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ABSTRACT

Background: Indoor air pollution (IAP) derived largely from use of solid-fuels for cooking and heating affects about 3 billion people worldwide, resulting in substantial adverse health outcomes, including cancer. Women and children from developing countries are the most exposed populations. A workshop was held in Arlington, VA, 9-11 May 2011, to better understand women and children’s potential health effects from IAP in developing countries. Workshop participants included international scientists, manufacturers, policy and regulatory officials, community leaders, and advocates who held extensive discussions to help identify future research needs.

Objectives: Our objective is to identify research opportunities regarding IAP and cancer, including research questions that could be incorporated into studies of interventions to reduce IAP exposure. Here we describe the state of the science in understanding IAP and associations with cancer, and suggest research opportunities to improve our understanding of the issues.

Discussion: Opportunities for research on IAP and cancer include studies of the effect of IAP on cancers other than lung cancer, studies of genetic factors that modify susceptibility; studies to determine whether effects of IAP are mediated via germline, somatic, and/or epigenetic changes; and studies of the effects of IAP exposure via dermal and/or oral routes.

Conclusions: IAP from indoor coal use increases the risk of lung cancer. Installing chimneys can reduce risk, and some genotypes, including GSTM1-null, can increase risk. Additional research is needed regarding effects of IAP on other cancers, effects of different types of solid fuels, oral and dermal routes of IAP exposure, genetic and epigenetic mechanisms, and genetic susceptibility.
INTRODUCTION

Despite considerable research on cancer and IAP (IARC 2010) additional targeted research is needed in this area. We describe the state of the science in understanding IAP and associations with cancer and suggest research opportunities to improve our understanding of the issues.

A workshop was held in Arlington, VA in May 2011 titled the Health Burden of Indoor Air Pollution on Women and Children in Developing Countries, sponsored by several U.S. federal agencies and the Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves, a public-private partnership led by the United Nations Foundation (http://www.cleancookstoves.org/). Stakeholders for the plenary sessions included a wide array of international scientists, product developers, non-governmental organization officials, and regulatory and policy officials, as well as community leaders and advocates from the most affected regions in Africa, India, Latin America, and China. The workshop focused on identifying research gaps concerning major health issues related to IAP. For this report we retain the use of the term “indoor air pollution” in keeping with the title of the workshop, but wish to acknowledge that the term “household air pollution” is preferred by many as more accurate in accounting for the sources of the pollution.

Exposure to IAP from use of solid fuels is a significant public health concern affecting approximately 3 billion people worldwide and associated with an estimated 2 million deaths in the year 2000 alone (Ezzati 2004; IARC 2010; WHO 2009). The predominant forms of solid fuels include coal and various forms of biomass, such as wood, charcoal, animal dung, and agricultural waste. Use of coal for cooking and heating is relatively uncommon globally, though it remains quite important in China and parts of central Asia (Rehfuess et al. 2006). The number of people with IAP exposure worldwide continues to increase and remains a substantial problem
in many developing countries, particularly in Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. For instance, census data from India suggest that over 74% of the total population uses solid fuels for cooking (IMHA 2001). Likewise, 67% of Nigerians and 81% of Kenyans rely on solid fuel for cooking (Rehfuess et al. 2006).

**METHODS**

Workshop participants were divided into eight groups that addressed burns and ocular health, cancer, cardiovascular diseases, exposure assessment, infections, pregnancy/neonatology, respiratory diseases, and women’s empowerment. The authors of this report were assigned to the cancer working group. Workshop planning, execution, and post-workshop efforts were generally consistent with a previously described process to develop priorities (Montorzi et al. 2010). Efforts to identify research opportunities were strongly motivated by an announcement early in the workshop that plans were well underway by the Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves to provide large numbers of new cook-stoves and ventilation devices of various designs to affected populations through donations and other strategies over the next few years. Therefore, research that could help clarify the effectiveness of the interventions was of particular interest. To help identify research opportunities, participants also were asked to consider potential research questions in relation to the magnitude of the health burden of indoor air pollution on women and children in developing countries, the scientific unknowns, potential cost-effectiveness of the research and resulting interventions, the available resources, time needed, organizational and governmental capacities, and equity concerns including the economic and political resources of those affected by IAP exposures. During breakout sessions each group evaluated the current
state of the science and identified research opportunities. The groups received input from all stakeholders in attendance during the plenary sessions.

