Evaluating Potential Response-Modifying Factors for Associations between Ozone and Health Outcomes: A Weight-of-Evidence Approach
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BACKGROUND: Epidemiologic and experimental studies have reported a variety of health effects in response to ozone (O₃) exposure, and some have indicated that certain populations may be at increased or decreased risk of O₃-related health effects.

OBJECTIVES: We sought to identify potential response-modifying factors to determine whether specific groups of the population or life stages are at increased or decreased risk of O₃-related health effects using a weight-of-evidence approach.

METHODS: Epidemiologic, experimental, and exposure science studies of potential factors that may modify the relationship between O₃ and health effects were identified in U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s 2013 Integrated Science Assessment for Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants. Scientific evidence from studies that examined factors that may influence risk were integrated across disciplines to evaluate consistency, coherence, and biological plausibility of effects. The factors identified were then classified using a weight-of-evidence approach to conclude whether a specific factor modified the response of a population or life stage, resulting in an increased or decreased risk of O₃-related health effects.

DISCUSSION: We found “adequate” evidence that populations with certain genotypes, preexisting asthma, or reduced intake of certain nutrients, as well as different life stages or outdoor workers, are at increased risk of O₃-related health effects. In addition, we identified other factors (i.e., sex, socioeconomic status, and obesity) for which there was “suggestive” evidence that they may increase the risk of O₃-related health effects.

CONCLUSIONS: Using a weight-of-evidence approach, we identified a diverse group of factors that should be considered when characterizing the overall risk of health effects associated with exposures to ambient O₃.


Introduction

As discussed in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, the health-based, or primary, National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for the criteria air pollutants [U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 2011b], which include ozone (O₃), are intended to provide an adequate margin of safety that is requisite to protect public health from ambient air pollution, taking into consideration “measures which may be employed to ... protect the health of sensitive or susceptible individuals or groups” (Clean Air Act Amendments 1990). Therefore, as part of the NAAQS process, it is important to thoroughly evaluate the available scientific evidence to accurately identify those populations or life stages at increased risk of an air pollutant–related health effect. The most recent review of the scientific evidence that supports the NAAQS for O₃ included an evaluation of factors that may increase or decrease the risk of air pollutant–related health effects (U.S. EPA 2013).

Populations can experience increased risk for air pollutant–related health effects at a given concentration as a result of multiple avenues, specifically a) intrinsic factors, b) extrinsic factors, and/or c) increased dose (Samet 2011). Intrinsic factors are often defined as individual characteristics that may increase risk through a biological mechanism (e.g., age, sex, genetics), whereas extrinsic factors represent external, nonbiological factors, such as socioeconomic status (SES) or access to health care. Some portions of the population may be at increased risk of an air pollutant–related health effect due to increased internal dose at a given exposure concentration. In addition, populations may be at increased risk of an air pollutant–induced health effect due to differential exposure as a result of, for example, being subjected to higher concentrations of an air pollutant through occupations requiring outdoor work, residential locations near areas of higher concentration, or the lack of household air conditioning units to reduce indoor O₃ concentrations (Samet 2011). Factors that modify the risk of air pollutant–related health effects may be multifaceted, resulting in a population being at increased or decreased risk because of more than one of these components.

Identifying populations at increased or decreased risk of an air pollutant–related health effect requires defining the attributes of a population that could render them at increased or decreased risk. Previous reviews, such as Sacks et al. (2011), have introduced the idea of using an all-encompassing term, such as “susceptible,” to shift the emphasis away from classifying factors that modify risk into groups such as “susceptible” or “vulnerable” because those terms have been used inconsistently across the literature. This approach, therefore, allows for the focus of any evaluation to be on the fundamental question “What populations are at greatest risk and what evidence forms the basis of this conclusion?” instead of on the categorization of factors. Over time, it has become evident that even the term “susceptible” has underlying connotations and does not accurately capture the entirety of the factors that could modify the risk of an air pollutant–related health effect. As such, we introduce the term “response-modifying factor” (RMF), which we define as any condition or state that alters the exposure or response from an environmental pollutant. RMFs can include intrinsic factors, extrinsic factors, factors that result in differences in dose, and/or factors that result in differential exposure.

The focus on the term “response” characterizes the fact that the studies evaluated to...
of the key health end points related to O₃ exposure (i.e., respiratory morbidity, cardiovascular morbidity, mortality, reproductive and developmental effects, and cancer) as well as emerging effects in air pollution literature. The literature search strategy is described in detail in Supplemental Material, “Literature search strategy.”

**Overall study selection and evaluation of study quality.** Once the entire body of scientific literature that examined the effect of O₃ exposure on various health effects was identified, the U.S. EPA followed a detailed study selection process to identify those references most relevant (i.e., policy relevant) to the O₃ NAAQS review and evaluate their overall quality (see Supplemental Material, “Study selection and evaluation of individual study quality”). Policy-relevant and informative studies include those that provide a basis for or describe the relationship between O₃ exposure and effects, including studies that offered innovative methods or design and studies that reduced uncertainty on critical issues. Emphasis was placed on studies that examined effects associated with O₃ concentrations relevant to current population exposures, and particularly those pertaining to O₃ concentrations currently found in ambient air. However, studies with higher concentrations were included if they contained unique data, such as a previously unreported biological effect or mode of action or if they examined multiple O₃ concentrations to elucidate exposure–response relationships. After selecting studies for inclusion, the individual study quality was evaluated by considering the design, methods, and documentation of each study, but not whether the results were positive, negative, or null. This systematic approach to evaluating the literature has been used during the reviews of ISAs for all of the criteria pollutants, including O₃, which undergo extensive review by an independent panel of subject matter experts, the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee.

