Exposure to environmental stressors can induce oxidative stress in cells and result in a decrease in reducing potential and metabolic transformation to reactive intermediates (Nguyen et al. 2009; Simmons et al. 2011). Exogenous sources of oxidative stress include ionizing radiation, chemicals, and ultraviolet light, and endogenous sources include cellular signaling and metabolic processes or inflammation (Altieri et al. 2008; De Bont and van Larebeke 2004). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) induce damage to proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids leading to various cellular dysfunctions including apoptosis and necrosis (Simmons et al. 2011). Oxidative stress has been implicated in the pathogenesis of a variety of diseases ranging from cancer to neurodegeneration (Kobayashi 2010). In order to reduce the effects of oxidative stress, cells have developed adaptive stress response pathways involving induction of cytoprotective genes and repair of oxidant damage (Simmons et al. 2011).

The expression of many antioxidant enzymes is induced at the transcriptional level during oxidative stress and mediated by a cis-acting element, the antioxidant response element (ARE) (Friling et al. 1990). Overall, genes that are modulated by the ARE are involved in various aspects of cytoprotection, including producing antioxidants, inactivating ROS, and detoxifying xenobiotics (phase II enzymes). Despite the ability of several nuclear transcription factors such as Jun proteins (Dhakshinamoorthy and Jaiswal 2000; Jaiswal 1994; Venugopal and Jaiswal 1998) to bind ARE, activation of an ARE-mediated transcriptional response of downstream target genes is primarily mediated by nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 (Nr2f2) (Jaiswal 2004; Moi et al. 1994).

Nr2f2 is expressed in many tissues, including the liver, kidney, skin, lung, and gastrointestinal tract (Moi et al. 1994; Motohashi et al. 2002). Under normal cellular conditions, Nr2f2 is sequestered in the cytoplasm by its negative regulator kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1) and maintained at low levels through ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation (Hur and Gray 2011). Keap1 cysteine residues act as ROS sensors and undergo a conformational change during oxidative stress conditions. This leads to the release and nuclear translocation of Nr2f2, which then directs transcription of ARE-containing cytoprotective genes (Hur and Gray 2011). Because of its importance in disease prevention, the Nr2f2 pathway is an attractive therapeutic target for high throughput screening efforts (Hu et al. 2010; Hur et al. 2010; Saw and Kong 2011; Tufekci et al. 2011).

Although the ARE pathway is important for compound detoxification, wide-scale testing of chemicals for their ability to induce this pathway has not occurred. One of the primary goals of the U.S. Tox21 initiative (Shukla et al. 2010) is the identification and prioritization of chemicals for further toxicological evaluation and development of predictive toxicity models of the in vivo response (Collins et al. 2008). During Tox21 Phase I, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Chemical Genomics Center (NCGC) screened two compound libraries (each with approximately 1,400 compounds) provided by the U.S. National Toxicology Program (NTP) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in quantitative high throughput screening (qHTS) assays (Xia et al. 2008, 2009, 2011). The data generated have been used to identify the most robust assays for Tox21 Phase II, in which a library of >10,000 compounds will be screened—initially across a battery of nuclear receptor and stress response pathway assays. Here, we report on a set of studies performed to assess the potential for compounds in the NTP Phase I library to induce the ARE pathway. We screened 1,408 compounds using two reporter gene-based assays in HepG2 cells. One assay utilized a β-lactamase reporter gene (the ARE-βla assay) and the other a luciferase reporter gene (the ARE- luc assay).
reporter gene (the ARE-luc assay); the two assays differed in their ability to identify compounds that activate ARE through Nrf2-specific or nonspecific mechanisms. Selected compounds were restested in follow-up studies that included a mutated ARE reporter gene assay (where true active compounds should be inactive in this assay). Using this approach, we identified several known and novel inducers of ARE in addition to highlighting structural features of these compounds that confer activity across the assays.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and conditions. The Invitrogen CellSensor® ARE-bla HepG2 cell line (Life Technologies, Madison, WI), contains three stably integrated copies of the ARE derived from the reduced form of human nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) quinone oxidoreductase 1 gene (NQO1) (Dhakshinamoorthy and Jaiswal 2000) driving the expression of a downstream β-lactamase reporter gene. The ARE-luc HepG2 cell line has been previously described (Simmons et al. 2011). Briefly, a Nrf2-responsive luciferase reporter gene was engineered to specifically measure Nrf2-dependent transcriptional activity. In an effort to identify artifacts associated with the ARE-bla assay, such as fluorescence (Simeonov et al. 2008), we used the ARE-bla-mut assay (Simmons et al. 2011) in follow-up studies. All assay conditions are further described in Supplemental Material, p. 2 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104709). The molecular characteristics of these three assays are provided in Figure 1 and Table 1.

