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Abstract: We classified preterm birth (PTB) into four categories (20–27, 28–31, 32–34, and 35–36 weeks completed gestation) and estimated risk differences (RDs) for each category in association with a 1-μg/m³ increase in PM2.5 exposure during each week of gestation.

Methods: We assembled a cohort of singleton pregnancies that completed ≥ 20 weeks of gestation during 2000–2005 using live birth certificate data from three states (Pennsylvania, Ohio, and New Jersey) (n = 1,940,213; 8% PTB). We estimated mean PM2.5 exposures for each week of gestation from monitor-corrected Community Multi-Scale Air Quality modeling data. RDs were estimated using modified Poisson linear regression and adjusted for maternal race/ethnicity, marital status, education, age, and ozone.

Results: RD estimates varied by exposure window and outcome period. Average PM2.5 exposure during the fourth week of gestation was positively associated with all PTB outcomes, although magnitude varied by PTB category (e.g., for a 1-μg/m³ increase, RD = 11.8 (95% CI: –6, 29.2); RD = 46 (95% CI: 23.2, 68.9); RD = 61.1 (95% CI: 22.6, 99.7); and RD = 28.5 (95% CI: –39, 95.7) for preterm births during 20–27, 28–31, 32–34, and 35–36 weeks, respectively). Exposures during the week of birth and the 2 weeks before birth also were positively associated with all PTB categories.

Conclusions: Exposures beginning around the time of implantation and near birth appeared to be more strongly associated with PTB than exposures during other time periods. Because particulate matter exposure is ubiquitous, evidence of effects of PM2.5 exposure on PTB, even if small in magnitude, is cause for concern.

Introduction: Particulate matter (PM) ≤ 2.5 μm in aerodynamic diameter (PM2.5) has been associated with adverse health outcomes, including cardiovascular mortality, lung cancer, asthma, and adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes (Backes et al. 2013; Dominici et al. 2003, 2006; Lewtas 2007; U.S. EPA 2009). Of the birth outcomes studied in conjunction with PM exposure, preterm birth (PTB) is an important marker for fetal underdevelopment, conveying risk for further adverse outcomes, including infant mortality and problems with neurodevelopment and growth (Behrman and Butler 2007; Gilbert et al. 2003; Mathews and MacDorman 2010; Saigal and Doyle 2008). Many studies have reported that PTB is positively associated with PM2.5 over whole pregnancy, first trimester, and late pregnancy exposures (Brauer et al. 2008; Chang et al. 2012; Gehring et al. 2011; Huynh et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2012; Warren et al. 2012; Wilhelm et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2009, 2011), although others have reported null or inverse associations of PM2.5 on PTB (Darrow et al. 2009; Gehring et al. 2011; Jalaludin et al. 2007; Wilhelm and Ritz 2005). Meta-analyses have found overall increases in associations between PM2.5 and PTB (e.g., Sapkota et al. (2012), with PM2.5 exposure in the third trimester odds ratio (OR) = 1.07 (95% CI: 1.00, 1.15), but noted that variable results across studies may be attributable to differences in study designs, populations, or exposure metrics and contrasts (Sapkota et al. 2012; Stieb et al. 2012). Most studies have relied on air monitoring for exposure assignment, limiting inclusion to women residing close to active monitors during pregnancy. Additionally, reliance on a few central monitors assumes no spatial variation in ambient PM2.5 concentrations, which may result in exposure misclassification. Most also examine exposure windows spanning a month or a trimester in length, which may mask temporal variability. Finally, previous studies have focused on any births between 20 and 36 weeks, yet etiology of PTB may vary over this period.