**Selection of RMF studies.** Of the large body of policy-relevant references (approximately 2,200 studies) that examined the relationship between O₃ exposure and health effects and were included in the 2013 O₃ ISA, for this overview, we focused on a subset of studies that contained information on whether specific factors modified the O₃–associated health response. Details on this approach have been reported previously by Sacks et al. (2011). Briefly, the focus was placed on studies that conducted stratified analyses (e.g., males vs. females) because these studies allowed for a comparison between populations within the same study design. We also evaluated experimental studies (toxicologic and controlled human exposure) to inform coherence with the health effects observed in epidemiologic studies as well as to provide an understanding of biological plausibility. Finally, we included those studies that examined RMFs that may result in differential air pollutant exposures and subsequently a greater risk of O₃-related health effects in a specific subset of the population, such as studies of outdoor workers.

**Evaluation and characterization of scientific evidence.** For each RMF, the scientific evidence from each study evaluated was integrated across the scientific disciplines (i.e., epidemiologic, controlled human exposure, toxicologic, and exposure sciences studies). It is through this integration that we applied the aspects described by Sir Austin Bradford Hill (Hill 1965)—which included consistency within a discipline, coherence across disciplines, and biologically plausibility—to assess whether a specific factor resulted in a population or life stage being at increased or decreased risk (see Supplemental Material, Table S1). When evaluating the collective evidence for a specific RMF, although the interpretation of individual studies is important, we focused on the overall pattern of effects across studies. For epidemiologic studies, effect measure modification was not necessarily deemed to be present if one comparison group had statistically significant findings while the other group did not. The evaluation of each study included in this overview focused on the examination of the magnitude, direction, and precision of the effect. Evidence of effect measure modification was noted when two comparison groups had different point estimates, regardless of whether the point estimates were statistically significantly different, as well as the degree of confidence interval overlap.

The weight-of-evidence approach we used to assess whether specific factors modify the air pollutant (i.e., O₃)–health effect association is based on the causal framework developed by the U.S. EPA to evaluate the causal nature of air pollution–related health or welfare effects and used in the ISAs (see Supplemental Material, Table S2) (U.S. EPA 2013). Using this causal framework as a basis, we applied a weight-of-evidence approach, which was also used in the 2013 O₃ ISA (U.S. EPA 2013), to determine the level of confidence that a specific factor affects the risk of an air pollutant–related health effect. The weight of evidence and considerations underlying each level of classification are presented in Table 1.

In this overview we focus on those RMFs with sufficient evidence (i.e., adequate and suggestive) to draw a conclusion using the weight-of-evidence approach discussed above. We begin this overview by evaluating an RMF that has a strong biological component (genetic factors) and go through a range of potential RMFs, ending with one exclusively
related to exposure (i.e., working outdoors). Details of each study are provided in Tables 2 and 3, and in Supplemental Material, Tables S3–S5. An evaluation of all of the RMFs resulted in some factors being deemed to have inadequate evidence but none of the factors had evidence of no effect. These factors are not discussed in this overview, but they are listed in Table 1.

**Results and Discussion**

**Genetic factors.** Specific genetic factors may affect the risk of health effects related to short- and long-term O₃ exposures, specifically polymorphisms in already identified candidate genes or in genes whose protein products are thought to be involved in the biological mechanism underlying the health effect of an air pollutant (Sacks et al. 2011). Previous reviews reported glutathione S-transferase mu 1 (GSTM1) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF) to have a "potential role ... in the innate susceptibility to O₃" (U.S. EPA 2000b). Table 2 provides a summary of recent effect measure modification findings for genetic variants examined in epidemiologic and controlled human exposure studies of respiratory effects. Because of small sample sizes, many controlled human exposure studies are limited in their ability to test genes with low-frequency minor alleles. Among children with asthma, studies of children with the genetic variant of GSTM1-null compared with GSTM1-positive have reported increased respiratory symptoms and decreased lung function (Romieu et al. 2004b, 2006). Among healthy adults, studies have reported no effect of GSTM1 variants on lung function and inconsistent results for inflammatory changes (Alexis et al. 2009; Kim et al. 2011). Studies of glutathione S-transferase pi 1 (GSTPI) have also reported a decrease in lung function and an increase in respiratory symptoms (Alexeef et al. 2008; Romieu et al. 2004b, 2006). In controlled human exposure studies of NAD(P)H dehydrogenase, quinone 1 (NQO1), lung function among healthy adults was decreased for those with NQO1-wildtype and GSTM1-null gene variants (Bergamaschi et al. 2001). No difference was observed among study participants with asthma (Vagaggini et al. 2010). A study of heme oxygenase (decycling) 1 (HMOX1) reported a potential decrease in lung function among adults (Alexeef et al. 2008).

Toxicologic studies have reported differences in effects after O₃ exposure among different inbred strains of mice, which indicates that genetic background contributes to differential risk (Chuang et al. 2009; Hamade and Tankersley 2009; Hamade et al. 2008; Tankersley et al. 2010). Inbred strains have been used in genetic linkage and genome-wide association studies to identify candidate genes that lead to increased risk (Cho and Kleeberger 2007), and additional studies have been conducted to validate these candidate genes and other related genes, primarily using mice with targeted gene deletions. Table 3 summarizes recent toxicologic studies that examined the role of gene variants in the modification of the biological response to O₃ exposure. Overall, these studies show that genes related to innate immune signaling—in particular TNF receptors 1/2 and toll-like receptors 2/4—may modulate risk relative to O₃ exposure, as well as associated genes including Nfkbia (nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B cells 1), Jnk1 (mitogen-activated protein kinase 8; Mapk8), Cda4 (Cd44 antigen), Mypd88 (myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88), Iai (inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor), Hsp70 (heat shock protein 70), Mmp9 (matrix metalloproteinase 9), and Nos2 (nicotinic oxide synthase 2, inducible) (Bauer et al. 2011; Cho et al. 2001, 2007; Fakhrazad et al. 2002; Garantziotis et al. 2009; Hollingsworth et al. 2004; Kenyon et al. 2002; Kleeberger et al. 2000, 2001; Williams et al. 2007; Yoon et al. 2007). There is also toxicologic evidence indicating that genes involved in pro- and anti-inflammatory signaling and oxidative stress modulate the O₃ response, including interleukins Il10, Il13, and Il6, and Cxcr2 (chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 2), Marco (macrophage receptor with collagenous structure), Cib (excision repair cross-complementation group 6), and Nqo1 (Backus et al. 2010; Dahl et al. 2007; Johnston et al. 2005a, 2005b; Kooter et al. 2008; Voynow et al. 2009; Williams et al. 2008). Taken together, this evidence suggests the complexity of the biological mechanisms underlying airway inflammation and airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) as well as genetic susceptibility, as previously described by Bauer and Kleeberger (2010).