ARE reporter gene assays. ARE-bla, ARE-luc, and ARE-bla-mut HepG2 cells were resuspended in assay medium (growth medium plus 1% diazylated fetal bovine serum) and dispensed at 2,000 cells/5 µL/well. Cells were plated in 1,536-well black wall/clear bottom plates (Greiner Bio-One North America, Monroe, NC) for ARE-bla and ARE-bla-mut assays and in 1,536-well white wall/solid bottom plates (Greiner) for the ARE-luc assay using a Flying Reagent Dispenser (Aurora Discovery, Carlsbad, CA). After incubation at 37°C for 6 hr to allow cell attachment to the well bottom, 23 nL of compound dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or DMSO only was added to the assay plates via pintool (Kalypsys, San Diego, CA); plates were then incubated for an additional 16 hr overnight (exposure duration was determined for optimal expression of β-lactamase after performing several time course experiments; data not shown). The next day (for the ARE-bla and ARE-bla-mut assays), 1 µL of LiveBLAzer™ (Invitrogen; Life Technologies) detection mix was added to each well and the plates were subsequently incubated at room temperature in the dark for 2 hr. Fluorescence intensity after 405 nm excitation was measured at 460 and 530 nm emissions by an Envision plate reader (PerkinElmer, Boston, MA). Data were represented as the ratio of the 460/530 emission values. To measure ARE induction using the luciferase reporter readout, 9 µL One-Glo luciferase reagent (Promega, Madison, WI) was added to each well. After a 30-min room temperature incubation, plates were read on a ViewLux plate reader (PerkinElmer) using a 20-sec exposure time.

The NTP 1,408 compound library and compound profiling. The NTP collection of 1,408 compounds has been previously described (Xia et al. 2008). Compound reproducibility within each assay was calculated using the 66 replicate compounds in the NTP library (Huang et al. 2011), leaving 1,340 unique compounds. All compounds were prepared as 10-mM stock solutions and screened at 12 concentrations. Final compound concentrations ranged from 0.59 nM to 92 µM. To achieve the 92-µM concentration, 23 nL of compound was transferred twice from the highest concentration compound plate into each well of the assay plate. A total of 18 plates, including DMSO-only plates, were tested for the ARE-bla and ARE-luc assays. β-naphthoflavone, a known ARE inducer (Dhakshinamoorthy and Jaiswal 2000; Dewa et al. 2008), was used as a positive control. The control well layout for the primary screening is described in Supplemental Material, pp. 2–3 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104709).

Figure 1. Schematics of ARE-bla and ARE-luc reporter gene assays. The ARE-bla reporter gene harbors three AREs derived from the human NQO1 gene upstream of a basic (minimal) promoter that drives the expression of β-lactamase. The ARE-luc reporter gene harbors seven multimerized inverted consensus AREs upstream of a synthetic basic (minimal) promoter, which contains only Nrf2 binding sequences and CCAAT and TATA boxes that drive the expression of firefly luciferase.

