Monoethylhexyl Phthalate Elicits an Inflammatory Response in Adipocytes Characterized by Alterations in Lipid and Cytokine Pathways
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BACKGROUND: A growing body of evidence links endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) with obesity-related metabolic diseases. While it has been shown that EDCs can predispose individuals toward adiposity by affecting developmental processes, little is known about the chemicals' effects on adult adipose tissue.

OBJECTIVES: Our aim was to study the effects of low, physiologically relevant doses of EDCs on differentiated murine adipocytes.

METHODS: We combined metabolomics, proteomics, and gene expression analysis to characterize the effects of mono-ethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP) in differentiated adipocytes.

RESULTS: Repeated exposure to MEHP over several days led to changes in metabolite and enzyme levels indicating elevated lipogenesis and lipid oxidation. The chemical exposure also increased expression of major inflammatory cytokines, including chemoketic factors. Proteomic and gene expression analysis revealed significant alterations in pathways regulated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ). Inhibiting the nuclear receptor's activity using a chemical antagonist abrogated not only the alterations in PPARγ-regulated metabolic pathways, but also the increases in cytokine expression.

CONCLUSIONS: Our results show that MEHP can induce a pro-inflammatory state in differentiated adipocytes. This effect is at least partially mediated PPARγ.

Citation: Manteiga S, Lee K. 2017. Monoethylhexyl phthalate elicits an inflammatory response in adipocytes characterized by alterations in lipid and cytokine pathways. Environ Health Perspect 125:615–622; http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/EHP464

Introduction

Contamination of the environment with organic pollutants has emerged as a significant public health concern due to the pervasive nature of these contaminants. Of particular concern are endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), which comprise a structurally diverse group of chemicals that interfere with the endocrine system. Epidemiological studies have linked chronic EDC exposure to adverse effects on reproduction, development, and more recently, metabolic diseases. A growing number of studies have reported that perinatal exposure to certain EDCs, termed obesogens (Grüen and Blumberg 2006), could contribute to weight gain through an adipogenic effect that leads to increased body fat mass. This hypothesis has gained support from both in vivo and in vitro studies. Progenitor cells isolated from the adipose tissue (AT) of mice exposed in utero to tributyltin (TBT) exhibit greater sensitivity towards adipogenic differentiation and increased basal expression of adipogenic differentiation marker genes (Kirchner et al. 2010). These and related findings have highlighted the potential for early-life EDC exposure to predispose the offspring toward an obese phenotype later in life by reprogramming stem cell fate, possibly through epigenetic changes.

Mechanistic information remains scant, however, for many other EDCs that are substantially more prevalent in the environment than TBT and have also been linked to obesity-related metabolic diseases. To date, studies have mainly focused on the impact of suspected obesogens on stem cell fate and tissue development, sometimes yielding conflicting results (Rubin et al. 2001; Ryan et al. 2010). Less attention has been paid to clarifying whether these chemicals can directly disrupt metabolic regulation in differentiated cells of adult tissue. In AT development, formation of new adipocytes via differentiation of progenitor cells is intimately coupled to the ensuing expansion of adipocytes (hypertrophy) via lipid accumulation; the enzymes and regulatory proteins responsible for lipid droplet (LD) formation are also markers of differentiation. In postadolescent humans, hyperthrophy is the predominant mode of body fat mass increase, as the adipocyte turnover rate remains nearly constant at ~10% per year throughout adulthood (Spalding et al. 2008). Paradoxically, obese subjects exhibit a decreased capacity to form new lipid-storing adipocytes, which limits the overall plasticity of the AT (Danforth 2000) and pushes the mature adipocytes toward hypertrophic expansion in response to overfeeding.

Adipose cellular hypertrophy correlates with accumulation of pro-inflammatory immune cells in AT, which underpins insulin resistance and other metabolic dysfunctions associated with obesity-related diseases (Manteiga et al. 2013). It is possible that EDCs interfere with endogenous regulatory pathways to promote an inflammatory state.

