



ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PERSPECTIVES

<http://www.ehponline.org>

Road Traffic and Railway Noise Exposures and Adiposity in Adults: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of the Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health Cohort

**Jeppe Schultz Christensen, Ole Raaschou-Nielsen,
Anne Tjønneland, Kim Overvad, Rikke B. Nordsborg,
Matthias Ketzel, Thorkild IA Sørensen, and Mette Sørensen**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409052>

Received: 7 August 2014

Accepted: 29 July 2015

Advance Publication: 4 August 2015

This article will be available in a 508-conformant form upon final publication. If you require a 508-conformant version before then, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.



Road Traffic and Railway Noise Exposures and Adiposity in Adults: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of the Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health Cohort

Jeppe Schultz Christensen¹, Ole Raaschou-Nielsen¹, Anne Tjønneland¹, Kim Overvad^{2,3},
Rikke B. Nordsborg¹, Matthias Ketzel⁴, Thorkild IA Sørensen⁵, and Mette Sørensen¹

¹Diet, Genes and Environment, Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark; ²Department of Public Health, Section for Epidemiology, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; ³Department of Cardiology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark; ⁴Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, Roskilde, Denmark; ⁵Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Institute of Preventive Medicine, Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg Hospitals, The Capital Region, Copenhagen, Denmark and MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, Bristol University, Bristol, U.K.

Address correspondence to Jeppe Schultz Christensen, Diet, Genes and Environment, Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Strandboulevarden 49, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark. Telephone: +45 35257926. E-mail: jeppe0311@gmail.com / jesscc@cancer.dk.

Running title: Traffic noise and adiposity

Acknowledgments: Nick Martinussen assisted with programming and data management and is acknowledged for his work. The European Research Council, EU 7th Research Framework Programme funded this study (Grant number: 281760).

Competing financial interests: There were no conflicts of interest to declare.

Abstract

Background: Traffic noise has been associated with cardiovascular and metabolic disorders. Potential modes of action are through stress and sleep disturbance, which may lead to endocrine dysregulation and overweight.

Objectives: We aimed to investigate the relationship between residential traffic and railway noise and adiposity.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study of 57,053 middle-aged people height, weight, waist circumference and bioelectrical impedance were measured at enrolment (1993-1997). Body mass index (BMI), body fat mass index (BFMI) and lean body mass index (LBMI) were calculated. Residential exposure to road and railway traffic noise exposure was calculated using the Nordic prediction method. Associations between traffic noise and anthropometric measures at enrolment were analyzed using general linear models and logistic regression adjusted for demographic and lifestyle factors.

Results: Linear regression models adjusted for age, sex and socioeconomic factors showed that five year mean road traffic noise exposure preceding enrolment was associated with a 0.35 cm wider waist circumference (95% CI: 0.21, 0.50) and a 0.18 points higher BMI (95% CI: 0.12, 0.23) per 10 dB. Small, significant increases were also found for BFMI and LBMI. All associations followed linear exposure-response relationships. Exposure to railway noise was not linearly associated with adiposity measures. However, exposure above 60 dB was associated with a 0.71 cm wider waist circumference (95% CI: 0.23, 1.19) and a 0.19 point higher BMI (95% CI: 0.0072, 0.37) compared to unexposed (0-20 dB).

Conclusions: The present study finds positive associations between residential exposure to road traffic and railway noise and adiposity.

Introduction

Traffic noise has been related to adverse health effects including cardiovascular disease (Munzel et al. 2014; Sorensen et al. 2011), metabolic disorders (Sorensen et al. 2013) and a recent study found aircraft noise to be associated with an increase in waist circumference (Eriksson et al. 2014). A proposed mode of action is through a stress response with activation of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis leading to increased levels of noradrenaline, adrenaline and corticosteroids, and activation of the sympathetic autonomic nervous system (Babisch 2003; Maschke et al. 2000). This mode of action is supported by studies indicating that exposure to traffic noise is associated with higher levels of cortisol and adrenalin (Griefahn and Robens 2010; Schmidt et al. 2013; Selander et al. 2009). Chronically high levels of cortisol may lead to alterations in the metabolism (Bjorntorp and Rosmond 2000) and it has been suggested by some studies that stress induced alterations in cortisol level is related to metabolic changes including weight gain (Rosmond et al. 1998; Vicennati et al. 2009). However, the overall role of cortisol in the development of adiposity is not clear as findings in recent experimental studies have been inconsistent (Abraham et al. 2013).

Traffic noise is known to disturb sleep (Miedema and Vos 2007), and in experimental human studies noise has been associated with higher body motility, sleep state changes, sleep onset latency, nocturnal awakenings and early morning awakenings (Pirrera et al. 2010).