Table 1. Cell-based assays used in the antioxidant response element (ARE) profiling and follow-up studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay characteristics</th>
<th>ARE-bla</th>
<th>ARE-luc</th>
<th>ARE-bla-mut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporter</td>
<td>β-Lactamase&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Luciferase&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>β-Lactamase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell lineage</td>
<td>Monoclonal</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of AREs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARE type</td>
<td>Expanded&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Core&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mutant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARE spacing</td>
<td>15 bp</td>
<td>12 bp</td>
<td>12 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARE helical turn</td>
<td>180°</td>
<td>72°</td>
<td>72°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARE orientation</td>
<td>Sense&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Antisense&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal promoter</td>
<td>Minimal viral</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: bp, base pair; NA, not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>β-Naphthoflavone (46 µM–1.4 nM) used as positive control in primary screening assay. 
<sup>b</sup>Defined by Nerland et al. (2007).
efficacy and an $R^2$ of $>0.9$. Curve classes 1.2 and 2.2 show 30–80% efficacy and an $R^2$ of $>0.9$. Thus, curve classes 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, and 2.2 are the highest confidence curves associated with compound activity. Other curves and subclasses show either single-point activity (class 3) or have lower efficacies and $R^2$ values (30–80% and $<0.9$, respectively) and hence are associated with inconclusive activity. Class 4 curves do not exhibit a CRC and are inactive.

Hierarchical clustering of compound activity patterns was performed with Spotfire DecisionSite version 8.2 (TIBCO Spotfire Inc., Cambridge, MA) using correlation of the log EC$_{50}$ values as the similarity metric across the three follow-up assays [see Supplemental Material, Figure S1 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104709)].

**Structure-activity relationship (SAR) analysis and follow-up studies.** The NTP 1408 compound structures were first converted into 2,048-bit Daylight© fingerprints (Daylight Chemical Information Systems Inc., Laguna Niguel, CA) and then clustered using the Self-Organizing Map algorithm (Kohonen 2006), resulting in 285 clusters. A total of 34 (3%) were identified as Nrf2-specific ARE pathway inducers (see Supplemental Material, Tables S1–S2) and were confirmed in the ARE-blaluc assay [see http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104709]. There were 34 high-quality active compounds that were common between the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc assays (see Supplemental Material, Table S3). The majority of the 34 compounds had average EC$_{50}$ values of 25 μM (ARE-blaluc) and 37 μM (ARE-luc) and included known ARE inducers such as curcumin (Balstad et al. 2011) and acetochlor (Rakitsky et al. 2000).

**Confirmation of ARE pathway inducers.** To confirm the activity of a subset of compounds based on activity profiles from the primary screening, the 50 compounds that passed quality control measures were retested in the original ARE assays and the ARE-blaluc-mut assay to identify promiscuous β-lactamase activators. Of the 50 compounds retested in the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc assays, 47 (94%) and 45 (90%), respectively, had confirmed activity between the primary screening and follow-up studies. Three nonconfirming compounds (melphalan, 4-chloro-phenylendiamine, and 1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate) all showed activity in the primary ARE-blaluc assay but were deemed inactive.

**Results**

**Identification of environmental compounds that induce the ARE pathway.** Both the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc cell-based assays performed well, with average $Z'$ factors (Zhang et al. 1999) of 0.71 and 0.69, respectively. β-Napthoflavone, a known ARE inducer, replicated well across all 18 plates for both assays with average EC$_{50}$ values of 2.1 μM and 5.2 μM in the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc assays, respectively. Because there were 66 duplicate compounds represented in the NTP collection, we calculated reproducibility for both ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc assays using the ratio readout. There was a 97% and 100% concordance rate for the 66 duplicate compounds for the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc assays, respectively. In the ARE-blaluc assay, the EC$_{50}$ and efficacy values of the high-quality replicates ($n = 17$) correlated well with $R$-values of 0.94 and 0.70, respectively. The EC$_{50}$ and efficacy values for the high-quality (curve classes 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2) replicates ($n = 3$) in the ARE-luc assay were well correlated, with $R$-values of 0.99 and 0.95, respectively.

Of the 1,340 unique NTP compounds, 388 (29%) high-quality ARE pathway inducers were identified in the ARE-blaluc assay and 44 (3%) were identified as Nrf2-specific ARE pathway inducers in the ARE-luc assay (see Supplemental Material, Figure S2). There were 34 high-quality active compounds that were confirmed in both the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc assays (see Supplemental Material, Table S3). The majority of the 34 compounds had average EC$_{50}$ values of 25 μM (ARE-blaluc) and 37 μM (ARE-luc) and included known ARE inducers such as curcumin (Balstad et al. 2011) and acetochlor (Rakitsky et al. 2000).