Objectives: In this study, we examined the association between ambient PM2.5 and risk of PTB using a cohort of singleton pregnancies that had completed at least 20 weeks of gestation during 2000–2005 across three states (Pennsylvania, Ohio, and New Jersey). We employed output from the U.S. EPA’s Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model (Hogrefe et al. 2009), which offers complete spatial coverage and daily estimated pollutant concentrations, leading to an extensive study area and population. We classified preterm births into four categories (20–27, 28–31, 32–34, and 35–36 weeks completed gestation) and estimated risk differences (RDs) for each category in association with a 1-μg/m³ increase in PM2.5 exposure during each week of gestation.
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Methods

Study population. We used live birth records provided by the State Health Departments of Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Ohio to construct a cohort of those at-risk for PTB (i.e., achieving a gestational age of at least 20 weeks) between 1 January 2000 and 31 December 2005. These states have similar source profiles for PM2.5 (e.g., electricity generation is the main source of PM2.5 for Ohio and Pennsylvania), or PM is from regional sources (e.g., air pollutants are transported from the Ohio River valley area to Pennsylvania and New Jersey), so PM composition should be similar in these areas (Garcia et al. 2011; U.S. EPA 2013a). From all birth records ($n = 2,495,350$), the study population was restricted to singleton pregnancies with no recorded birth defects at time of birth, with an estimated gestational age available, and having achieved gestational week 20 no earlier than 1 January 2000 and gestational week 44 no later than 31 December 2005 (birth data set, $n = 2,142,915$ excluded $n = 352,435$). Gestational age requirements are necessary so that each pregnancy would have been entirely observable within the study period no matter at which point birth occurred, and to avoid fixed-cohort bias where the beginning of the study misses shorter pregnancies and the end misses longer pregnancies (Barnett 2011; Strand et al. 2011). A point-encoded (latitude and longitude assignable) birth address was also required (excluded $n = 202,702$). After restrictions, the final study population included 1,940,213 pregnancies.

Gestational age, pregnancy start, and preterm birth status. Gestational age was determined by clinical estimate of gestational age as reported on birth certificates. Estimated date of last menstrual period (LMP) was calculated by subtracting clinical estimate of completed gestational weeks from date of birth. PTB status was defined as having a gestational age between 20–36 completed weeks. PTB was subset into four categories based on definitions from the World Health Organization (2012): extremely PTB (ExPTB), gestational age 20–27 weeks; very PTB (VPTB), gestational age 28–31 weeks; moderate PTB (MPTB), gestational age 32–34 weeks; and late PTB (LPTB), gestational age 35–36 weeks. Term births were between 37 and 44 completed gestational weeks.

Exposure data. Maternal address data were taken from birth records and processed with the Zp4 address locator program (Semaphore Corporation, Monterey, CA) to assign latitude and longitude values ($n = 2,042,425$). Addresses that were not assigned latitude and longitude values ($n = 452,925$) were geocoded using the ArcGIS online geocoding service in ArcMap10 (ESRI, Redlands, CA), which returned 197,125 matched addresses and 8,949 addresses that were equally matched to two or more points and were then hand matched to the best candidate. In total, 2,248,499 pregnancies had latitude and longitude values. After restriction to pregnancies meeting all inclusion criteria, the study population was 1,940,213.

Daily estimated concentrations of PM2.5 were provided by the U.S. EPA’s Atmospheric Exposure Integration Branch for 1999 to 2005 in 12-km grids (Hogrefe et al. 2009). These estimates were constructed using output from CMAQ, bias-corrected with monitoring network data, as detailed in Hogrefe et al. (2009). Briefly, meteorological conditions and criteria pollutant emissions are input into CMAQ, which simulates atmospheric processes and estimates gridded concentrations of ambient air pollutants (Byun and Schere 2006; Hogrefe et al. 2009). Grids were matched to monitoring sites and a filter applied to created baseline concentrations of PM2.5. Adjustment factors were then created as the ratio of observed to modeled concentrations, spatially interpolated across the gridded field, and multiplied by CMAQ output to produce the final bias-corrected concentration estimates (Hogrefe et al. 2009). Both spatial and temporal variability in PM2.5 concentrations are present in our study.

