [2]	(Amireault et al. 2013; Barros and Gustafsson 2011; Caicedo 2013; Eldor et al. 2013; Frijters et al. 2008; Greeley et al. 2011; Gupta et al. 2010; Tiano and Mauvais-Jarvis 2012; Ustione et al. 2013; Wang 2010)
BCHE: butyrylcholinesterase [1]	INSR: insulin receptor [2]	
DRD: dopamine receptors (multiple subtypes) and opioid receptor, delta 1 [5]	Kcnj11: potassium inwardly-rectifying channel, subfamily J, member 1 [1]	
DRD, solute carrier: [2]	PPARα: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha [2]	
ESR1: estrogen receptor α or 1 [4]	PPARδ: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor delta [1]	
GABA: gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor (multiple subtypes) [5]	PPARγ: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ [4]	
HTR: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) (multiple subtypes) [8]	PPRE: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor response element [1]	

Frijters et al. (2008) references a 2010 analysis conducted for the NTP workshop “Role of Environmental Chemicals in the Development of Diabetes and Obesity” (NTP 2011) where CoPub text mining tools were used to identify relationships between gene, pathways/processes, diseases and drugs. The relationship is summarized in CoPub with an R-scale score that quantifies the strength of co-citation between two keywords (e.g. PNPLA3 and fatty liver). In the 2010 analysis CoPub was searched for genes associated with adipocyte differentiation, feeding behavior, insulin sensitivity, and islet cell function and the results mapped to the ToxCast assay targets listed above. The CoPub analysis was considered to provide support for an association between the gene and the biological process when the R scaled score was ≥ 25 . Many, but not all, of the gene targets identified by expert opinion were identified in the CoPub analysis.

Table 2. Top 30 chemicals [CASRN] based on prediction model scores for adipocyte differentiation, feeding behavior (rodent), feeding behavior (*C. elegans*), insulin sensitivity in peripheral tissue, islet cell function, and beta cell function

Rank	Adipocyte Differentiation	Feeding Behavior (rodents)	Feeding Behavior (<i>C. elegans</i>)	Insulin Sensitivity Peripheral Tissue	Islet Cell Function	Beta Cell Function
1	diallyl phthalate [131-17-9] (score = 0.306, *RAR score = 0.115) Use: plasticizer Class: phthalate	HMR1171 [328392-46-7] (score = 0.192) Use: pharmaceutical Class: lipid lowering	chlorpromazine hydrochloride [69-09-0] (score = 0.258) Use: pharmaceutical Class: dopamine antagonist	farglitazar [196808-45-4] (score = 0.250) Use: pharmaceutical Class: PPAR γ agonist	isopropyl triethanolamine titanate [36673-16-2] (score = 0.177) Use: coupling Class: organometallic	raloxifene hydrochloride [82640-04-8] (score = 0.240) Use: pharmaceutical Class: SERM
2	methyl salicylate [119-36-8] (score = 0.293, *RAR score = 0.180) Use: flavor, antiseptic Class: salicylate	PharmaGSID_48511 [1062243-51-9] (score = 0.133) Use: pharmaceutical Class: polo-like kinase inhibitor	Trelanserin [189003-92-7] (score = 0.241) Use: pharmaceutical Class: selective serotonin 5-HT _{2A} Antagonist	PharmaGSID_47315 [444610-91-7] (score = 0.221) Use: pharmaceutical Class: PPAR γ agonist	basic blue 7 [2390-60-5] (score = 0.176) Use: dye Class: aniline dye	PharmaGSID_47315 [444610-91-7] (score = 0.225) Use: pharmaceutical Class: PPAR γ agonist
3	melengestrol acetate [2919-66-6] (score = 0.289) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal progestin	4-hydroxytamoxifen [68392-35-8] (score = 0.125) Use: pharmaceutical Class: selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM)	fabesetron hydrochloride [129299-90-7] (score = 0.239) Use: pharmaceutical Class: serotonin 5-HT ₃ receptor antagonist	basic blue 7 [2390-60-5] (score = 0.204) Use: dye Class: aniline dye	PharmaGSID_48511 [1062243-51-9] (score = 0.130) Use: pharmaceutical Class: polo-like kinase inhibitor	SSR150106 [NOCAS_47362] (score = 0.223) Use: pharmaceutical Class: chemokine receptor antagonist
4	rotenone [83-79-4] (score = 0.266, *RAR score = 0.222) Use: insecticide Class: botanical	nicosamide [50-65-7] (score = 0.114) Use: molluscicide Class: phenol halide	volinanserin [139290-65-6] (score = 0.236) Use: pharmaceutical Class: serotonin 5-HT _{2A} receptor antagonist	rotenone [83-79-4] (score = 0.203) Use: insecticide Class: botanical	spiromesifen [283594-90-1] (score = 0.106) Use: insecticide Class: phenyl tetronic acid	PharmaGSID_47259 [149062-75-9] (score = 0.221) Use: pharmaceutical Class: acetylcholinesterase inhibitor
5	tebufenpyrad [119168-77-3] (score = 0.257, *RAR score = 0.120) Use: insecticide Class: pyrazole	PharmaGSID_47337 [1061517-62-1] (score = 0.112) Use: pharmaceutical Class: cholecystokinin 1 receptor (CCK1R) agonist	isopropyl triethanolamine titanate [36673-16-2] (score = 0.225) Use: coupling Class: organometallic	tebufenpyrad [119168-77-3] (score = 0.197) Use: insecticide Class: pyrazole	tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) phosphate [126-72-7] (score = 0.100) Use: flame retardant Class: phosphate alkyl halide	farglitazar [196808-45-4] (score = 0.203) Use: pharmaceutical Class: PPAR γ agonist