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified IAP from coal combustion as a known human carcinogen (Group 1), and has classified IAP from biomass fuel (crop residues, wood, dung) as a probable human carcinogen (Group 2A) (IARC 2010). The IARC works with an international group of experts to comprehensively evaluate the world literature on potential carcinogens and makes qualitative assessments as to which specific agents cause cancer in humans. In October 2006, the expert working group for IARC monograph Vol. 95 (IARC 2010) evaluated the carcinogenicity of household use of solid fuels and high-temperature frying. The report was updated with information on “coal only” in 2012 (IARC 2012) after the IAP meeting in 2011. We considered the IARC monograph Vol. 95 to be comprehensive through 2006 and limited additional searches to literature published since January 1, 2006. To identify articles that examined cancer associated with household use of solid fuels, we performed PubMed searches using the terms “indoor air pollution” OR “household air pollution” OR “solid fuel” OR “biomass” OR “wood smoke” OR “smoky coal” OR “crop residue” AND “cancer”. Searches were limited to journal articles concerning human studies based on primary data analyses published in English after January 1, 2006. After eliminating duplicate manuscripts and manuscripts concerning non-cancer outcomes, irrelevant exposures, or secondary analyses, we identified 14 new publications, in addition to the IARC monograph published in 2010, to consider when prioritizing research opportunities. All of the publications included in the 2012 update of IARC monograph Vol. 95 (IARC 2012) were identified and included in our assessment.
IAP AND CANCER: WHAT WE KNOW

Cancers that have been associated with IAP include cancers of the lung, upper aero-digestive tract, and cervix. Lung cancers are the most studied and well-characterized of the IAP-cancer associations (IARC 2010). IARC based its determination that household exposure to coal combustion by-products causes lung cancer in humans principally on strong studies that adequately addressed tobacco use and other relevant factors as confounders, including four case-control studies from China that reported statistically significant associations between lung cancer and exposure to coal combustion (Dai et al. 1996; Lan et al. 2000; Wu-Williams et al. 1990; Xu et al. 1989). Lung cancer prevalence increased with increasing amounts of coal used (n=244) (Lan et al. 2000), and years a coal stove was used for heat in the bedroom (n=240 and n=1,924, for Dai et al. 1996 and Wu-Williams et al. 1990, respectively).

Lung cancer associations were evident irrespective of whether coal was used for heating or cooking (Lan et al. 2000) or whether the type of coal was smoky or non-smoky (Dai et al. 1996; Wu-Williams et al. 1990). Strong evidence came from a retrospective cohort study of farmers exposed throughout their lifetimes to smoky coal (n=21,232), which reported reduced risks of lung cancer following transition to use of a stove with a chimney (Lan et al. 2002). Increased adenocarcinoma of the lung was observed among users of coal or anthracite as cooking fuel in a case-control study in Taiwan (n=1,332) (Lee et al. 2001).

Reports published since the IARC Working Group was convened in 2006 continue to fully support IAP due to coal use as a cause of lung cancer. The International Lung Cancer Case-Control Consortium members pooled data from multiple case-control studies across North America, Europe and Asia (Hosgood et al. 2010). Based on 5,105 cases and 6,525 controls, lung cancer was increased among predominant coal users (OR = 1.64, 95% CI: 1.49, 1.81). This
association was strong for coal users in Asia (OR = 4.93, 95% CI: 3.73, 6.52) and was evident among Asian women and men, smokers and non-smokers, and non-smoking women. Harbin, China was the site of a lung cancer case-control study (n=654) that, controlling for multiple potential confounding factors, found that lung cancer was associated with use of coal for fuel as well as for cooking (Galeone et al. 2008). Further research in Xuan Wei County, including a case-control study consisting of 996 participants, has focused on subtypes of coal (Lan et al. 2008). Compared to users of non-smoky coal or wood, persons exposed to smoky coal had seven times the odds of lung cancer, a finding that was evident in both men and women. Relative risks were highest for exposure to coal from Laibin, and associations increased with an increasing concentration of benzo[a]pyrene in the region’s coal. Outside of China, an association between coal exposure and lung cancer was also reported by a multi-center case-control study from India (n=2,579) (Sapkota et al. 2008).

Studies from several countries support previous findings and in some cases add region-specific details. A retrospective cohort study conducted in the Southwest Guizhou Autonomous Prefecture, China (n=1,386), an area where coal with a high arsenic content is used for fuel, found that male and female residents with chronic arsenic poisoning were more likely than the Chinese general population to die from lung cancer (Chen et al. 2007). Wood-smoke particles produced more extensive DNA damage as measured by strand breaks and formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosylase sites than traffic-generated particulate matter in a laboratory based study conducted on lung epithelium and monocytic cell lines (Danielsen et al. 2009). An analysis of samples collected from participants in a case-control study of Indian women (n=172) suggested that chronic exposure to biomass smoke activates Akt,, a protein which has been implicated in the development of a wide range of human cancers., (Mondal et al. 2010).
As summarized in the IARC monograph, the concentration of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in emissions from indoor coal combustion is associated with lung cancer, and both the cytochrome P450 and aldo-keto-reductase pathways, as well as polymorphisms in DNA repair and phase-II pathways, have been shown to modify the association (IARC 2010). Evidence linking PAHs in wood smoke emissions to cancer is limited, and other components of wood smoke IAP may also be important. At present, very little is known regarding the role of other chemical constituents of IAP in carcinogenesis – a potential area for future research.