One scenario is that disruption of metabolic regulation in adipocytes results in increased efflux of free fatty acids (FFAs), which could activate locally resident macrophages, adding to the pro-inflammatory milieu in the AT. This would further enhance lipolysis, thereby establishing a self-reinforcing pro-inflammatory feedback loop (Suganami et al. 2005). EDCs could disrupt metabolic regulation in a number of ways, including a) nonspecific binding to multiple different nuclear receptors (NRs) (Bility et al. 2004); b) selective binding to pleiotropic NRs (Grün and Blumberg 2006); and c) epigenetic changes leading to an alteration of DNA methylation (Rajesh and Balasubramanian 2014). Due to their exogenous origin, EDCs cannot be readily placed into the context of a canonical biochemical or signaling pathway. In this light, a data-driven (e.g., multi-omic) approach could provide valuable clues in determining the pathways impacted by the chemical, which in turn could lead to mechanistic insights.

In this study, we combined metabolomic and proteomic analyses to study the biochemical changes elicited by a pervasive EDC, monoethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP), in differentiated adipocytes. Compared with two other representative EDCs, tributyltin (TBT) and bisphenol A (BPA), MEHP more drastically alters the cellular metabolic profile, while also eliciting a pro-inflammatory response in a dose-dependent fashion. Results of proteomic analysis in conjunction with gene expression data pointed to the involvement of the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) as a key mediator of these responses.
activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) as a mediator of the observed metabolic and inflammatory responses. Inhibition of PPARγ activity abrogated both the metabolic and inflammatory effects of MEHP, further supporting the involvement of PPARγ.

Methods

Chemicals and Reagents

Newborn calf serum (CS), fetal bovine serum (FBS), Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), penicillin, streptomycin, insulin, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and TRIzol® reagent were purchased from Life Technologies, trypsin from Thermo Scientific, and recombinant mouse TNF-α from R&D Biosystems. Unless otherwise noted, all other chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

Cell Culture

Low passage 3T3-L1 preadipocytes (ATCC) were seeded in 12 well plates at a concentration of 10⁵ cells/cm² and cultured in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 10% CO₂.

Metabolites were extracted from adherent cells using direct cell scraping followed by the application of a solvent mixture of methanol, chloroform, and water (Dettmer et al. 2011). After removing the culture medium and rinsing the cells with PBS, ice-cold methanol:water (91:9, vol/vol) was added (0.525 mL/well) to lyse the cells. Any remaining adherent cells and debris were scraped off the bottom to collect the entire contents of the well, which were transferred into a clean sample tube. After adding 0.475 mL of chloroform, the sample tube was vortexed vigorously to obtain a monophasic reaction (qPCR).

Metabolite Extraction

Metabolites were extracted from adherent cells using direct cell scraping followed by the application of a solvent mixture of methanol, chloroform, and water (Dettmer et al. 2011). After removing the culture medium and rinsing the cells with PBS, ice-cold methanol:water (91:9, vol/vol) was added (0.525 mL/well) to lyse the cells. Any remaining adherent cells and debris were scraped off the bottom to collect the entire contents of the well, which were transferred into a clean sample tube. After adding 0.475 mL of chloroform, the sample tube was vortexed vigorously to obtain a monophasic reaction (qPCR).

Table 1 summarizes the timeline of the cell culture experiments. On Days 8, 12, and 16, images were recorded for a set of randomly selected wells from each treatment or control group, and then sacrificed for metabolomic and proteomic analysis. A second set of wells was sacrificed and the cells lysed using 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) for biochemical assays of total triglyceride (TG), DNA, and protein content. A third set of wells was sacrificed for qPCR analysis. Sacrificing separate sets of wells in parallel was necessary due to the different lysis/extraction buffer requirements for mass spectrometry (MS), biochemical assays, and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).

Protein Extraction

Cellular protein was extracted from the same cell lysate samples used for metabolite extraction. The method is based on a previously described protocol for plant cells (Weckwerth et al. 2004), which we modified for tissue culture samples by adjusting the solvent compositions and ratios. The extraction buffer was an aqueous solution of 0.05 M Tris (pH 7.6), 0.5% (weight/vol) SDS, and 1% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol. Equal volumes (650 μL) of the extraction buffer and TRIzol® reagent (Life Technologies) were mixed and added to the cell pellet collected from the metabolite extraction. After incubating for 1 hr at 37°C, the sample was vortexed and centrifuged under refrigeration (4°C) at 14,000 × g for 15 min to obtain phase separation. The upper and bottom phases containing RNA and protein, respectively, were separately collected into clean sample tubes using a syringe. The top phase was mixed with 1 mL ice-cold acetonitrile, stored at −20°C overnight (18 hr), and centrifuged the next day under refrigeration (4°C) at 14,000 × g for 15 min to pellet the proteins. The protein pellet was washed three times with 1 mL ethanol. The precipitated protein was reduced, alkylated and digested into peptides using trypsin. Briefly, the proteins were reduced by incubating the sample at 37°C for 30 min with dithiothreitol (DTT) and 8 M urea. The next step added iodoacetamide and incubated the mixture for 15 min in the dark to alkylate cysteine residues. The digest step added trypsin to the reaction mixture at a ratio of 10 μg protease per 1 mg protein. After an overnight incubation, addition of formic acid terminated the reaction by lowering the pH to 2. Before the LC-MS analysis, a final centrifugation step removed any remaining undigested protein.