Rank	Adipocyte Differentiation	Feeding Behavior (rodents)	Feeding Behavior (<i>C. elegans</i>)	Insulin Sensitivity Peripheral Tissue	Islet Cell Function	Beta Cell Function
6	trans-retinoic acid [302-79-4] (score = 0.251, *RAR score = 1) Use: pharmaceutical Class: carboxylic acid	acetic acid, C8-10-branched alkyl esters, C9-rich [108419-33-6] (score = 0.111) Use: solvent Class: carboxylate	SSR150106 [NOCAS_47362] (score = 0.222) Use: pharmaceutical Class: chemokine receptor antagonist	PharmaGSID_48511 [1062243-51-9] (score = 0.187) Use: pharmaceutical Class: polo-like kinase inhibitor	apigenin [520-36-5] (score = 0.097) Use: flavone Class: genistein-like	chlorpromazine hydrochloride [69-09-0] (score = 0.192) Use: pharmaceutical Class: dopamine antagonist
7	isazofos [42509-80-8] (score = 0.248) Use: insecticide Class: organophosphate	methyl parathion [298-00-0] (score = 0.111) Use: insecticide Class: organophosphate	PharmaGSID_48511 [1062243-51-9] (score = 0.174) Use: pharmaceutical Class: polo like kinase inhibitor	isopropyl triethanolamine titanate [36673-16-2] (score = 0.182) Use: coupling Class: organometallic	resorcinol [108-46-3] (score = 0.091) Use: intermediate, disinfectant Class: phenol	UK-416244 [402910-27-4] (score = 0.189) Use: pharmaceutical Class: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI)
8	aspirin [50-78-2] (score = 0.246, *RAR score = 0.071) Use: pharmaceutical Class: phenyl carboxylic acid alkoxy	isopropyl triethanolamine titanate [36673-16-2] (score = 0.111) Use: coupling Class: organometallic	SB243213A [200940-23-4] (score = 0.148) Use: pharmaceutical Class: serotonin 5-HT2C receptor inverse agonist:	pyridaben [96489-71-3] (score = 0.177) Use: insecticide Class: diazine phenyl sulfide halide ketone	acetic acid, C8-10-branched alkyl esters, C9-rich [108419-33-6] (score = 0.091) Use: solvent Class: carboxylate	volinanserin [139290-65-6] (score = 0.176) Use: pharmaceutical Class: serotonin 5-HT2A receptor antagonist:
9	GW473178E methyl benzene sulphonic acid [263553-33-9] (score = 0.221) Use: pharmaceutical Class: thrombin inhibitor	ilepatril [473289-62-2] (score = 0.111) Use: pharmaceutical Class: vasopeptidase inhibitor	haloperidol [52-86-8] (score = 0.142) Use: pharmaceutical Class: dopamine inverse agonist	1,3-diphenyl-1,3-propanedione [120-46-7] (score = 0.165) Use: plasticizer Class: phenyl	haloperidol [52-86-8] (score = 0.091) Use: pharmaceutical Class: dopamine inverse agonist	haloperidol [52-86-8] (score = 0.163) Use: pharmaceutical Class: dopamine inverse agonist
10	bentazone [25057-89-0] (score = 0.221) Use: herbicide Class: carbamate	equilin [474-86-2] (score = 0.111) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal estrogen	PharmaGSID_47315 [444610-91-7] (score = 0.132) Use: pharmaceutical Class: PPARγ agonist	fenamiphos [22224-92-6] (score = 0.156) Use: insecticide Class: organophosphate	dibenz(a,h)anthracene [53-70-3] (score = 0.091) Use: research Class: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon	PharmaGSID_48511 [1062243-51-9] (score = 0.149) Use: pharmaceutical Class: polo-like kinase inhibitor