**Confirmation of ARE pathway inducers.** To confirm the activity of a subset of compounds based on activity profiles from the primary screening, the 50 compounds that passed quality control measures were retested in the original ARE assays and the ARE-blaluc-mut assay to identify promiscuous β-lactamase activators. Of the 50 compounds retested in the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc assays, 47 (94%) and 45 (90%), respectively, had confirmed activity between the primary screening and follow-up studies. Three nonconfirming compounds (melphalan, 4-chloro-phenylendiamine, and 1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate) all showed activity in the primary ARE-blaluc assay but were deemed inactive.

**Figure 2.** Compounds from cluster 1 with selective activity in the ARE-blaluc (A) and ARE-luc (B) assays. Compounds shown were chosen for follow-up studies and tested across the two ARE reporter gene assays. Each concentration response curve and EC$_{50}$ value represents the mean ± SD response of ARE-blaluc ($n = 5$) or ARE-luc ($n = 3$) determinations.
inactive upon follow-up screening. Of the 5 nonconfirming compounds, 3 (rothane, \( o \)-aminophenol, and lithocholic acid) were inactive in the primary ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay and active in the follow-up assay, and 2 (\( N \)-isopropyl-\( n \)′-phenyl-\( p \)-phenylendiamine and \( n \)-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-\( n \)′-phenyl-\( p \)-phenylendiamine) were active in the primary ARE-\( \text{luc} \) assay and inactive in the follow-up assay.

The activity profiles of the compounds across each follow-up assay are shown in the heat map in Supplemental Material, Figure S1 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104709), where 36/50 (72%) and 32/50 (64%) were active in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) and ARE-\( \text{luc} \) assays, respectively. Of the 50 compounds that were retested, 30 (60%) were active across both assays (see Supplemental Material, Table S4) and inactive in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay only, or active except for 4 compounds that may exhibit autofluorescence (benzo[\( \beta \)]fluoranthene, benzo[\( \beta \)]fluoranthene, D&C Yellow II, and iodochlorohydroxyquinoline).

SAR of ARE pathway inducers. Fifty compounds representing 26 clusters (with ≥ 1 compounds per cluster) (see Supplemental Material, Table S4) were chosen for follow-up on the basis of structural similarity and activity patterns across the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) and ARE-\( \text{luc} \) assays. Further interrogation of each cluster revealed various activity patterns within each cluster (i.e., active in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay only, active in the ARE-\( \text{luc} \) assay only, or active in both assays) (see Supplemental Material, Table S4). Analysis of activity patterns combined with chemical structure information may provide insight into why certain compounds within the same cluster show differential activity among the ARE assays. Cluster 1 contains iodochlorohydroxyquinoline, 8-hydroxyquinoline, 1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate, and quinoline (Figure 2). Iodochlorohydroxyquinoline and 8-hydroxyquinoline were active in both ARE assays, with iodochlorohydroxyquinoline being the only compound from this cluster active in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay (data not shown).

Cluster 5 contains 3-dimethylaminophenol, 2-amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole, and 2-amino-4-methylbenzothiazole. The efficacy of iodochlorohydroxyquinoline in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay was lower (21%) than in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay (41%), suggesting ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) activity. Both quinoline and 1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate were inactive across all assays. Cluster 5 contains 3 compounds (Figure 3, and see Supplemental Material Table S4): 2-amino-4-methylbenzothiazole and 2-amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole were active only in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay, whereas 2-amino-benzothiazole was inactive in all assays. Cluster 7 contains 2-aminophenol, 2-amino-4-methylbenzothiazole, and 2-amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole. The efficacy of iodochlorohydroxyquinoline in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay was lower (21%) than in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay (41%), suggesting ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) activity.