Collectively, controlled human exposure and epidemiologic studies have reported evidence of O₃-related increases in respiratory symptoms or decreases in lung function with gene variants, including GSTM1, GSTP1, HMOX1, and NQO1. Toxicologic studies of Nqo1-deficient mice reported that the mice were resistant to O₃-induced AHR and inflammation, providing biological plausibility for the results of studies in humans. In addition, studies of rodents have identified a number of other genes that may affect O₃-related health outcomes, including genes related to innate immune signaling, inflammation, and oxidative stress, which have not been investigated in human studies. Overall, there is “adequate evidence” to indicate that certain genetic variants increased the risk of O₃-related health effects.

**Life stage.** The 2010 U.S. Census reported that 27.0% of the U.S. population was < 20 years of age, with 13.1% under the age of 10 (Howden and Meyer 2011). In addition, the number of older Americans (i.e., ≥ 65 years of age) is projected to increase from 12.4% to 19.7% of the U.S. population between 2000 and 2030 (U.S. Census Bureau 2010). Therefore, these life stages represent a large population that may potentially be at increased risk of O₃-related health effects.

### Table 1. Classification of evidence for potential RMFs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Potential RMFs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adequate evidence</td>
<td>There is substantial, consistent evidence within a discipline to conclude that a factor results in a population or life stage being at increased or decreased risk of air pollutant–related health effect(s) relative to some reference population or life stage. Where applicable, this includes coherence across disciplines. Evidence includes multiple high-quality studies.</td>
<td>Genetic factors, asthma, children, older adults, diet, outdoor workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestive evidence</td>
<td>The collective evidence suggests that a factor results in a population or life stage being at increased or decreased risk of an air pollutant–related health effect relative to some reference population or life stage, but the evidence is limited due to some inconsistency within a discipline or, where applicable, a lack of coherence across disciplines.</td>
<td>Sex, SES, obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate evidence</td>
<td>The collective evidence is inadequate to determine whether a factor results in a population or life stage being at increased or decreased risk of an air pollutant–related health effect relative to some reference population or life stage. The available studies are of insufficient quantity, quality, consistency, and/or statistical power to permit a conclusion to be drawn.</td>
<td>Influenza/infection, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, hypothyroidism, race/ethnicity, smoking, air conditioning use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of no effect</td>
<td>There is substantial, consistent evidence within a discipline to conclude that a factor does not result in a population or life stage being at increased or decreased risk of air pollutant–related health effect(s) relative to some reference population or life stage. Where applicable, this includes coherence across disciplines. Evidence includes multiple high-quality studies.</td>
<td>None identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Both children and older adults are often considered to be intrinsically at increased risk of O₃–related health effects because of biological differences compared with the adult population. In children, the respiratory system continues to grow until 18–20 years of age (U.S. EPA 2006b). Young children also have higher lung regional extraction of O₃, which is thought to be due to smaller nasal and pulmonary region surface areas compared with the total airway surface area in adults (Sarangapani et al. 2003). Children have greater O₃ tissue doses in the lower airways due to higher ventilation rates per lung volume and a greater oral breathing contribution than adults (Becquemin et al. 1999; Bennett et al. 2008; James et al. 1997). In addition, children often have higher exposure to O₃ than adults because children tend to spend more time outdoors (Klepeis et al. 1996; U.S. EPA 2011a, 2013). Similar to children, older adults spend slightly more time outdoors than adults 18–64 years of age. However, older adults have somewhat lower ventilation rates than adults 31–60 years of age. The gradual decline in physiologic processes that occur with aging may lead to an increased risk of O₃–related health effects in older adults (U.S. EPA 2006a).

Controlled human exposure studies have reported that children and adolescents appear, on average, to have nearly equivalent spirometric responses to O₃ exposure, but they have greater responses than middle-aged and older adults (U.S. EPA 1996). Symptomatic responses (e.g., cough, shortness of breath, pain on deep inspiration) to O₃ exposure, however, increase with age until early adulthood, and then gradually decrease with increasing age (McDonnell et al. 1999; U.S. EPA 1996).

As a result, decreased symptomatic responses may put children and older adults at increased risk because they may withstand continued O₃ exposure and thus not avoid exposure. In addition, compared with younger age groups, older adults have a higher prevalence of preexisting diseases, with the exception of asthma, and this may also lead to an increased risk of O₃–related health effects.