Evidence continues to grow that interventions that reduce IAP exposures substantially reduce lung cancer mortality. In a retrospective cohort study of more than 42,000 farmers with an average follow-up of 16 years, the use of high-efficiency portable stoves was associated with 40% decrease in deaths from lung cancer in men and 60% in women when compared with traditional stoves (Hosgood et al. 2008). The authors speculate that this may have been the result of lower exposure to combustion by-products, because it was no longer necessary to open the stove multiple times each day to add coal. A retrospective cohort study conducted in China (n=21,232) reported a decrease in lung cancer mortality after chimneys were installed in homes with improper ventilation (Lee K et al. 2010).

There are significantly fewer studies of lung cancer in association with IAP from biomass (wood, charcoal, crop residues and dung), and the studies that are available do not evaluate associations according to the specific type of fuel used, making comparisons difficult. The IARC Working Group noted several strong case-control studies across several geographic regions to support their conclusion that IAP from biomass combustion is a possible carcinogen (Group 2A). A case-control study from Europe (n=5,979) (Lissowska et al. 2005) and two case-control studies from Taiwan (n=234 and n=1,332, respectively, for Ko et al. 1997 and Lee et al. 2001)
both reported that lung cancer was associated with use of wood as a cooking fuel, with stronger associations with squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma than other histological subtypes of lung cancer (Ko et al. 1997) (Lee et al. 2001). In a case-control study from Japan (n=857), smoke exposure from wood or wood and straw was associated with lung cancer only among those exposed to the smoke prior to the age of 30 years (Sobue 1990). Exposure to wood smoke for 50 years or more was associated with lung cancer in a case-control study from Mexico (n=386) (Hernandez-Garduno et al. 2004). In a case-control study in Montreal (n=2,746), use of wood for heating and cooking was associated with lung cancer (Ramanakumar et al. 2007). Finally, in a case-control study in India (n=113) lung cancer was associated with use of biomass for cooking (primarily wood, dung, and crop residues) (Behera and Balamugesh 2005). Two small cross-sectional studies from Sweden (n=24 and n=23, respectively) reported that exposure to 1,3-butadiene, benzene, formaldehyde, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and acetaldehyde as measured from air samples was highly correlated with exposure to indoor wood burning for heating homes (Gustafson et al. 2007; Gustafson et al. 2008).

Since the IARC Working Group evaluated the evidence in 2006, a pooled analysis of seven case-control studies reported a modest association between lung cancer and wood-smoke exposure (Hosgood et al. 2010). In contrast, no evidence of an overall association of use of wood for cooking and lung cancer was observed in a case-control study in India (n=2,579) (Sapkota et al. 2008), even with long duration of use.

Awareness of the health risks of exposure to combusted coal or biomass may be limited in many populations. For example, in a cross-sectional study in Nepal, awareness of lung cancer associations with these exposures was high among the well-educated, but not among the illiterate, who may be the ones most likely to be exposed (Chawla et al. 2010).
Some associations have been reported between upper aero-digestive tract cancers and IAP. The IARC Monograph mentions studies of nasopharyngeal cancer and other upper digestive tract cancers, but weaknesses of the studies precluded the IARC Working Group from making conclusions about these cancers (IARC 2010). A subsequent case-control study in India (n=2,579) reported an association between the exclusive use of wood as a solid fuel and hypopharyngeal cancer but not laryngeal cancer (Sapkota et al. 2008).

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is a necessary cause of cervical cancer; all other factors only modify risk (Schottenfeld 2006). The IARC Working Group noted one case-control study (n=366), albeit with methodological limitations, that indicated an association between wood burning and cervical cancers among HPV-infected women (Velemma et al. 2002). Two case-control studies have been reported since the 2006 IARC review. A study from Taiwan (n=1,479) found no association, possibly because few women with cervical high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions were also exposed to coal or wood combustion byproducts (Lee C et al. 2010). A case-control study of Colombian women (n=183) reported that the risk of cervical cancer was stronger among HPV-infected women exposed to wood smoke in the kitchen for 16 or more years than in HPV-infected women without wood smoke exposure (OR = 5.3, 95% CI 1.9-14.7) (Sierra-Torres et al. 2006).

**PRIORITY RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES**

The cancer working group identified four topics as potential high priority opportunities for research in the near term. Studies of interventions such as chimneys and efficient cook-stoves should be carried out. The opportunities described below could be conducted in the context of intervention studies to determine whether different types of solid fuel are associated with
different types of cancer, whether some populations are more susceptible than others, what mechanisms need to be accounted for, and identify routes of exposure that must be accounted for to accurately quantify dose.