Rank	Adipocyte Differentiation	Feeding Behavior (rodents)	Feeding Behavior (<i>C. elegans</i>)	Insulin Sensitivity Peripheral Tissue	Islet Cell Function	Beta Cell Function
11	sodium abietate [14351-66-7] (score = 0.215) Use: coating Class: abietate	triisononyl trimellitate [53894-23-8] (score = 0.111) Use: plasticizer Class: phthalate	elzasonan [361343-19-3] (score = 0.130) Use: pharmaceutical Class: selective 5-HT1B and 5-HT1D receptor antagonist	2,4,6-trichlorophenol [88-06-2] (score = 0.153) Use: herbicide, fungicide, reactant Class: chlorinated phenol	Caffeine [58-08-2] (score = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon) Use: pharmaceutical;natural Class: Not Assigned caffeine-like	meso-Hexestrol [84-16-2] (score = 0.145) Use: pharmaceutical Class: non-steroidal estrogen
12	2-ethyl-2-hexenal [645-62-5] (score = 0.212) Use: intermediate, insecticide Class: aldehyde	cymoxanil [57966-95-7] (score = 0.110) Use: fungicide Class: acetamide carboxylate amine	raloxifene hydrochloride [82640-04-8] (score = 0.126) Use: pharmaceutical Class: SERM	fenpyroximate (Z,E) [111812-58-9] (score = 0.151) Use: insecticide Class: pyrazole	N-Nitrosodipropylamine [621-64-7] (score = 0.091) Use: breakdown product, research Class: nitrosoamine	trelanserin [189003-92-7] (score = 0.135) Use: pharmaceutical Class: serotonin 5-HT2A antagonist
13	AVE8923 [NOCAS_47381] (score = 0.207) Use: pharmaceutical Class: tryptase inhibitor	AVE6324 [NOCAS_47377] (score = 0.108) Use: pharmaceutical Class: factor Xa inhibitor	allura red C.I.16035 [25956-17-6] (score = 0.117) Use: dye Class: phenyl sulfuric acid dye	2-ethyl-2-hexenal [645-62-5] (score = 0.150) Use: intermediate, insecticide Class: aldehyde	dicyclopentadiene [77-73-6] (score = 0.091) Use: intermediate Class: alkene	2,2-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1,1,1-trichloroethane [2971-36-0] (score = 0.128) Use: degradate Class: phenol halide
14	SR271425 [155990-20-8] (score = 0.205, *RAR score = 0.084) Use: pharmaceutical Class: thioxanthone analog	zearalenone [17924-92-4] (score = 0.108) Use: mycotoxin Class: carboxylic acid ketone	AVE6324 [NOCAS_47377] (score = 0.108) Use: pharmaceutical Class: factor Xa inhibitor	diuron [330-54-1] (score = 0.146) Use: herbicide Class: phenyl urea	rotenone [83-79-4] (score = 0.091) Use: insecticide Class: botanical	4-hydroxytamoxifen [68392-35-8] (score = 0.123) Use: pharmaceutical Class: SERM
15	tributyltin benzoate [4342-36-3] (score = 0.200, *RAR score = 0.145) Use: microbicide Class: organotin	rifampicin [13292-46-1] (score = 0.102) Use: pharmaceutical Class: antibiotic	SSR241586 [NOCAS_47353] (score = 0.103) Use: pharmaceutical Class: 2,2-disubstituted morpholine	propargite [2312-35-8] (score = 0.137) Use: insecticide Class: phenyl ether sulfate yne	2,4,6-trichlorophenol [88-06-2] (score = 0.091) Use: herbicide, fungicide, reactant Class: phenol halide	17alpha-ethinylestradiol [57-63-6] (score = 0.122) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal estrogen