Proteomics

We performed a series of untargeted experiments using the quadrupole-time of flight (QTOF) instrument to detect and quantify intracellular proteins. Chromatographic separation was achieved on an RP column (Acquity® Express C18; Sigma Aldrich) using a gradient method involving two mobile phases (see “LC-MS for metabolomics” in
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Statistical Analysis
We used partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) to compare the metabolite profiles of the treatment groups. Prior to the analysis, the metabolite data were standardized to unit variance and zero mean. Calculations of latent variable (LV) scores and loadings were performed in MATLAB (version R2015b; Mathworks). The first two LV scores and corresponding loadings were plotted for each sample to visualize sample groupings and identify discriminatory metabolites. For each treatment group, a confidence ellipse was drawn to define the region that contains 95% of all samples that can be expected from the underlying Gaussian distribution. Mahalanobis distance was used to determine the separation between group centroids, and the corresponding p-values are reported in the figure legends for treatment groups showing significant separation from control.

Pairwise comparisons were performed using the Student’s t-test (version Office 2011; Microsoft Excel). The threshold for significance was set at p < 0.05. For qPCR data, error bars were drawn using a weighted standard deviation (s) of the error in the control and treatment group delta Ct values, where s = [(stdev(treatment ΔCt))^2 + (stdev(control ΔCt))^2]^(1/2). For repeat experiments, the standard deviation was calculated based on the average fold-change for all biological replicates.

Multivariate Analysis Reveals Discriminatory Metabolites
The loadings plot from PLS-DA represents the influence of the metabolites on the separation between treatment groups, with each loading corresponding to a distinct metabolite. Of the five metabolites that were uniquely different for MEHP relative to control (Figure 1H, box inset), the two metabolites positively correlated with MEHP exposure are fatty acids, suggesting that MEHP exposure led to major changes in lipid metabolism. Other lipids contributing to the separation of MEHP and control include both saturated and unsaturated long-chain fatty acids as well as complex lipid derivatives (designated as ‘PG’ in Figure 1H) that are likely prostaglandins.

MEHP Uregulates Pro-inflammatory Cytokine and Chemokine Expression
We next performed a dose response experiment to characterize in more detail the chemical’s effects on inflammation indicators and metabolic pathways. We first confirmed that MEHP was taken up from the culture medium, and that this uptake occurred in proportion to the administered dose (see Figure S2). Gene expression analysis showed significant upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α and IL-6) and chemokines (MCP-1 and CXCL1) in MEHP-treated cells on Day 16, albeit to a lesser extent than the positive control TNF-α (Figure 2A).

MEHP Raises Intracellular Free Fatty Acid Levels
To quantitatively characterize the MEHP-induced changes in metabolism, absolute concentrations were determined for central carbon metabolites using a targeted LC-MS
analysis. We first examined the most discriminatory metabolites identified from the PLS-DA. MEHP treatment significantly altered the FFA profile on Day 16, significantly raising the levels of both saturated and unsaturated fatty acids (Figure 2B). Examining specific FFAs (Figure 2C), we found that exposure to MEHP (1–10 μM) significantly increased the intracellular levels of palmitoleic, palmitic, linoleic, oleic, and arachidonic acid. Treatment with TNF-α, which is known to stimulate lipolysis in adipocytes, also increased the levels of these FFAs. In addition to fatty acids, MEHP broadly altered the levels of other central carbon metabolites (see Figure S3), including glycolysis and TCA cycle intermediates.

**MEHP Alters PPARγ Target Enzyme Expression and Abundance**

Based on the observation that MEHP treatment significantly perturbed the profile of
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Intracellular fatty acids, we investigated the chemical’s effects on key regulators of lipid metabolism. Gene expression data showed that MEHP exposure did not alter the mRNA level of PPARγ. However, the expression levels of two PPARγ targets, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha (C/EBPα) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK), were increased significantly (Figure 2D), implying increased PPARγ activity.