Cluster 7 contains 3-dimethylaminophenol, \( N \)-methyl-\( p \)-aminophenol sulfate, \( N,N \)-dimethyl-\( p \)-nitrosoaniline, and \( N,N \)-dimethyl-\( p \)-phenylendiamine (Figure 4). Only 3-dimethylaminophenol was inactive in both the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) and ARE-\( \text{luc} \) assays. The 1,4-substitutions, as opposed to a 1,3-substitution, on the phenyl ring could be important for these compounds’ ARE activity. Cluster 8 contains acetochlor, alachlor, chlorambucil, and melphalan (Figure 5). Whereas acetochlor and alachlor were active in both the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) and ARE-\( \text{luc} \) assays, chlorambucil and melphalan were inactive across all assays. Although sharing a common phenylamine substructure, these four compounds can be further divided into two subgroups—with acetochlor and alachlor in one group sharing the larger

Figure 3. Compounds from cluster 5 with selective activity in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay. Compounds shown were chosen for follow-up studies and tested across ARE reporter gene assays. Each concentration response curve and EC\(_{50}\) value represents the mean ± SD response of ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay determinations (\( n = 5 \)). Compounds were inactive in the ARE-\( \text{luc} \) assay (data not shown.)

Figure 4. Compounds from cluster 7 with selective activity in the ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) (A) and ARE-\( \text{luc} \) (B) assays. Compounds shown were chosen for follow-up studies and tested across ARE reporter gene assays. Each concentration response curve and EC\(_{50}\) value represents the mean ± SD response of ARE-\( \text{blaluc} \) assay determinations (\( n = 5 \)) or ARE-\( \text{luc} \) (\( n = 3 \)) determinations.
2-chloro-N-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-N-(methoxy-
methyl)-acetamide core, and chlorambucil and melphalan in the other group, sharing the larger N,N-bis(2-chloroethyl)aniline core. The acetamide-containing group may be important for inducing ARE activity.

**Discussion**

The strategy reported here is a comprehensive utilization of qHTS, multiple cell-based approaches, SAR, and cluster analysis as a first step in the identification of chemicals that induce the ARE signaling pathway. Specifically, we used two different reporter-based assays to profile 1,408 compounds for their ability to induce the ARE pathway [the entire strategy is summarized in Supplemental Material, Figure S2 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104709)]. The ARE-blaluc reporter was constructed using entirely synthetic sequences designed to identify ARE activators operating only through a Nrf2-dependent mechanism. Among the 1,340 unique compounds in the primary screen, we identified 388 (29%) and 44 (3%) actives in the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc assays, respectively. Fifty compounds were chosen for follow-up studies on the basis of SAR and biological activity profiles, with 90–94% of these compounds confirming activity in follow-up screening. Out of the 50 compounds retested, 3 were active in primary screening and inactive upon follow-up testing, giving a 6% false positive rate in the ARE-blaluc assay. The false positive and false negative (compounds that were active in follow-up testing) rates for the ARE-luc assay were 4% (2/50) and 6% (3/50), respectively. As expected, the majority of compounds (78%, 34/44) that were active in the ARE-luc assay were also active in the ARE-blaluc assay upon follow-up testing. Thus, a number of chemicals were confirmed to operate through an ARE-dependent manner as evidenced through the use of two different reporter genes.

The utilization of different assay formats facilitated the identification of pharmacological characteristics associated with the ability or inability to activate Nrf2 transcriptional activity in one or both assays. Marked differences existed in the primary screening results between the number of active compounds identified in the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc assays. As seen in Table 1, there are differences between the two cell-based assays employed, including the reporter, cell lineage (monoclonal vs. polyclonal), number of ARE sequences located in the enhancer regions and their orientation, and basal promoter. One main difference between the two cell-based models is that the ARE sequence for the ARE-blaluc line is derived from the NQO1 gene, whereas the ARE sequence for the ARE-luc line is derived from the heme oxygenase (decycling) 1 gene (HMOX1). Both of these genes are well-characterized Nrf2 target genes: NQO1 contains ARE sequences in the sense direction, and HMOX1 contains ARE sequences in the antisense direction (Nerland 2007). ARE elements associated within the HMOX1 promoter are located much farther upstream of the transcription start site (Knorr-Wittmann et al. 2005), whereas the ARE element found in NQO1 is located more proximal to the start site (Nioi et al. 2003). The different ARE target genes used for the promoter and enhancer sequences resulted in either sense (NQO1, ARE-blaluc) or antisense (HMOX1, ARE-luc) orientation of the ARE response elements. Additionally, the proximity of the antiparallel ARE sequences to the reporter transcription start sites may affect transcription and sensitivity of a particular assay.