Rank	Adipocyte Differentiation	Feeding Behavior (rodents)	Feeding Behavior (<i>C. elegans</i>)	Insulin Sensitivity Peripheral Tissue	Islet Cell Function	Beta Cell Function
16	farglitazar [196808-45-4] (score = 0.200) Use: pharmaceutical Class: PPAR γ agonist	mestranol [72-33-3] (score = 0.101) Use: pharmaceutical Class: non-steroidal estrogen	mercuric chloride [7487-94-7] (score = 0.103) Use: bactericide Class: organometallic	1-(6-tert-Butyl-1,1-dimethyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-4-yl)ethanone [13171-00-1] (score = 0.130) Use: fragrance Class: phenyl ketone	silica [7631-86-9] (score = 0.087) Use: filler Class: silicate	9-octadecenoic acid, 12-hydroxy-, (9Z,12R)- [141-22-0] (score = 0.122) Use: pharmaceutical, natural, plasticizer Class: unsaturated omega-9 fatty acid
17	acrylamide [79-06-1] (score = 0.193) Use: reactant Class: acrylamide	meso-hexestrol [84-16-2] (score = 0.098) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal estrogen	calcium neodecanoate [27253-33-4] (score = 0.099) Use: additive Class: carboxylic acid	isoxaben [82558-50-7] (score = 0.129) Use: herbicide Class: amide, oxazole	tannic acid [1401-55-4] (score = 0.086) Use: natural Class: phenol benzoic acid	calcium neodecanoate [27253-33-4] (score = 0.121) Use: additive Class: carboxylic acid
18	1-(score = 6-tert-Butyl-1,1-dimethyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-4-yl)ethanone [13171-00-1] (score = 0.180, *RAR score = 0.142) Use: fragrance Class: phenyl ketone	estriol [50-27-1] (score = 0.097) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal estrogen	FD&C Yellow 6 [2783-94-0] (score = 0.09) Use: dye Class: phenyl sulfuric acid dye	1,4-diaminoanthraquinone [128-95-0] (score = 0.129) Use: dye Class: anthraquinone	perfluorooctane sulfonate, PFOS [1763-23-1] (score = 0.086) Use: fluorosurfactant Class: perfluoro sulfuric acid	2,4,6-trichlorophenol [88-06-2] (score = 0.120) Use: herbicide, fungicide, reactant Class: chlorinated phenol
19	tetrabutyltin [1461-25-2] (score = 0.177, *RAR score = 0.157) Use: microbicide Class: organotin	pirimiphos-methyl [29232-93-7] (score = 0.097) Use: insecticide Class: organophosphate	aspirin [50-78-2] (score = 0.093) Use: pharmaceutical Class: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)	sodium abietate [14351-66-7] (score = 0.127) Use: coating Class: abietate	1-phenoxy-2-propanol [770-35-4] (score = 0.085) Use: pesticidal inert, solvent Class: phenol ethoxylate alcohol	17alpha-estradiol [57-91-0] (score = 0.1120) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal estrogen
20	triamcinolone [124-94-7] (score = 0.172) Use: pharmaceutical Class: corticosteroid	diethylstilbestrol [56-53-1] (score = 0.095) Use: pharmaceutical Class: non-steroidal estrogen	diphenhydramine hydrochloride [147-24-0] (score = 0.090) Use: pharmaceutical Class: antihistomine ("Benadryl")	glyceryl monoricinoleate [1323-38-2] (score = 0.127) Use: intermediate, emulsifier Class: alcohol carboxylate	dimethyl succinate [106-65-0] (score = 0.084) Use: intermediate Class: carboxylate	diphenhydramine hydrochloride [147-24-0] (score = 0.119) Use: pharmaceutical Class: antihistomine ("Benadryl")