We assigned daily values for pollutant exposure to pregnancies by matching geocoded maternal residential location to CMAQ grid. Each day from the calculated start of pregnancy to birth was matched to date of CMAQ concentration estimation. Exposure was assigned in two ways. First, we assigned average weekly exposures beginning on the estimated LMP date, such that week 1 comprised the estimated LMP date and the following 6 days. Second, we lagged weekly exposures backwards from the date of birth, such that lag 0 represented average PM2.5 during the week of birth (including the day of birth and the 6 days before birth), lag 1 represented average PM2.5 during the previous week (i.e., 7–13 days before birth), up to lag 8.

Confounders and effect measure modifiers. To achieve a least biased estimate of association, we identified potential confounders through directed acyclic graph (DAG) analysis. We constructed the DAG based on review of previous literature and knowledge of factors influencing PTB and air pollution. From the birth certificate, we included maternal race/ethnicity, education level, marital status, age at delivery, maternal smoking status, prenatal care initiation, and parity; and from the CMAQ model we included daily average ozone. We also considered unmeasured factors, such as infection status of mother during pregnancy, and general/area-level environmental quality. We used the DAG Program (Knüppel and Stang 2010) to identify minimally sufficient adjustment sets. Identified covariates included maternal race/ethnicity, education level, marital status, age at delivery, and ozone. Maternal demographic factors are risk factors of PTB and associated with socioeconomic status, which can influence where a woman resides and therefore PM2.5 exposure. Ozone has been associated with PTB and co-occurs temporally and spatially with PM2.5 (Lee et al. 2012; Olsson et al. 2013). We assessed effect measure modification (EMM) to reveal potential differences in the association. We also assessed potential modification by state, region, and population density, as proxy indicators of variation in PM2.5 composition.

Statistical analysis. Adjusted RDs were estimated using modified Poisson regression with an identity link; Poisson models produce equally valid estimates as binomial models, and though less efficient they are less likely to result in nonconvergence (Spiegelman and Hertzmark 2005; Zou 2004). We estimated absolute effect measures because they, unlike incidence ORs and other relative effect measures, are informative for public health impact and decision making, along with outcome severity (e.g., ExPTB, despite its relatively low frequency, is a much more adverse outcome than LPTB). We modeled each category of PTB separately as a dichotomous outcome. We included those at risk of PTB at a given time point in the model as appropriate (e.g., VPTB births were included in ExPTB models as non-events: those who had already experienced ExPTB were not included in VPTB models). PM2.5 was treated as a continuous variable. Individual models were produced for exposure during each week of gestation anchored from estimated LMP and each week lagged from birth. Models were adjusted for demographic characteristics (maternal age coded as restricted quadratic spline, others as in Table 1) and co-occurring ozone (continuous). To evaluate EMM, we ran separate models with an interaction term for each potential modifier and continuous PM2.5 interaction terms with $p < 0.05$ (due to the large population) were considered evidence of EMM. Potential modifiers were maternal race/ethnicity [black non-Hispanic, non-black (includes white non-Hispanic, Hispanic, and other)], smoking status (smoker, nonsmoker), parity (primiparous, multiparous), and infant sex. We performed sensitivity analyses to include temperature and season of conception as covariates. Because of concerns about residual confounding, we also examined smoking as a covariate. In addition, for comparability with previous work, we examined exposures averaged by trimester and entire pregnancy period. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).
This research was approved by the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill’s Office of Human Research Ethics, the Pennsylvania Department of Health Bureau of Health Statistics and Research, New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services Institutional Review Board, and the Ohio Department of Health Human Subjects Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was not required for this study because it was a secondary data analysis of existing data, and no participant contact was attempted.