Epidemiologic studies have reported greater relative risks for O₃–related respiratory hospital admissions (HAS) and emergency department (ED) visits among children compared with adults (Silverman and Ito 2010). However, some studies have reported positive associations among both children and adults, with no evidence of effect measure

### Table 2 Summaries of results from epidemiologic and controlled human exposure studies of modification by genetic variants: O₃–related health effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene variant Comparison group</th>
<th>Health outcome/population</th>
<th>O₃ exposure/effect modification of association for the gene variant</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSTM1-null</td>
<td>GSTM1-positive</td>
<td>Lung function among healthy adults with intermittent moderate exercise</td>
<td>Alexis et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammatory changes among healthy adults with intermittent moderate exercise</td>
<td>0.04 ppm, 2 hr; at 24-hr postexposure, both groups had decreased FEV₁ and FVC, with no reported difference between the groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammatory responses among healthy adults with intermittent moderate exercise</td>
<td>0.04 ppm, 2 hr; at 24-hr postexposure, GSTM1-null had increased in PMN number, oxidative burst, phagocytic function, expression of CD14 on airway PMNs, expression of HLA-DR on airway dendritic cells, expression of HLA-DR on macrophages, and IL-1β and IL-8 compared with GSTM1-positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM1-null</td>
<td>GSTM1-positive</td>
<td>Lung function among healthy adults with intermittent moderate exercise</td>
<td>0.03 ppm, 2.6 hr; both groups had decreased FEV₁ and FVC, with no reported difference between the groups; no difference was observed for symptom scores between the two groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammatory responses among healthy adults with intermittent moderate exercise</td>
<td>0.06 ppm, 2.6 hr; both groups had increased percentage of PMNs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM1-null</td>
<td>GSTM1-positive</td>
<td>Respiratory symptoms among children with asthma</td>
<td>Romieu et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-hr maximum air concentration, 69 ± 31 ppb (mean ± SD); both groups had decreased FEV₁ and FVC, with no reported difference between the groups, and no difference was observed for symptom scores between the two groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM1-null</td>
<td>GSTM1-positive</td>
<td>Lung function among children with asthma</td>
<td>Romieu et al. 2004b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-hr maximum air concentration, 102 ± 47 ppb (mean ± SD); GSTM1-null had decreased FEV₁ at 25–75% compared with GSTM1-positive in the placebo group, but no difference was observed between genotypes for the group supplemented with antioxidants.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTP1 Ile/Val or Val/Val</td>
<td>GSTP1 Ile/Ile</td>
<td>Lung function among adults</td>
<td>Alexeff et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-day air concentration, 24 ± 11 ppb (mean ± SD); FEV₁ was decreased for GSTP1 Ile/Val or Val/Val compared with Ile/Ile; FVC was possibly decreased as well, but confidence interval overlap was present.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTP1 Val/Val</td>
<td>GSTP1 Ile/Ile or Ile/Val</td>
<td>Respiratory symptoms among children with asthma</td>
<td>Romieu et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-hr maximum air concentration, 69 ± 31 ppb (mean ± SD); GSTP1 Val/Val had increased difficulty breathing, increased bronchodilator use, and increased cough compared with GSTP1 Ile/Ile or Ile/Val.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTP1 Ile/Ile or Ile/Val</td>
<td>GSTP1 Val/Val</td>
<td>Lung function among children with asthma</td>
<td>Romieu et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-hr maximum air concentration, 69 ± 31 ppb (mean ± SD); GSTP1 Val/Val had increased difficulty breathing, increased bronchodilator use, and increased cough compared with GSTP1 Ile/Ile or Ile/Val.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMox1 S/L or S/L</td>
<td>HMox1 S/S</td>
<td>Lung function among adults</td>
<td>Alexeff et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-day air concentration, 24 ± 11 ppb (mean ± SD); FEV₁ and FVC were possibly decreased for HMox1 S/L or S/L compared with S/S, but confidence interval overlap was present.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NQO1-wildtype and GSTM1-null</td>
<td>Other combinations*</td>
<td>Lung function among healthy adults with exercise</td>
<td>Bergamaschi et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median 2-hr air concentration, 78 ppb; FEV₁ was decreased for NQO1-wildtype and GSTM1-null compared with other combinations; similar FVC changes were observed in both groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NQO1-wildtype and GSTM1-null</td>
<td>Other combinations*</td>
<td>Lung function among mild-to-moderate asthmatics with moderate exercise</td>
<td>Vagaggini et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammatory responses among mild-to-moderate asthmatics with moderate exercise</td>
<td>0.3 ppm O₃, 2 hr; no difference was observed in FEV₁ between the groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FEF₂₅–₇₅, forced expiratory flow 25–75%; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 sec; FVC, forced vital capacity; L, long repeats (n ≥ 25); PMN, polymorphonuclear neutrophil; S, short repeats (n < 25).

*For example, NQO1-defective and GSTM1-positive/null.
modification by age (Ko et al. 2007; Mar and Koenig 2009; Paulu and Smith 2008).

The majority of multicity studies that presented age-stratified results—conducted in the United States (Medina-Ramón and Schwartz 2008; Zanobetti and Schwartz 2008), Chile (Cakmak et al. 2007, 2011), and Italy (Stafoggia et al. 2010)—as well as in single-city studies (e.g., Kan et al. 2008) found a trend of increased risk estimates for mortality due to short-term O₃ exposure in older adults (≥ 65 years of age) compared with younger age groups. Exceptions include the Air Pollution and Health: a European and North American Approach (APHENA) (Katsouyanni et al. 2009), which found no evidence of an increased relative risk in the population < 65 years of age. Some of the other studies presented age-stratified results—conducted in Finland (Halonen et al. 2009), which found increased percent changes in the relative risk by age in studies of respiratory-related HAs and ED visits (Arbex et al. 2009; Halonen et al. 2009) and studies of cardiovascular-related HAs (Buadong et al. 2009; Halonen et al. 2009) and have reported generally inconsistent results. For the studies of cardiovascular-related HAs, results within the general population have been inconsistent and often null; therefore, it is plausible that no association would be observed regardless of age (U.S. EPA 2013).