Rank	Adipocyte Differentiation	Feeding Behavior (rodents)	Feeding Behavior (<i>C. elegans</i>)	Insulin Sensitivity Peripheral Tissue	Islet Cell Function	Beta Cell Function
21	pyridaben [96489-71-3] (score = 0.171, *RAR score = 0.063) Use: insecticide Class: diazine phenyl sulfide halide ketone	raloxifene hydrochloride [82640-04-8] (score = 0.095) Use: pharmaceutical Class: SERM	PD 0343701 [676116-04-4] (score = 0.089) Use: pharmaceutical Class: dopamine D2 receptor, 5HT2A	troglitazone [97322-87-7] (score = 0.125) Use: pharmaceutical Class: thiazolidinediones	1,3-diphenyl-1,3-propanedione [120-46-7] (score = 0.083) Use: plasticizer Class: phenyl	glyceryl monoricinoleate [1323-38-2] (score = 0.119) Use: intermediate, emulsifier Class: alcohol carboxylate
22	resorcinol [108-46-3] (score = 0.167, *RAR score = 0.162) Use: intermediate, disinfectant Class: phenol	17beta-estradiol [50-28-2] (score = 0.095) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal estrogen	SSR240612 [NOCAS_47351] (score = 0.087) Use: pharmaceutical Class: kinin B1 receptor antagonist	isazofos [42509-80-8] (score = 0.123) Use: insecticide Class: organophosphate	auramine hydrochloride [2465-27-2] (score = 0.082) Use: dye, disinfectant Class: aniline	clomiphene citrate [50-41-9] (score = 0.117) Use: pharmaceutical Class: SERM ("Clomid")
23	dexamethasone sodium phosphate [2392-39-4] (score = 0.160) Use: pharmaceutical Class: corticosteroid	4,4'-methylenedianiline [101-77-9] (score = 0.093) Use: intermediate Class: aniline	farglitazar [196808-45-4] (score = 0.084) Use: pharmaceutical Class: PPAR γ agonist	famoxadone [131807-57-3] (score = 0.123) Use: fungicide Class: dicarboximide	dibenzothiophene [132-65-0] (score = 0.081) Use: fragrance, flavor Class: benzofuran	17beta-Estradiol [50-28-2] (score = 0.115) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal estrogen
24	phenobarbital sodium [57-30-7] (score = 0.160) Use: pharmaceutical Class: barbituate	pyraflufen-ethyl [129630-19-9] (score = 0.092) Use: herbicide Class: pyridine alkoxy carboxylic acid halide	fomesafen [72178-02-0] (score = 0.084) Use: herbicide Class: diphenyl ether	HMR1171 [328392-46-7] (score = 0.122) Use: pharmaceutical Class: lipid lowering	2,4,6-tribromophenol [118-79-6] (score = 0.080) Use: intermediate, antiseptic Class: phenol halide	SAR150640 [NOCAS_47389] (score = 0.114) Use: pharmaceutical Class: β 3-adrenoceptor agonist
25	CP-457677 [214535-77-0] (score = 0.159) Use: pharmaceutical Class: not assigned	17alpha-ethinylestradiol [57-63-6] (score = 0.092) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal estrogen	diallyl phthalate [131-17-9] (score = 0.084) Use: plasticizer Class: phthalate	pirinixic acid [50892-23-4] (score = 0.121) Use: pharmaceutical Class: PPAR α agonist	sulfasalazine [599-79-1] (score = 0.080) Use: pharmaceutical Class: sulfa drug	N-dodecanoyl-N-methylglycine [97-78-9] (score = 0.111) Use: cosmetic, surfactant Class: carboxylic acid amide