Another key difference between the assays is the monoclonal and polyclonal origins of the ARE-blaluc and ARE-luc cell lines, respectively. The integration site(s) of the reporter transgene has a stronger influence on reporter performance/fidelity in monoclonal lines because of the single integration pattern, which could enhance or pervert reporter gene function. In other words, the integration site for the selected monoclonal cell line may provide access for Nrf2, or it may harbor sites for other DNA-binding proteins (non-ARE specific) that affect reporter function. In terms of a virally derived (ARE-blaluc) or synthetically derived (ARE-luc) minimal promoter, viral promoters are bound by ubiquitous transcription factors such as NFkB and SP1 and can induce β-lactamase expression in a Nrf2-independent manner (Bakovic et al. 2000; Hiscott et al. 2001). Because the ARE-luc was designed to eliminate the predicted binding sites for nearly all non-Nrf2 DNA-binding proteins,

---

**Figure 5.** Compounds from cluster 8 with selective activity in the ARE-blaluc (A) and ARE-luc (B) assays. Compounds shown were chosen for follow-up studies and tested across ARE reporter gene assays. Each concentration response curve and EC50 value represents the mean ± SD response of ARE-blaluc (n = 5) or ARE-luc (n = 3) determinations.

Shukla et al.
it is expected that the ARE-luc assay would detect fewer ARE actives. It is also possible that Nrf2 interacts with other transcription factors bound to a virally derived minimal promoter to more effectively stimulate reporter expression in response to oxidative stress in comparison with a synthetic promoter. Overall, differences in sensitivity between the two assays resulting from promoter/enhancer construction, ARE orientation, and other factors may explain discrepancies in the results and predispose the ARE-bla assay to false positives and ARE-luc assay to false negatives. Thus, it may be beneficial to use both assays for identifying compounds that induce oxidative stress.

Even though a much larger library of 1.2 million small molecules has been screened in HTS for ARE inducers (Hur et al. 2010), this is the first study to profile a large set of mostly environmental compounds in a qHTS format (for primary and follow-up screening) to identify inducers of the ARE pathway and, by extrapolation, compounds capable of inducing oxidative stress. The use of a paired cell line approach in addition to an ARE-bla mutant assay helped to confirm compounds that operate through an ARE-Nrf2 based mechanism. Furthermore, SAR and cluster analysis identified known (e.g., quinoline compounds) and novel compounds, where the identification of known compounds confirms the use of this approach as a tool for prioritizing compounds in follow-up studies. This approach could be used also to evaluate the bioactivity profile of a large number of mixtures (Simmons et al. 2009; Tal et al. 2010), and in the next phase of Tox21, a number of mixtures as well as each individual constituent will be screened as part of the Tox21 10,000-compound library in these assays. Since oxidative stress has been associated with a number of diseases, we attempted to identify structural features of compounds from different structure classes and activity groups to obtain a better understanding of the mechanism of ARE pathway activation.

While it is reasonable to assume that the compounds identified by this approach induce ARE activity through oxidative stress, it might be possible that Nrf2 can be induced through an unrelated mechanism. For example, resveratrol and t-sulfonate, compounds active in the ARE assays used in this study, are generally considered to be antioxidants (Kelsey et al. 2010). However, consistent with the Nrf2 response, resveratrol has been shown to induce DNA damage in vitro over the same concentration range tested here (Fox et al. 2012).