Toxicologic studies have provided coherence for the potential increased relative risk of O₃-related health effects by age as demonstrated in epidemiologic studies. Early-life O₃ exposures of multiple species of laboratory animals, including infant monkeys and rodents, resulted in changes in conducting airways (e.g., Auten et al. 2009; Carey et al. 2007; Fanucchi et al. 2006; Harkema et al. 1987; López et al. 2008; Plopper et al. 2007). In addition, evidence indicates differences in inflammatory responses between neonatal and adult mice (Bils 1970; Vancza et al. 2009). Toxicologic studies have also shown that oxidative damage and stress may be higher after O₃ exposure in young compared with adult rodents (Fortino et al. 2007; Servais et al. 2005). In addition, a series of studies reported an association between O₃ exposure and bradycardia that was present among young but not older mice (Hamade et al. 2010; Hamade and Tanksery 2009; Tanksery et al. 2010). Physiologic changes specific to older adults that have been observed in toxicologic studies include changes in heart structure (i.e., ventricular posterior wall thickness at end systole) (Tanksery et al. 2010), wound closure (Lim et al. 2006), and neurodegenerative diseases (as measured by higher lipid peroxidation in the hippocampus) (Rivas-Arancibia et al. 2000).

Generally, epidemiologic studies reported larger associations for respiratory HAs and ED visits for children than adults. However, the interpretation of these studies is limited by the lack of consistency in comparison age groups and in the outcomes examined. For older adults, epidemiologic studies are primarily limited to those examining short-term O₃ exposure and mortality, but they provide evidence of consistent positive associations in older adults when compared with younger age groups. These results are supported by toxicologic studies that reported effects in younger (i.e., morphologic changes to lung structure) and older animals (i.e., physiologic changes). Also, children and older adults may experience increased exposure due to differences in time spent outdoors, lung regional extraction of O₃ (children), and ventilation rates as well as a reduction in physiologic response to O₃ exposures with increasing age. Overall, there is "adequate evidence" indicating that certain life stages (children and older adults) are at increased risk for O₃-related health effects.

### Table 3. Summary of results from animal toxicology studies of modification by genetic variants: O₃-related health effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene variant</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>O₃ exposure</th>
<th>Health outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cdx4</td>
<td>Garantziotis et al. 2009</td>
<td>2.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Decreased AHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csb</td>
<td>Kooter et al. 2009</td>
<td>1.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Decreased BALF TNF-α, no genotype effect on neutrophilia or epithelial damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cxcr2</td>
<td>Johnston et al. 2005a</td>
<td>1.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Decreased neutrophilia, epithelial injury, and AHR; no genotype effect on hyperpermeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsp70</td>
<td>Bauer et al. 2011</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 48 hr</td>
<td>Decreased hyperpermeability and inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iai</td>
<td>Garantziotis et al. 2009</td>
<td>2.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Decreased AHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilb</td>
<td>Johnston et al. 2005b</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 3 or 72 hr</td>
<td>Decreased soluble TNFR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilb</td>
<td>Johnston et al. 2005b</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 72 hr</td>
<td>Decreased hyperpermeability, BALF neutrophils, and soluble TNFR1 and TNFR2; no genotype effect on AHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il10</td>
<td>Backus et al. 2010</td>
<td>2.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Reduced BALF neutrophils and soluble TNFR2 and MIP-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il13</td>
<td>Williams et al. 2008</td>
<td>3.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Increased inflammation; no genotype effect on hyperpermeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jnk</td>
<td>Cho et al. 2007</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 48 hr</td>
<td>Decreased hyperpermeability, neutrophilia, and epithelial damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mmp7</td>
<td>Dahl et al. 2007</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 48 hr</td>
<td>Increased inflammation and hyperpermeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mmp9</td>
<td>Yoon et al. 2007</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 48 hr</td>
<td>No genotype effect on hyperpermeability or inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myd88</td>
<td>Yoon et al. 2007</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 48 hr</td>
<td>Increased hyperpermeability, neutrophilia, and lung damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nk1b1</td>
<td>Williams et al. 2007</td>
<td>3.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Decreased inflammation, hyperpermeability, and AHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nos2</td>
<td>Cho et al. 2007</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Increased hyperpermeability, neutrophilia, and epithelial damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nos2</td>
<td>Klebeberger et al. 2001</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 72 hr</td>
<td>Decreased hyperpermeability; no genotype effect on neutrophilia or epithelial damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nos2</td>
<td>Fakhraeddin et al. 2002</td>
<td>0.8 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Increased hyperpermeability and BALF cells; decreased peroxynitrite, COX1, and COX2 production and increased superoxide anion and PGE₂ production in alveolar macrophages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nos2</td>
<td>Kenyon et al. 2002</td>
<td>1.0 ppm, 8 hr/night, 3 nights</td>
<td>Increased hyperpermeability and inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nos2</td>
<td>Vaynov et al. 2009</td>
<td>1.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Increased hyperpermeability and AHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr1</td>
<td>Williams et al. 2007</td>
<td>3.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Decreased AHR, no genotype effect on hyperpermeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr2</td>
<td>Klebeberger et al. 2000</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 72 hr</td>
<td>Decreased hyperpermeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr4</td>
<td>Hollingsworth et al. 2004</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 72 hr</td>
<td>No effect on AHR, hyperpermeability, or neutrophilia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr4</td>
<td>Hollingsworth et al. 2004</td>
<td>2.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Decreased AHR, no genotype effect on hyperpermeability or neutrophilia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr4</td>
<td>Williams et al. 2007</td>
<td>3.0 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Decreased AHR and neutrophilia; no genotype effect on hyperpermeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnf1/Tnf2</td>
<td>Cho et al. 2001, 2007</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 48 hr</td>
<td>Decreased inflammation and epithelial damage, no genotype effect on hyperpermeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnf1/Tnf2</td>
<td>Cho et al. 2001, 2007</td>
<td>0.3 ppm, 3 hr</td>
<td>Decreased AHR, no genotype effect on neutrophilia, hyperpermeability, or epithelial damage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; COX, cytochrome c oxidase subunit; MIP-2, macrophage inflammatory protein-2; Mmp, matrix metalloproteinase; PGE₂, prostaglandin E₂ receptor 2; Thr, toll-like receptor; Tnf, tumor necrosis factor receptor. This table includes animal toxicology studies in which responses were assessed after gene deletion, with the exception of Klebeberger et al. (2000), who compared C3H/HeJ (Thr4 mutant) to C3H/HeOuJ (Thr4 normal) mice.
Modification of O₃–health effects relationships