Rank	Adipocyte Differentiation	Feeding Behavior (rodents)	Feeding Behavior (<i>C. elegans</i>)	Insulin Sensitivity Peripheral Tissue	Islet Cell Function	Beta Cell Function
26	basic blue 7 [2390-60-5] (score = 0.156) Use: dye Class: aniline dye	nicotine [54-11-5] (score = 0.090) Use: pharmaceutical, pesticide Class: pyridine amine	methyl parathion [298-00-0] (score = 0.083) Use: insecticide Class: organophosphate	dinocap [39300-45-3] (score = 0.120) Use: fungicide Class: dinitrophenol derivative	1-(6-tert-Butyl-1,1-dimethyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-4-yl)ethanone [13171-00-1] (score = 0.078) Use: fragrance Class: phenyl ketone	pyrimethamine [58-14-0] (score = 0.111) Use: pharmaceutical Class: protozoal infections, antimalarial drug
27	fenpyroximate (score = Z,E) [111812-58-9] (score = 0.156, *RAR score = 0.235) Use: insecticide Class: pyrazole	benzal chloride [98-87-3] (score = 0.088) Use: dye, reactant Class: phenyl halide	N-nitrosodipropylamine [621-64-7] (score = 0.083) Use: breakdown product, research Class: nitrosoamine	Z-tetrachlorvinphos [22248-79-9] (score = 0.119) Use: insecticide Class: organophosphate	chlorpromazine hydrochloride [69-09-0] (score = 0.078) Use: pharmaceutical Class: dopamine antagonist	2-naphthalenol [135-19-3] (score = 0.111) Use: antioxidant, reactant Class: naphthalene alcohol
28	CP-612372 [353280-07-6] (score = 0.155) Use: pharmaceutical Class: not assigned	methyleugenol [93-15-2] (score = 0.088) Use: fragrance, flavor, attractant, anesthetic Class: phenol ethoxylate alkyl	trioctyl trimellitate [89-04-3] (score = 0.083) Use: plasticizer Class: phthalate	apigenin [520-36-5] (score = 0.118) Use: flavone Class: genistein-like	PharmaGSID_48505 [NOCAS_48505] (score = 0.077) Use: pharmaceutical Class: CDK2 inhibitor	propylparaben [94-13-3] (score = 0.104) Use: microbicide Class: paraben
29	2-methyl-5-nitroaniline [99-55-8] (score = 0.155) Use: intermediate Class: aniline nitro	17alpha-estradiol [57-91-0] (score = 0.085) Use: pharmaceutical Class: steroidal estrogen	rifampicin [13292-46-1] (score = 0.083) Use: pharmaceutical Class: antibiotic	sodium dodecyl sulfate [151-21-3] (score = 0.118) Use: surfactant Class: sulfuric acid alkyl	ethyl butyrate [105-54-4] (score = 0.075) Use: flavor Class: carboxylate	SAR377142 [NOCAS_47385] (score = 0.103) Use: pharmaceutical Class: factor Xa inhibitor
30	retinol acetate [127-47-9] (score = 0.154) Use: natural;vitamin Class: carboxylate	piperazine [110-85-0] (score = 0.085) Use: insecticide Class: amine	resorcinol [108-46-3] (score = 0.082) Use: intermediate, disinfectant Class: phenol	sodium 2,4,7-tri(propan-2-yl)naphthalene-1-sulfonate [1323-19-9] (score = 0.118) Use: pesticide other, adjuvant Class: naphthalene sulfuric acid	tolazamide [1156-19-0] (score = 0.074) Use: pharmaceutical Class: sulfonyleurea	pirinixic acid [50892-23-4] (score = 0.102) Use: pharmaceutical Class: PPAR α agonist