We identified two benzothiazole compounds that only demonstrated activity in the ARE-bla assay (Figure 3). Benzothiazoles have various known biological properties including antimicrobial and anti-tumorigenic activity (Kamal et al. 2009; Leong et al. 2003; Yoshida et al. 2005). Our results indicate that phenyl ring substitutions on the 2-aminobenzothiazoles may be necessary to confer the type of activity observed in the ARE-bla assay. However, 2-amino-5-methylbenzothiazole and 2-amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole have not previously been reported as ARE inducers and may need further investigation to clarify their activity. There were three compounds in cluster 7 [Figure 4, Supplemental Material, Table S4 (http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104709)] that demonstrated activity in the ARE-bla and ARE-luc assays. N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylene-diamine produces a long-lived radical cation, which may induce oxidative stress (Verde et al. 2002). N-methyl-p-aminophenol sulfate and N,N-dimethyl-p-nitrosamine may be novel compounds with regard to their role in oxidative stress. Cluster 8 contained two compounds, acetochlor and alachlor, which demonstrated activity in both ARE-bla and ARE-luc assays (Figure 5). Both compounds are commonly used herbicides, believed to share a common mechanism of toxicity based on their ability to cause nasal tumors (Wilson et al. 2011). Furthermore, genomic data obtained on the olfactory mucosa of rats treated with alachlor indicate up-regulation of genes associated with the generation of ROS and resulting oxidative damage (Genter et al. 2002). Cluster 1 also contained two compounds that demonstrated activity in all three assays (iodochlorohydroxyquinoline) or in both the ARE-bla and ARE-luc assays (8-hydroxyquinoline) (Figure 2, Supplemental Material Table S4). Although iodochlorohydroxyquinoline demonstrated activity in the ARE-bla-mut assay, the efficacy (21%) was considerably lower than in the ARE-bla assay (40%), supporting the activity of iodochlorohydroxyquinoline in the ARE pathway. The generation of ROS has been confirmed in cell-based testing (Chen et al. 2009), and this compound was noted to activate hypoxia-inducible factor 1 in a β-lactamase reporter gene assay (Xia et al. 2009), further supporting iodochlorohydroxyquinoline activity in the ARE pathway. 8-Hydroxyquinoline, used in the development of pesticides and herbicides (Li et al. 2010), has been shown to induce the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway in HeLa cells, a pathway important in oxidative stress-mediated cell death (Chen et al. 2009). The halide substituents may be associated with the promiscuous behavior of iodochlorohydroxyquinoline in the β-lactamase assays that is due to activity in the ARE-bla-mut assay, whereas the hydroxyl group in 8-hydroxyquinoline appears to be responsible for its activity in the ARE-bla and ARE-luc assays (and for the activity of iodochlorohydroxyquinoline in the ARE-luc assay). In both of these cases, this hydroxyl group appears to be important for conferring activity.

Conclusions

The ARE-bla assay may identify compounds that induce ARE through complex interactions of multiple transcription factors, whereas the ARE-luc assay may capture the activity of Nrf2 in response to oxidative stress. The use of multiple reporter-based assays to test ARE pathway activity has the potential to reveal compound-specific signatures that can be used to further cluster chemicals on the basis of biological activity profiling (Simmons et al. 2009). Indeed, we were able to identify structural features of several compounds within the same cluster that conferred differences in activity profiles; this information may potentially be used to predict the ability of other compounds to induce the ARE pathway.

Thus, primary screening assays such as those employed in this study can facilitate the simultaneous evaluation of thousands of chemicals over a broad concentration range. Detailed mechanistic information obtained from lower throughput, higher content assays are the next steps to elucidate mechanism of action. In such studies, the effect of compounds on the ARE pathway would be assessed in relevant cells/tissues exposed to environmentally relevant concentration ranges encompassing likely human exposures. Furthermore, it would be useful to determine whether compounds active for inducing ARE are active in other stress response pathway assays, such as those that measure DNA damage, inflammation, and ER stress. Additional studies may also include characterization of Nrf2 transcription for compounds that only showed activity in the ARE-bla assay, in order to assess Nrf2 and non-Nrf2-mediated ARE induction. Additional downstream assays, such as measuring glutathione depletion, may provide support for the utility of the pathway-based screening approaches described here.
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