**Estimate effects of household solid fuel combustion on cancers other than lung cancer.**

Many carcinogens such as PAHs and metals are present in both tobacco smoke and smoke from solid-fuel combustion products (IARC 2004, IARC 2010), which suggests that other cancers associated with tobacco smoking may also be associated with exposure to IAP. Studies cited by IARC in 2006 were not sufficient to allow firm conclusions about effects on cancers other than lung cancer, and only one additional study of associations between IAP and other cancers has been published since (Sapkota et al. 2008).

**Determine whether genetic susceptibility modifies associations between IAP and cancer.**

Incorporating genetic analyses into intervention studies may improve risk assessment by clarifying whether the dose-response relationship between IAP and cancer differs for genetically susceptible subgroups. Toxicological and genetic studies also may identify or confirm chemical constituents or complex mixtures responsible for mediating effects of IAP on cancer. For example, there is preliminary evidence of altered lung cancer susceptibility from indoor exposure to smoky coal associated with variants in glutathione S-transferase genes (Lan et al. 2000) and in genes involved in DNA repair (Shen et al. 2005). A meta-analysis (Hosgood et al. 2007) suggests that lung cancer risks associated with IAP exposure may be higher for persons with the *GSTM1*-null genotype, especially among populations in coal-using regions of China (Chan-Yeung et al. 2004; Lan et al. 2000). These studies have shown that coal emissions with the highest PAH levels have the strongest associations with lung cancer, a finding that is consistent with the PAH-
type of mutation spectra found in the TP53 and KRAS genes of lung tumors from smoky coal-
exposed women (DeMarini et al. 2001).

Recent lung cancer genome-wide association studies, which have been performed primarily in
smoking Caucasian males, have reported associations between variants in loci 15q25, 5p15, and
The first genome wide association study of lung cancer among nonsmoking females in Asia
reported that a variant in the CLPTM1L-TERT locus of chromosome 5 was strongly associated
with lung cancer (Hsiung et al. 2010). The magnitude of the association was somewhat stronger
than previously estimated based on a genome wide association study of lung cancer among
Caucasians, most of whom were smokers (Landi et al. 2009). Ongoing genome wide association
studies of lung cancer in Asian populations should be able to provide new insights into how
genetic susceptibility modifies the impact of IAP on lung cancer. Gene-environment interactions
have been explored among populations exposed to smoky coal, and similar studies are needed in
populations with exposures to IAP emissions from wood, other biomass, dung, and crop
residues.

**Determine whether effects of IAP are mediated via germline, somatic, and/or epigenetic
changes.** This line of inquiry requires studies of early life and life course exposures, including
studies of in utero exposures and studies to identify susceptible developmental stages. Rodent
studies have shown that outdoor air pollution (Yauk et al. 2008) and cigarette smoke (Marchetti
et al. 2011; Yauk et al. 2007) induce germ-cell mutations as well as epigenetic changes, and
recent evidence also suggests that both these exposures are likely human germ-cell mutagens
(DeMarini 2012; Somers 2011). Given that such exposures are not fundamentally different from
many IAP exposures, effects of IAP exposures on germ-cell mutations and epigenetic changes in
humans are plausible. If so, heritable risk resulting from household solid fuel use could persist in populations even if exposures are reduced or eliminated. This possibility needs to be considered when evaluating intervention effectiveness, and reinforces the urgency of research in this area.

**Determine whether exposure to IAP via dermal and/or oral routes influence cancer risk.**

IAP contaminates more than the air of closed structures; it may also settle onto the walls, floors, clothing, and food of the household inhabitants. A study conducted in Shanxi, China confirmed the presence of genotoxic chemicals from indoor solid-fuel combustion in residential dust (Naufal et al. 2007). Trans-dermal exposures to PAHs are well documented from a variety of sources (Kammer et al. 2011). The majority of PAH exposure in western societies is from the diet (Ramesh et al. 2004), but there are no comparative studies of oral versus other routes of exposure to PAHs in IAP. Accounting for alternative routes of exposure in intervention studies will be necessary to ensure accurate results and for informing future interventions.

**CONCLUSIONS**

IAP from indoor coal use increases the risk of lung cancer. Installing chimneys can reduce risk, and some genotypes, including *GSTM1*-null, may increase risk. Additional research is needed regarding effects of IAP on cancers other than lung cancer, and on the effects of different types of solid fuels. We suggest incorporating the following specific research areas into intervention studies of IAP and cancer to maximize the information that can be obtained and improve risk assessment: studies of other cancers, of the influence of genotype and route of exposure, and studies to determine whether IAP induces heritable cancer risks via germline mutations or epigenetic changes.
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