The responses of PPARγ and two of its target genes suggested that gene expression analysis alone does not fully capture the impact of MEHP exposure on PPARγ-regulated pathways. Therefore, we combined untargeted proteomics with targeted data extraction to profile the levels of cellular proteins. Overall, the proteomic data revealed significant changes in the levels of metabolic enzymes and other proteins involved in regulating the lipid balance in adipocytes (see Figure S4). Significantly upregulated proteins include glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPDH), PEPCK, perilipins 1 and 4 (PLIN1/4), fatty acid binding protein 4 (FABP4), and adiponectin, all downstream targets of PPARγ (Figure 2E). In addition to these PPARγ target proteins, MEHP exposure increased the levels of several other enzymes involved in lipid metabolism, including acyl-CoA synthetase long-chain family member 1 (ACSL1), fatty acid synthase (FAS), and carbonyl reductase (Figure 2F).

The proteomic analysis also revealed alterations in the levels of inflammatory signaling proteins. Exposure to MEHP at the highest dose (10 μM) led to a 2-fold increase in the pro-inflammatory cytokine macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), which was also increased upon TNF-α treatment (Figure 2G). In addition, we observed a significant increase in the abundance of fetuin A (FetA), a peptide factor that stimulates macrophage migration and polarization in AT. Interestingly, we found signs of stress response to xenobiotic accumulation, specifically an increase in the glutathione S-transferase mu 1 (GST mu1). This increase was not observed in the TNF-α condition, suggesting that the response is specific to xenobiotic chemicals, rather than inflammation.

**PPARγ Inhibition Attenuates MEHP-Induced Gene and Protein Changes**

To investigate the involvement of PPARγ in the responses elicited by MEHP, we repeated the exposure experiments in the presence of the selective PPARγ antagonist GW9662. We observed significant attenuation of the MEHP-induced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (Figure 3A), which suggested that PPARγ could recognize MEHP as an activator. GW9662 also attenuated

---

Figure 2. Dose effects of MEHP on adipocyte inflammatory markers, fatty acid profile, and proteins mediating inflammatory signaling and regulating lipid metabolism. (A) Expression profiles of inflammatory gene markers. (B) Summed contributions of quantitatively significant unsaturated and saturated FFAs. (C) Intracellular FFA profile. Data shown are the average of N = 2, which refers to independent repeat experiments. Each independent experiment in this case had n = 3 samples, which we refer to as biological replicates (3 biological replicates per experiment). (D) Gene expression profiles of PPARγ, its cross-activator C/EBPα, and an enzyme target. Data shown are averages of n = 3 biological replicates. (E) Relative abundance of PPARγ targets, (F) lipid metabolizing enzymes, and (G) inflammatory mediators. Values are (log2) fold changes in protein abundance relative to vehicle control. Data shown are averages of n = 4 biological replicates. Protein abundance quantified from sequential window acquisition of all theoretical spectra (SWATH) MS data was normalized to total protein and total ion current (TIC). Error bars show SD. *p-Value < 0.05.
Discussion

This study demonstrates for the first time that MEHP directly stimulates inflammation in differentiated adipocytes. Importantly, the doses employed in this study reflect physiologically relevant concentrations detected in human populations (Frederiksen et al. 2010; Kannan et al. 1999; Vandenberg et al. 2012). We combined metabolomics with gene expression analysis to compare the effects of three representative EDCs on adipocyte metabolism and inflammation. Of the three EDCs tested, MEHP drastically altered the metabolic profile of adipocytes, while also upregulating inflammation markers. Thus, this EDC was selected for further investigation to characterize in more detail the pathways involved in mediating these effects.

A broader comparison of protein expression profiles revealed a similar trend. PLS-DA on untargeted proteomic data showed that treatment with MEHP significantly altered the intracellular protein profile of mature adipocytes by Day 16 (Figure 3C). These alterations were significantly attenuated by co-treatment with GW9662. In comparison, the protein profile of cells in the vehicle control group was not significantly impacted by treatment with GW9662.

A majority of previous studies aimed at monitoring EDCs in humans have focused on blood or urine samples (Carwile and Michels 2011; Genuis et al. 2012), with only a handful of studies analyzing local EDC levels in the AT. In vitro studies offer the opportunity to characterize the responses of a specific cell type to a chemically defined EDC in isolation from systemic influences. Using a quantitative LC-MS assay, we confirmed that MEHP depletes from the culture medium and accumulates inside the cells (see Figure S2). A material balance indicated that MEHP, once taken up by the cells, is only partially transformed (67%, 66%, and 25% for the 10, 1, and 0.1 μM dose, respectively).