**Results**

Adjusted analyses included 1,781,527 of the 1,940,212 eligible pregnancies (not missing major covariates). Eight percent were preterm. Demographic profiles of women shifted with decreasing gestational age (Table 1; also see Supplemental Material, Table S1, for additional characteristics). Women with preterm pregnancies were less likely to have a bachelor’s degree or be married at time of delivery, and more likely to be non-Hispanic black than women with term pregnancies. The study population was primarily urban, with about 90% falling within metropolitan areas (data not shown).

Women who were excluded because they lacked residence location (and therefore exposure) data were more likely to be white, unmarried, less educated, younger, and to have a PTB (~9% vs. 8% in analytic population) than births to included women (data not shown).

Average weekly PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were similar across categories of PTB for exposure windows anchored at estimated LMP and lagged from birth, with means (± SDs) about 14.5 ± 5 μg/m$^3$ and interquartile ranges around 6.2 μg/m$^3$ (Table 2). Weekly PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were temporally correlated, with correlation coefficients for adjacent weeks of approximately 0.4, but dropping to near 0 for weeks further apart (data not shown).

Results for exposure anchors estimated LMP are presented in Figure 1. Scales vary between outcomes due to differing effect estimate and confidence interval magnitudes (numeric data are provided in Supplemental Material, Table S2). For ExPTB (Figure 1A), elevated RDs were observed with exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ in gestational weeks 2–8 and weeks 11–20, with more consistency in early pregnancy. For VPTB (Figure 1B), elevations in RDs are highest with exposures at gestational weeks 4–9 and 15–24, though RDs are generally elevated for exposure in most weeks. For MPTB (Figure 1C), RDs were elevated with exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ at gestational week 4 and increased with exposure through gestational week 12. RDs dropped at week 13, though remained positive through the rest of pregnancy. For LPTB (Figure 1D), associations were negative with the earliest weeks of exposure, with some positive though fluctuating RDs through week 20. However, after week 20, PM$_{2.5}$-associated RDs were positive and remained elevated through week 35. Results with and without adjustment for ozone were similar (see Supplemental Material, Figure S1 and Table S3). Some commonalities are present between birth categories, such as a rising of association in the first few weeks of pregnancy for VPTB and MPTB; however, there were also differences in patterns of associations with PM$_{2.5}$ exposure across outcome categories, in particular for the earliest and latest PTBs.

Results for exposures lagged from birth are presented in Figure 2 (numeric data are provided in Supplemental Material, Table S4). RDs were consistently elevated for exposures 0–2 weeks before birth across PTB categories; but for exposure lagged further from birth, patterns across PTB categories were not consistent. RDs generally dropped to null around lag period 3, and then increased again for ExPTB, VPTB, and MPTB. LPTB RDs are positive for exposures with lags 0–3 and lags 4–5. Results with and without adjustment for ozone were similar (see Supplemental Material, Figure S2 and Table S4).

For comparability with previous work, we averaged exposure by trimester and entire pregnancy period. Associations with VTPB and MTPB were generally positive, but varied by trimester (see Supplemental Material, Table S5). We observed no evidence of effect measure modification for race/ethnicity, parity, smoking status, infant sex, state or region of birth, or by census-tract population density (data not shown). Inclusion of smoking as a covariate did not alter effect estimates (data not shown). Results of sensitivity analyses examining adjusting for temperature and season of conception were similar (see Supplemental Material, Table S6).

**Discussion**

We examined associations between exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ at each week of pregnancy and multiple categories of PTB. PM$_{2.5}$ exposure in early and late gestational weeks and
near-birth was associated with multiple categories of PTB, supporting the potential for multiple or overlapping pathways of action for PM$_{2.5}$, based both on timing of exposure and severity of outcome.

Our results for PM$_{2.5}$ exposures in early pregnancy were consistent with six studies across geographic areas that reported positive ORs (Chang et al. 2012; Hansen et al. 2006; Huynh et al. 2006; Jalaludin et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2012; Ritz et al. 2007). Studies have also reported positive associations for exposures late in pregnancy or near birth (Chang et al. 2012; Gehring et al. 2011; Hansen et al. 2006; Wilhelm and Ritz 2005). Chang et al. (2012) also observed positive associations for exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ in the second trimester.