Sex. Epidemiologic studies that examined potential differences by sex in associations between O₃ exposure and respiratory HAs have not consistently found larger relative risk estimates in one group compared with another (Cakmak et al. 2006b; Middleton et al. 2008). For example, there is evidence for higher relative risk estimates in females compared with males 16–27 years of age (Paulu and Smith 2008). These results were reported for males 2–14 years and females 15–34 years of age, with no evidence of any sex differences in those 35–64 years of age (Paulu and Smith 2008). These results are consistent with Thaller et al. (2008), who found evidence of decreased lung function in females compared with males 16–27 years of age. In addition, Lin et al. (2005) found no evidence for differences in males and females when examining respiratory infection–related HAs in individuals <15 years of age.

A number of epidemiologic studies that examined cardiovascular-related HAs and ED visits reported no effect modification by sex, with some studies reporting null associations for both males and females (Henrotin et al. 2007; Middleton et al. 2008; Villeneuve et al. 2006; Wong et al. 2009) and one study reporting positive associations for both sexes (Cakmak et al. 2006a). However, the lack of evidence for effect measure modification by sex may be indicative of the lack of association with cardiovascular morbidity, not the lack of an effect by sex (U.S. EPA 2013).

A few epidemiologic studies have examined the association between short-term O₃ exposure and mortality stratified by sex and, in contrast with studies of other end points, the evidence was more consistent in reporting elevated relative risks among females. These studies, conducted in the United States (Medina-Ramón and Schwartz 2008), Italy (Stafoggia et al. 2010), and Asia (Kan et al. 2008), reported larger effect estimates in females than in males, with some evidence of the relative risk of mortality among females being larger, specifically among those ≥ 60 years of age (Medina-Ramón and Schwartz 2008). However, another study did not find any difference in the relative risk of O₃-related mortality among men and women (Cakmak et al. 2011).

Experimental studies have described biologically plausible mechanisms that may explain differential risk in O₃-related health effects between males and females; however, some uncertainty remains. Several controlled human exposure studies have suggested that physiologic differences between the sexes may predispose females to greater effects from O₃. Specifically, in females, lower plasma and nasal lavage fluid levels of uric acid, the initial defense mechanism of O₃ neutralization, may result in females being at increased risk of O₃-related health effects (Housley et al. 1996). Consequently, reduced absorption of O₃ in the upper Airways of females may promote its deeper penetration. In a toxicologic study, Vancza et al. (2009) found small differences in effects by sex in adult mice with respect to pulmonary inflammation and injury after O₃ exposure, with adult female mice generally more at risk. However, these differences were strain dependent, with some mouse strains exhibiting greater risk in males. The obvious sex difference in that study was in lactating females, which incurred the greatest lung injury or inflammation among several of the mouse strains. However, not all studies have found differences in the physiologic response to O₃ exposure. In a controlled exposure study, Hazucha et al. (2003) reported that forced expiratory volume in 1 sec (FEV₁) responses in young, healthy females appeared comparable to the response of young males. When evaluating the potential for sex differences in O₃ absorption in humans, Bush et al. (1996) reported that the absorption distribution of O₃ was independent of sex when absorption was normalized to anatomic dead space.

Epidemiologic studies of O₃ exposures and mortality found evidence of elevated relative risks in females, whereas studies of respiratory morbidity found inconsistent results, with some evidence of differences in relative risk by sex depending on age. Although experimental studies provide potential biological plausibility for potential differences by sex, these studies have not consistently demonstrated a clear difference in O₃-related effects by sex and could potentially be explained by differences in anatomic dead space volume. As a result there is “suggestive evidence” for differences in risk by sex across disciplines.

Asthma. In 2008 in the United States, approximately 7.3% of adults and 9.5% of children reported currently having asthma (Bloom et al. 2009; Pleis et al. 2009). As a result, disproportionate effects of O₃ exposure on the population of individuals with asthma could result in a significant public health impact.

Epidemiologic studies have not consistently demonstrated decreased lung function in asthmatics compared with nonasthmatics in response to short-term O₃ exposure (Thaller et al. 2008). However, there is some evidence of increased relative risks for wheeze and cough among asthmatics but not nonasthmatics, although this may have been the result of a small nonasthmatic population in this study (Escamilla-Núñez et al. 2008). Greater short-term, O₃-associated decreases in lung function have been observed in older individuals with AHR, a sign of asthma, compared with those without AHR (Alexeeff et al. 2007). Further, short-term O₃ exposure has been reported to be associated with airway inflammation in children regardless of their asthmatic status (Barraza-Villarreal et al. 2008; Berhane et al. 2011). The inconsistency in results across these epidemiologic studies could be due to the studies not accounting for behavioral responses. Recently, Neidell and Kinney (2010) reported that not taking into account individual behavioral adaptations to forecasted air pollution levels (such as avoidance and reduced time outdoors) can underestimate observed associations between short-term O₃ exposures and respiratory effects.

Similar to the evidence from epidemiologic studies, controlled human exposure studies comparing asthmatics to healthy controls have reported that subjects with asthma appear to be at least as sensitive to the acute effects of O₃ in terms of FEV₁ and inflammatory responses as healthy nonasthmatic subjects. According to multiple studies, asthmatics experience greater O₃-related FEV₁ decrements than healthy study subjects (Alexis et al. 2000; Horstman et al. 1995; Jorres et al. 1996; Kreit et al. 1989). However, Mudway et al. (2001) reported that individuals with asthma had smaller O₃-related FEV₁ decrements than healthy subjects, although the asthmatics in that study tended to be older than the healthy subjects, which could partially explain their smaller response because FEV₁ responses to O₃ exposure have been shown to diminish with age. Controlled human exposure studies have also reported subclinical changes in individuals with asthma (comparing with similarly exposed healthy individuals) including increased neutrophils in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, higher levels of cytokines and hyaluronan in lavage fluid or sputum, and greater expression of macrophage cell-surface markers, which provide biological plausibility for the increased O₃-related health effects observed in asthmatics (Basha et al. 1994; Bosson et al. 2003; Hernandez et al. 2010; Peden et al. 1997; Scannell et al. 1996).