Previous studies on the effects of MEHP in adipocytes have focused mostly on differentiation, and generally report a pro-adipogenic effect. Experiments in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes showed that MEHP stimulates differentiation in the presence of insulin (Siriwardhana et al. 2012). Similar trends were observed in primary human adipocytes derived by in vitro differentiation of freshly isolated preadipocytes (Ellero-Simatos et al. 2011); however, a relatively high dose of 100 μM was required to produce significant effects. Unlike these earlier studies, we did not observe any obvious adipogenic effects such as increases in total triglycerides. The main effects were upregulation of inflammation related genes and proteins along with broad changes in the levels of metabolites and enzymes.

To our knowledge, there has not been any prior evidence for phthalate-induced inflammation in adipocytes. A recent study reported signs of systemic and local inflammation in rats exposed to DEHP in utero (Campioli et al. 2014). Abdominal fat pads from these animals showed increased TNF-α expression in the stromal vascular fraction, pointing to the resident macrophages and monocytes as the source of the cytokine. The results of our study show that MEHP elicits an inflammatory response in differentiated adipocytes independent of immune cells. This clearly does not rule out the possibility that the in vivo response involves both adipocyte and immune cell contributions. Rather, the in vitro response likely involves cytokine-mediated paracrine signaling between the different cell types. Indeed, we found that MEHP exposure significantly increased gene expression of TNF-α, IL-6, MCP-1, and CXCL1, which are characteristically elevated in inflamed AT (Makki et al. 2013; Nunemaker et al. 2014).

To examine whether MEHP exposure affected inflammatory signaling at the protein level, while also obtaining a more complete picture of the chemical’s effects, we conducted an untargeted proteomic analysis. Using PLS-DA, we identified 50 highly significant discriminatory proteins based on their loading...
values (see Table S2). A majority (58%) of these proteins has known functions in inflammation, metabolism, or stress response (see Figure S4). Several of the discriminatory proteins mediate monocyte recruitment and homeostasis. Therefore, we quantitatively analyzed additional proteins related to adipocyte-monocyte interactions. We observed a significant increase in FetA, which promotes macrophage infiltration and polarization in AT. Release of FetA from cultured adipocytes is stimulated by FFAs (Chatterjee et al. 2013), suggesting a link to lipid metabolism. Another intriguing trend is the dose-dependent increase in MIF, which acts as a pro-inflammatory signal in AT and positively associates with obesity-related insulin resistance (Finucane et al. 2012). Studies in mice and cultured adipocytes suggest that MIF exerts catabolic effects by inhibiting insulin signaling (Atsumi et al. 2007), pointing to another potential link between the metabolic and inflammatory effects of MEHP exposure.

This connection is further supported by the results of our metabolic analysis. The LV loadings from PLS-DA identify fatty acids as key discriminatory metabolites (see Figure S3). These include arachidonic acid, which is a major source of eicosanoids that mediate inflammatory signaling. In cultured adipocytes, arachidonic acid stimulates secretion of MCP-1 and IL-6 in a dose-dependent fashion (Siriwardhana et al. 2012). Quantification of abundant fatty acids showed a general pattern of increase with MEHP treatment compared to control samples, resembling the intracellular profile in TNF-α treated cells (Figure 2B,C). Whether this increase results from enhanced lipolysis as in the case of TNF-α (Zhang et al. 2002) is unclear.

Over-representation analysis (Ingenuity Pathway Analysis; Qiagen) of the discriminatory proteins from PLS-DA as well as metabolite profiles also pointed to the activation of inflammatory pathways in response to MEHP exposure. Four of the top five significant (p < 0.05) diseases or biofunctions associated with the omic profiles were related to inflammation and immune response. The most significantly affected metabolic function is lipid metabolism, underscoring a possible link between the altered fatty acid levels and inflammatory phenotype.