Inverse or null ORs with early pregnancy PM$_{2.5}$ exposure have also been reported. Jalaludin et al. (2007) reported inverse ORs for exposures occurring in the first trimester during summer months only. Gehring et al. (2011) found inverse associations with PM$_{2.5}$ exposures in the first trimester and last month before birth that attenuated or reversed after adjustment for region. Wilhelm et al. (Wilhelm and Ritz 2005; Wilhelm et al. 2011) reported inverse ORs with single-pollutant models of PM$_{2.5}$ for exposures during early pregnancy; however, in the later study of a population from a similar area, analysis using multipollutant models (including criteria air pollutants and traffic-related air toxics) produced positive ORs (Wilhelm et al. 2011). Shifts in associations with regional or multipollutant adjustment suggest the importance of considering composition of pollutants co-occurring with PM$_{2.5}$. It is possible that residual confounding due to co-pollutants is also a factor in our study; however, our estimates were robust to adjustment for co-occurring ozone.

Like ours, the study by Warren et al. (2012) evaluated weekly windows of exposure, reporting elevated RDs with PM$_{2.5}$ exposure in weeks 4–22 of gestation. Although these results do not perfectly align with ours, possibly because of differences in PM$_{2.5}$ composition in Texas compared with the northeast, the authors do corroborate our findings of positive associations with exposures in earlier weeks of gestation. Identification of increased associations in very specific vulnerable periods in development may aid in the elucidation of potential mechanisms.

Most studies assessed exposure using monitors or monitoring-based methods (e.g., kriging, land use regression). Although kriging and land use regression impute concentrations with complete spatial and temporal coverage, they are best suited for areas with reasonably dense spatial and temporal monitoring. Chang et al. (2012) examined PM$_{2.5}$ exposure in North Carolina using both monitor data and monitor-corrected CMAQ data, reporting similar associations between PM$_{2.5}$ and PTB for women with exposure information from both sources; but not all women had monitoring data. For our study, the use of monitor-corrected CMAQ data offered more complete spatial and temporal coverage, including regions for which monitor data was not available. This expands generalizability of our results over monitor-based studies restricted to urban centers. Our large population also allowed examination of EMM by several factors and detection of very small associations across multiple time windows of exposure.

In this work, we examined more specific definitions of PTB than < 37 weeks of gestation. The two other studies that used a more specific definition of PTB [27–36 weeks for Chang et al. (2012); 29–36 weeks for Darrow et al. (2009)] found opposing results to one another for the first trimester/month of pregnancy. But, they used different analytic methods: Chang et al. (2012) used time-to-event analysis, and Darrow et al. (2009) a time-series approach. Using a cohort study design, we found mostly positive RDs for these gestational ages and exposure windows, except for LPTBs. The etiology of PTB may differ greatly at different gestational weeks, as fetal development and periods of vulnerabilities shift rapidly.

Using the four categories may have helped reveal differences in associations that would otherwise have been masked by collapsing all categories of PTB into a single outcome.

It is challenging to directly compare and interpret differences across studies because of different research methodologies (study designs, outcome definitions, and exposure...
assessments, metrics, and contrasts) (Stieb et al. 2012). In addition to the methodological differences noted above, differences in results across studies may also be explained by actual differences in PM2.5 composition over time and geographical area due to pollutant sources and meteorological conditions (Bell et al. 2007).