Toxicologic studies are coherent with other studies showing greater O₃ effects among those with asthma or AHR. Using an asthmatic phenotype modeled by allergic sensitization of the respiratory tract, effects of O₃ on pulmonary function have been found to be augmented by allergic sensitization in infant rhesus monkeys (Fanucchi et al. 2006; Joad et al. 2006; Schelegle et al. 2003), mice (Funabashi et al. 2004), and rats (Wagner et al. 2007). In addition, in a bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis rat model, exposure to O₃ increased pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis, along with the frequency of
Epidemiologic and controlled human exposure studies have reported evidence for increased O₃-related respiratory health effects among obese individuals. Toxicologic studies are generally coherent with evidence in epidemiologic and controlled human exposure studies. Some, but not all, studies support the possibility of increased risk of O₃-related pulmonary effects among obese individuals. Overall, there is "suggestive evidence" that obese individuals are at increased risk of O₃-related health effects.

Diet. Diet, which is strongly correlated with other factors such as obesity and SES, may modify the association between O₃ exposure and health effects. Ozone mediates some of its toxic effects through oxidative stress (U.S. EPA 2013); therefore, the antioxidant status of an individual is an important factor that may affect the risk of O₃-related health effects. As a result, a number of studies have examined dietary factors, specifically, supplementation with antioxidant vitamins (e.g., vitamins C and E), to identify whether these factors inhibit O₃-mediated damage.

In epidemiologic studies, increases in fruit/vegetable intake and Mediterranean dietary patterns, which have been noted for their high content of vitamins C and E and omega-3 fatty acid, have been found to protect against O₃-related decreases in lung function among children (Romieu et al. 2009). Similarly, the protective effect of dietary supplementation in asthmatic children was demonstrated by an association between short-term O₃ exposure and nasal airway inflammation among a placebo group but not among a group supplemented with vitamins C and E (Siena-Monge et al. 2004).

Results from epidemiologic studies are consistent with those observed in controlled human exposure studies that provide evidence of protective effects of α-tocopherol (a form of vitamin E) and ascorbate (vitamin C) on spirometric measurements of lung function after O₃ exposure, but not on the intensity of subjective symptoms and inflammatory response including cell recruitment and activation and release of mediators (Samet et al. 2001; Trenga et al. 2001). Dietary antioxidants have also afforded protection to asthmatics by attenuating postexposure bronchial hyperresponsiveness (Trenga et al. 2001).

Toxicologic studies also provide evidence of protective effects from vitamin supplementation, which is consistent with evidence from epidemiologic and controlled human exposure studies. In rats, α-tocopherol treatment has been reported to inhibit O₃-related inflammation and mucus production and to reduce O₃-exacerbated nasal allergy responses (Wagner et al. 2007, 2009). Similarly, supplementation with vitamins C and E has resulted in attenuation of inflammation, oxidative stress, and AHR in guinea pigs exposed subchronically to O₃ (Chhabra et al. 2010). However, in another study, guinea pigs deficient in vitamin C displayed only minimal differences in injury and inflammation after exposure to O₃ compared with vitamin C–sufficient animals (Kodavanti et al. 1995). Additional studies have reported that β-carotene and vitamin A supplementation was protective against the effects of O₃ exposure (Paquette et al. 1996; Valacchi et al. 2009).

Consistent evidence across disciplines indicates that individuals with reduced intake of vitamins C and E are at increased risk for O₃-related health effects. The evidence from epidemiologic studies is supported by controlled human exposure and toxicologic studies, and collectively provides "adequate evidence" that individuals with an insufficient diet are at increased risk of O₃-related health effects.

SES. SES is often represented by personal- or neighborhood-level SES, which comprises a variety of components such as educational attainment, household income, and health insurance status. SES is typically indicative of such things as access to health care, quality of housing, and the pollution gradient to which people are exposed.

Multiple epidemiologic studies have reported that individuals of low SES have an increased relative risk of respiratory effects (e.g., HAs and ED visits) due to O₃ exposures. This includes studies that examined SES using neighborhood-level educational attainment in Canada (Cakmak et al. 2006b) and regional insurance rates in Korea (Lee et al. 2006). However, some studies, specifically in Canada, have found no evidence of modification of the relative risk using measures of neighborhood-level income (Burra et al. 2009; Cakmak et al. 2006b). SES was also examined in a study of short-term O₃ exposures and cardiac disease ED visits in Canada where neighborhood-level education or income was divided into quartiles (Cakmak et al. 2006a). Cakmak et al. (2006a) did not observe effect measure modification of cardiac disease ED visits by any level of neighborhood education or income, which may not necessarily inform SES differences overall due to the limited evidence for O₃-induced cardiovascular-related HAs and ED visits in the general population, as mentioned above.

Several large-scale epidemiologic studies [i.e., NMMAPS (the National Morbidity, Mortality, and Air Pollution Study) and APHENNA] reported increased relative risk of O₃-related mortality among groups with lower SES based on neighborhood-level unemployment in the United States (Bell and Dominici 2008; Katsouyanni et al. 2009). Increases in O₃-related mortality have also...
been observed in studies using individual-level education, individual-level occupation, and neighborhood-level income as measures of SES (Cakmak et al. 2011). Other studies conducted in China and Italy reported inconsistent or null findings using individual-level educational attainment (Kan et al. 2008), a neighborhood-level deprivation index (Wong et al. 2008), and neighborhood-level income (Stafoggia et al. 2010). The influence of SES on mortality has also been examined in studies of infant mortality in Mexico. These studies found no association between O$_3$ concentrations and infant mortality regardless of SES measured using neighborhood-level indicators such as income or availability of public services (Carbajal-Arroyo et al. 2011; Romieu et al. 2004a); however, Carbajal-Arroyo et al. (2011) reported evidence of a positive association for respiratory-related infant mortality in only the low-SES group.