Consistent with previous studies showing that MEHP induces transcription of PPARY targets (Ellero-Simatos et al. 2011; Feige et al. 2007; Siriwardhana et al. 2012), our results suggest that MEHP could interfere with PPARY signaling to disrupt regulation of cellular lipid metabolism (Figure 2D). In addition to upregulation of C/EBPα, we found increases in several enzymes and lipid regulatory proteins (FABP4, PLIN4, PEPCK, GPDH, and adiponectin) that are known PPARY targets. Normally, upregulation of anabolic enzymes occurs in parallel with counter-regulation of catabolic enzymes, promoting fatty acid esterification. However, this was not the case in MEHP exposed cells, suggesting at least partial dysregulation. We observed a significant upregulation of ACSL1, which activates the breakdown of complex fatty acids, and thus impacts the profile of endogenous ligands for PPARY. To further investigate the involvement of PPARY in the observed metabolic and inflammatory effects, we tested whether inhibiting PPARY activity would abrogate the effects of MEHP exposure.

A comparison of protein expression profiles showed that co-treating the cells with the selective synthetic agonist GW9662 during MEHP exposure attenuated MEHP-induced differences, taking the adipocytes to a phenotypic state more similar to vehicle control. Furthermore, co-treatment with MEHP and GW9662 prevented the significant increase in chemokine and cytokine expression observed when the cells were treated with MEHP alone. To further boost confidence in our finding that PPARY plays a role in mediating the effects of MEHP, we performed a pathway enrichment analysis using the STRING database (Jensen et al. 2009). The analysis found a total of 14 significant pathways (Table 2). Of these, 6 pathways represented either generic or disease specific function categories. Of the remaining 8 pathways, 6 were metabolic pathways, and the other two were PPAR signaling and actin cytoskeleton regulation. Based on the p-value, the top two pathways were fatty acid degradation and metabolism. Taken together, these results suggest that PPARY activation is at least partially responsible for mediating the metabolic and inflammatory effects induced by MEHP treatment.

One regulatory mechanism that could be disrupted by MEHP is feedback between PPARγ and FABP4. As a carrier protein that transports long-chain FFAs to their cognate receptors in the nucleus, FABP4 is a key link in FFA-mediated NR signaling. FABP4 can attenuate PPARγ activity by triggering its proteosomal degradation, and FABP4-null mice exhibit increased expression of PPARY (Garin-Shkolnik et al. 2014). Another study found that treatment of adipocytes with FFA downregulated PPARY protein and mRNA levels while upregulating inflammatory indicators (Nguyen et al. 2012), suggesting that maintaining a certain level of PPARY activity is important in modulating inflammation. In the context of MEHP exposure, sustained exogenous stimulation of PPARY by the chemical could result in loss of activity via inhibitory factors such as FABP4, impairing the NR’s ability to regulate against buildup of FFA. Increased levels of FABP4 have been observed in AT of obese-diabetic individuals (Garin-Shkolnik et al. 2014), which characteristically exhibit a low-grade inflammation.

**Conclusions**

We found a strong correlation between MEHP-induced changes in lipid metabolism and upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines at gene expression, protein, and metabolite levels, suggesting these responses are coupled. Results from the PPARY inhibition experiment show that the NR is at least partially involved in mediating both the metabolic and inflammatory effects of MEHP. As a synthetic chemical, MEHP is not easily placed into the context of canonical signaling and metabolic pathways. Thus, we used a data-driven approach combining gene expression analysis, metabolomics, and proteomics to broadly characterize the biochemical changes induced by the chemical and to identify potential sites of action. Although additional studies are clearly necessary to establish whether the metabolic changes precede and cause inflammation, our results firmly indicate that MEHP exposure is sufficient to upregulate the expression of key pro-inflammatory factors in differentiated adipocytes independent of signals from other cell

| KEGG pathway | Number of genes | p-Value
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon metabolism</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial metabolism in diverse environments</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.13E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.53E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic pathways</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.06E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholism</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.4E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acid degradation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.53E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acid metabolism</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.98E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.89E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.14E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of actin cytoskeleton</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.03E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthrogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.84E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosynthesis of amino acids</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.84E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPAR signaling pathway</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.26E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propanoate metabolism</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.94E-02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. 
*p-Values were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method.

---

**Table 2.** Significantly enriched KEGG pathways in MEHP condition.
In vivo, autocrine and paracrine events between adipocytes and inflammatory cells would add to the cytokine milieu, sustaining a pro-inflammatory feedback loop in the AT (Suganami et al. 2005). In this way, prolonged exposure to MEHP could set the stage for chronic inflammation. Testing this potential outcome warrants further study, and will likely require long-term exposure experiments using low doses, preferably in vivo.
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