Like most studies of air pollution and PTB, we relied on imperfect exposure classification and the results may reflect residual or unmeasured confounding. Exposure misclassification may be attributable to the use of a model for exposure assessment (even with bias correction), the use of ambient rather than personal data, the use of a single residential point rather than a profile of where a woman’s time is spent, and the assumption that residence at birth was unchanged throughout pregnancy. These factors would likely be nondifferential by outcome, though not necessarily by confounding factors. Consequences of exposure misclassification on the observed associations are complicated to predict. True exposure may be higher or lower than assigned based on residence, depending on the pollution levels where a woman works, the amount of time she commutes, or the amount of time spent indoors, potentially biasing associations in either direction (Allen et al. 2012; Hodas et al. 2012). Results for exposures during individual weeks may also be confounded by exposures during temporally correlated weeks. Some bias may also be introduced through the women who were not able to be geolocated. There are demographic differences between these women and the included population, some of which may indicate a higher likelihood of exposure to worse air quality (Miranda et al. 2011). In addition, bias due to residual or unmeasured confounding may have arisen from the use of proxy variables for socioeconomic status (SES) or lack of data on important factors, such as maternal obesity. SES, though approximated in a manner similar to other studies, was not well defined and may not have fully captured the influence of SES on the PM2.5-PTB association. Area-level SES has been linked to PTB, and PM exposure may vary by neighborhood characteristics linked to SES; thus there may be some unmeasured confounding by area-level characteristics in our study. Unmeasured factors such as maternal obesity and diet may modify the association between PM2.5 and PTB, potentially masking associations in some subgroups. Again, such relationships are complex and many patterns are possible, potentially resulting in biases both toward and away from the null.

RDs as absolute measure of risk are easily interpretable and can be simply transformed into a number needed to harm (NNH = 1/RD), providing information about how changes to exposure would be expected to affect public health. For example, with exposure during week 15 of gestation, NNHs for PM2.5 and LPTB correspond to 5,587, meaning that for every 1-μg/m3 increase in ambient PM2.5 concentrations for 5,587 pregnant women, 1 LPTB occurs (assuming associations are causal). For ExPTB the NNH is much higher, at 39,526; this is attributable to the rarity of ExPTB. Although magnitude of association for ExPTB may be small, this outcome also carries the most severe consequences and costs. Given the ubiquitous nature of exposure to PM2.5, even the small changes we observed may affect public health.

In general, the positive associations observed here and throughout the literature call for consideration of potentially complex and subtle mechanisms by which PM2.5 may play a role in PTB. Several pathways are possible. PM2.5 exposure has been associated with markers of systemic inflammation in humans that have been associated with preterm delivery, such as high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP) and fibrinogen, and gestational hypertension (Lee et al. 2012, 2013). Maternal inflammation may alter placental vascular function (Backes et al. 2013). In a study of mice, Veras et al. (2008) found PM exposure altered placental morphology, decreasing maternal blood space volume and maternal–fetal surface ratio, and increasing fetal capillary proliferation with inhaled exposure to nonfiltered ambient air (mean PM2.5 = 27.5 μg/m3) versus filtered ambient air (mean PM2.5 = 6.5 μg/m3). Given the experimental findings in rodents and hypothesized mechanisms of preterm labor, it is plausible that these changes may lead to PTB through inadequate placental perfusion or impaired nutrient exchange (Bobak 2000; Kannan et al. 2006; Veras et al. 2012).

A variety of means have been proposed for the possible mechanisms of PM2.5 to act on PTB, including susceptibility to infection, disruption of placentation, and nutrient deprivation. PM2.5 has been shown to increase susceptibility to respiratory infections in toxicological studies, including influenza and respiratory syncytial virus in mice, potentially acting through impaired clearance of PM or pathogens (U.S. EPA 2009). Respiratory infections may either act as a primer for secondary infection (wherein immunological response to/clearance of secondary infection is reduced) or be an indicator of systemic inflammation. (Behrman and Butler 2007; U.S. EPA 2009; Wilhelm and Ritz 2005). Interruption of placental implantation, development, or function is another plausible mechanism, though at present only investigated nonhuman studies (Backes et al. 2013; Veras et al. 2008, 2012). Particulates may interfere in placental processes by the transfer of sorbed toxic
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