Morello-Frosch et al. (2010) reported greater decreases in birth weight associated with full pregnancy O$_3$ concentration for those with higher neighborhood poverty rates. However, a study conducted in Australia using a neighborhood-level SES index composed of multiple factors such as income and unemployment demonstrated no modification of the association between O$_3$ exposure during days 31–60 of gestation and abdominal circumference during gestation despite some evidence of an inverse association in the highest SES quartile (Hansen et al. 2008).

A single controlled human exposure study examined O$_3$ effects on lung function and potential modification of response among three SES categories (based on father’s educational attainment), although the study was not originally designed to investigate SES (Seal et al. 1996). Individuals in the middle SES category showed a greater concentration-dependent decline in percent predicted FEV$_1$ than did the low- and high-SES groups. However, it was unclear why differences were greatest in the middle SES group in that study.

Most studies have reported that individuals with low SES or those living in neighborhoods with low SES have an increased relative risk of O$_3$-related respiratory HA and ED visits. Inconsistent results have been observed in the few studies that examined effect measure modification of the O$_3$ association with mortality and reproductive outcomes. A controlled human exposure study, although not designed to examine differences by SES, did not support evidence of increased risk of O$_3$-related health effects among individuals with lower SES. Overall, there is “suggestive evidence” that individuals of low SES are at increased risk of experiencing O$_3$-related health effects.

Outdoor workers. Multiple epidemiologic studies have found that individuals who participate in outdoor activities or work outside are a population at increased risk of air pollution–related health effects due to increased exposure, which has been affirmed by studies that have reported consistent associations between O$_3$ exposure and respiratory health outcomes in these groups (U.S. EPA 2006b). Outdoor workers are exposed to ambient O$_3$ concentrations for a greater period of time than individuals who spend their days indoors. In addition, an increase in dose to the lower airways in this population is expected due to both increases in the amount of air breathed (i.e., minute ventilation) and a shift from nasal to oronasal breathing that traditionally occurs during outdoor exercise (Hu et al. 1994; Nodelman and Utman 1999).

However, the health effects in this population seem to be limited to respiratory-related effects as evidenced by an epidemiologic study exploring effect measure modification of O$_3$ exposure by workplace (i.e., indoor/outdoor) on DNA damage, which found inconsistent results (Tovalin et al. 2006).

There is strong evidence demonstrating increased exposure, dose, and ultimately risk of O$_3$-related respiratory effects in outdoor workers. Overall, there is “adequate evidence” that outdoor workers are at increased risk of O$_3$-related health effects.

Limitations. We recognize that, in some cases, it is difficult to clearly determine whether a factor leads to increased or decreased risk of a population experiencing O$_3$-related health effects. Not only is this due to inconsistencies within a discipline, the lack of coherence across disciplines, or the lack of biological plausibility but also to intersubject variability and the possible attenuation of O$_3$-related effects. Controlled human exposure studies have clearly shown intersubject variability in respiratory-related responses to O$_3$ exposure among healthy adults (Holz et al. 2005; McDonnell 1996; Que et al. 2011). These responses tend to be reproducible within a given individual over a period of several months, indicating differences in the intrinsic responsiveness (Hazucha et al. 2003; Holz et al. 1999, 2005; McDonnell et al. 1985). In addition, preexposure to O$_3$ has been reported to lead to an attenuation of lung function and symptomatic responses to O$_3$ on subsequent days (Foxcroft and Adams 1986).

Inconsistency in the categorization and/or measurement of a factor across studies makes drawing conclusions regarding potential RMFs difficult. For example, numerous metrics are used to characterize SES. In addition, when considering epidemiologic studies conducted in other countries, it should be noted that it is possible those populations may differ in SES or other demographic indicators (e.g., overall health status), thus limiting generalizability to a U.S. population.

Furthermore, there is the possibility of publication bias. Stratified analyses that have interesting effect measure modification results may be presented in publications, whereas studies that find no evidence of effect measure modification may not. It is not possible to measure the influence of publication bias on our overall conclusions; therefore, some of the evidence may be more varied than it appears.

Finally, we recognize that additional studies that could inform the conclusions we reached in our evaluation of the scientific evidence could have been missed in our literature search. We focused on searches using Web of Science and PubMed, and we did not use other databases such as Embase (http://www.elsevier.com/online-tools/embase). In addition, our systematic literature search was limited to recent years, although informative studies included in past assessments were also included. The literature summarized in this overview was drawn from the 2013 O$_3$ ISA (U.S. EPA 2013), which was reviewed by scientific experts and had an associated call for papers/public comment. Therefore, we are confident that all relevant papers were captured.

Conclusions

The integration of evidence across scientific disciplines—which allows for an evaluation of the consistency of effects within and the coherence of effects across disciplines, as well as an evaluation of biological plausibility—provides a scientific basis for drawing conclusions regarding populations that are potentially at increased or decreased risk of an air pollutant–related health effect. Based on our evaluation of the scientific evidence, we concluded that there is “adequate” evidence for increased risk of O$_3$-related health effects in population groups with certain genotypes, preexisting asthma, or reduced intake of certain nutrients; individuals at certain life stages (i.e., younger and older ages); and in outdoor workers (Table 1). Other factors (i.e., sex, SES, and obesity) were characterized by “suggestive” evidence for increased risk of O$_3$-related health effects.
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