Table 3. Rank of signpost chemicals out of 1860 chemicals included in ToxCast

Compound [CASRN]	Adipocyte Differentiation	Feeding Behavior (rodent)	Feeding Behavior (<i>C. elegans</i>)	Insulin Sensitivity	Islet Cell function	Beta Cell function
Amitraz [33089-61-1] Use: insecticide; Class: formamidine	--	134**	113**	419	149**	320
Chlorpromazine hydrochloride [69-09-0] Use: pharmaceutical (conventional antipsychotic); Class: phenyl halide	666	--	1***	490	27***	6***
Haloperidol [52-86-8] Use: pharmaceutical (conventional antipsychotic); Class: phenyl-phenyl [COcN] halide alcohol	423	502	9***	399	7***	9***
Nicotine [54-11-5] Use: pharmaceutical/ pesticide other/ natural; Class: pyridine amine	--	26***	--	--	--	--
Nicotinic acid (niacin) [59-67-6] Use: vitamin; Class: pyridine carboxylic acid	--	--	--	--	--	--
Dexamethasone sodium phosphate [CASRN2392-39-4] Use: pharmaceutical (synthetic corticosteroid); Class: steroid	23**	--	--	--	--	--
Tributyltin benzoate [4342-36-3] Use: microbicide; Class: organometallic	15***	455	135**	154**	197*	146**
Tributyltin chloride [1461-22-9] Use: microbicide; Class: organometallic	69***	81***	257	114**	218*	103**
Tributyltin methacrylate [2155-70-6] Use: microbicide; Class: organometallic	112**	430	449	322	199*	354
Tolazamide [1156-19-0] Use: pharmaceutical (anti-diabetic drug); Class: phenyl sulfonamide amine	--	276*	--	112**	30***	93**
Troglitazone [97322-87-7] Use: pharmaceutical (anti-diabetic drug); Class: not assigned	51***	--	108**	21***	--	69***
Persistent organochlorines¹						
p,p'-DDE [72-55-9]	--	--	--	--	--	--
p,p'-DDT [50-29-3]	--	460	--	--	--	917
o,p'-DDT [789-02-6]	--	272*	689	--	--	699
Heptachlor epoxide [1024-57-3]	--	--	--	--	--	--
Mirex [2385-85-5]	--	--	--	--	--	--
Dieldrin [60-57-1]	--	--	--	--	--	--
β-Hexachlorocyclohexane (β-HCH) [319-85-7]	--	206*	--	--	--	614
Lindane (γ-HCH) [58-89-9]	--	--	707	635	--	481
*** in top ~5 th percentile; ** in top ~10 th percentile; *in top ~15 th percentile; -- not active, score = 0						
¹ Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) or dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE)						

Table 4. Similarity analysis: Top 10 most similar non-pharmaceuticals in ToxCast, (rank ordered by Pearson correlation of z-score values)

Rank	Amitraz	Haloperidol	Nicotine	Dexamethasone	Tributyltin Chloride	Tolazamide	Troglitazone
1	diquat dibromide monohydrate 0.337 [6385-62-2] herbicide	gentian Violet 0.439 [548-62-9] fungicide	mepiquat chloride 0.553 [24307-26-4] herbicide	cyclohexanol 0.292 [108-93-0] precursor	tributyltin methacrylate 0.859 [2155-70-6] microbicide	sucrose 0.477 [57-50-1] sweetener	quinoxifen 0.511 [124495-18-7] herbicide
2	tralkoxydim 0.307 [87820-88-0] herbicide	difenzoquat metilsulfate 0.405 [43222-48-6] herbicide	imidacloprid 0.430 [138261-41-3] insecticide	1,3-dichloro-5,5-dimethylhydantoin 0.268 [118-52-5] disinfectant, reactant	triphenyltin hydroxide 0.517 [76-87-9] fungicide	butylbenzene 0.477 [104-51-8] plasticizer, solvent, surfactant	dichlorprop 0.476 [120-36-5] herbicide
3	pentamidine isethionate 0.288 [140-64-7] microbicide	1-benzylquinolinium chloride 0.4018 [15619-48-4] industrial	triisononyl trimellitate 0.428 [53894-23-8] plasticizer	benzoic acid 0.265 [65-85-0] intermediate, preservative	gentian Violet 0.500 [548-62-9] fungicide	4-aminofolic acid 0.395 [54-62-6] rodenticide	dihexyl phthalate 0.464 [84-75-3] plasticizer
4	N-phenyl-1,4-benzenediamine 0.258 [101-54-2] intermediate	didecyldimethylamm onium chloride 0.388 [7173-51-5] bactericide	acetamiprid 0.328 [135410-20-7] Insecticide	2-phenoxyethanol 0.253 [122-99-6] intermediate, fragrance, solvent	phenylmercuric acetate 0.492 [62-38-4] fungicide	4-nitrotoluene 0.351 [99-99-0] reactant	3,3',5,5'-tetrabromobispheno l A 0.442 [79-94-7] flame retardant
5	FD&C Yellow 5 0.256 [1934-21-0] dye	pentamidine isethionate 0.387 [140-64-7] microbicide	thiacloprid 0.299 [111988-49-9] insecticide	pentaerythritol 0.249 [115-77-5] explosives/weapons	didecyldimethylammo nium chloride 0.483 [7173-51-5] bactericide	methenamine 0.348 [100-97-0] intermediate	oxadiazon 0.434 [19666-30-9] herbicide
6	mercuric chloride 0.252 [7487-94-7] bactericide	mercuric chloride 0.382 [7487-94-7] bactericide	clothianidin 0.276 [210880-92-5] Insecticide	clove leaf oil 0.237 [8000-34-8] natural	oethilinone 0.444 [26530-20-1] fungicide	pyrithiobac-sodium 0.343 [123343-16-8] herbicide	clotrimazole 0.412 [23593-75-1] fungicide

Rank	Amitraz	Haloperidol	Nicotine	Dexamethasone	Tributyltin Chloride	Tolazamide	Troglitazone
7	difenzoquat metilsulfate 0.248 [43222-48-6] herbicide	tributyltin methacrylate 0.373 [2155-70-6] microbicide	nitrobenzene 0.251 [98-95-3] Reactant	1-tetradecanol 0.229 [112-72-1] intermediate	mercuric chloride 0.395 [7487-94-7] bactericide	4-vinyl-1-cyclohexene dioxide 0.335 [106-87-6] pesticide, reactant	spirodiclofen 0.408 [148477-71-8] insecticide
8	FD&C Yellow 6 0.246 [2783-94-0] dye	Dodecyltrimethylammonium chloride 0.369 [112-00-5] bactericide	Biphenyl 0.247 [92-52-4] intermediate, fungicide	sodium saccharin hydrate 0.228 [82385-42-0] additive	1,2-Benzisothiazolin-3-one 0.383 [2634-33-5] fungicide	Novaluron 0.321 [116714-46-6] insecticide	Octrizole 0.408 [3147-75-9] UV absorber
9	1,2-benzisothiazolin-3-one 0.238 [2634-33-5] fungicide	N-methyldioctylamine 0.354 [4455-26-9] reactant	2,6-dimethylphenol 0.238 [576-26-1] intermediate	4,4'-bipyridine 0.216 [553-26-4] degradate	2,4-bis(1-methyl-1-phenylethyl)phenol 0.381 [2772-45-4] intermediate	etridiazole 0.316 [2593-15-9] fungicide	butralin 0.404 [33629-47-9] herbicide
10	forchlorfenuron 0.227 [68157-60-8] plant growth regulator	tributyltin chloride 0.334 [1461-22-9] microbicide	2-butoxyethanol 0.238 [111-76-2] solvent	diacetone alcohol 0.214 [123-42-2] solvent	ziram 0.377 [137-30-4] fungicide	2,4,6-trichlorophenol 0.297 [88-06-2] herbicide, fungicide, reactant	2,4-bis(1-methyl-1-phenylethyl)phenol 0.399 [2772-45-4] intermediate

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Analytical framework for source data and analyses

Figure 1. Analytical framework for source data and analyses