Furthermore, total sleep deprivation has been associated with increased food intake in both animals and humans (Nedeltcheva et al. 2009; Rechtschaffen and Bergmann 1995). The underlying mechanism may be dysregulation of “hunger-hormones” as the level of the anorexigenic hormone leptin has been found decreased in several studies investigating effects of sleep deprivation (Chaput et al. 2007; Spiegel et al. 2004; Taheri et al. 2004). Furthermore,

increased level of the orexigenic hormone ghrelin has also been associated with sleep deprivation (Spiegel et al. 2004; Taheri et al. 2004). Also, epidemiologic studies consistently find that short sleep duration is associated with development of obesity in children and young adults (Nielsen et al. 2011). Although the epidemiologic evidence with regard to adults is less clear, self-reported reduction in sleep quality and duration has been associated with larger waist circumference, higher BMI and percentage of body fat as well as risk of metabolic syndrome and diabetes in adults (Cappuccio et al. 2010; Xi et al. 2013).

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether residential exposure to road traffic and railway noise was associated with measures of adiposity and body composition among middle-aged adults, and for road traffic noise to investigate if certain groups are more vulnerable than others.

Methods

Study population

This cross-sectional study based on the Danish Diet, Cancer and Health cohort, which in the period 1993 to 1997 invited 160,725 persons aged 50-64 to participate with no history of cancer (Tjønneland et al. 2007). In total, 57,053 chose to participate yielding a response rate of 36% and representing 7% of the Danish population in this age group. At the time of enrollment participants resided in either Aarhus or the greater Copenhagen area. In addition, study participants were born in Denmark and had no records of cancer prior to enrollment. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by local ethics committees.

Anthropometry

All anthropometric data was collected by trained laboratory technicians at the two centers (Copenhagen and Aarhus). Height was measured standing without shoes and rounded to the nearest half centimeter. Weight was measured on a digital scale in light clothing and rounded to the nearest 100 g. Waist circumference was measured at the narrowest part between the lower rib and the iliac crest and rounded to the nearest half centimeter. Body mass index was calculated from height and weight ($\text{weight}(\text{kg})/\text{height}^2(\text{m})$) and analyzed both as a continuous and dichotomous variables (one with a cutoff at 25 kg/m^2 and one with a cutoff at 30 kg/m^2).

Body composition

For assessment of body composition bioelectrical impedance was measured using a BIA 101-F device (Akern/RJL), single frequency (50 Hz) with the participant on their back with their legs $\sim 45^\circ$ apart and arms $\sim 30^\circ$ from the torso. Current electrodes were placed over the right metacarpals and metatarsals and sensing electrodes over the right wrist and ankle. The impedance measurements were used in sex-specific equations to calculate body fat mass:

- $\text{BF}(\text{female}) = 0.819\text{BW} - 0.279\text{Ht}^2/\text{R} - 0.231\text{Ht} + 0.077\text{age} + 14.941$ [1]
- $\text{BF}(\text{male}) = 0.755\text{BW} - 0.279\text{Ht}^2/\text{R} - 0.231\text{Ht} + 0.077\text{age} + 14.941$ [2]

The equations were developed and validated in a Danish population of similar age (Heitmann 1990) with BF (body fat in kilograms), BW (bodyweight in kilograms), Ht (height in centimeters) and R (resistance in ohms). Body fat mass index (BFMI) was calculated as BF divided by height squared, and lean body mass index (LBMI) was calculated as BFMI minus BMI (VanItallie et al. 1990).

Exposure assessment

Residential address history was collected for all cohort members for a period of five years preceding enrollment using the Danish civil registration system (Pedersen 2011). Road traffic noise exposure was calculated using SoundPLAN (<http://www.soundplan.dk/>), which implements the joint Nordic prediction method for road traffic noise (Bendtsen 1999).

Equivalent noise levels were calculated for each address in a position on the most exposed facade of the building using the following input variables: point for noise estimation (geographical coordinate and height (floor) for each residential address), road links (information on annual average daily traffic, vehicle distribution, travel speed, and road type) and building polygons for all Danish buildings provided by the Danish Geodata Agency (www.gst.dk). We obtained traffic counts from a national road and traffic database (Jensen et al. 2009). This database is based on a number of different traffic data sources ranked as: 1) Collection of traffic data on municipal roads from 140 Danish municipalities, covering 97.5% of the addresses included in the present study. Included roads typically have more than 1000 vehicles per day and based on traffic counts as well as estimated/modeled numbers. Traffic data represents the period from 1995-1998; 2) Traffic data from a central database covering all the major state and county roads; 3) Traffic data for 1995-2000 for all major roads in the Greater Copenhagen Area; 4) Smoothed traffic data for 1995 for all roads based on a simple method where estimated figures for distribution of traffic by road type and by urban/rural zone were applied to the road network and subsequently calibrated against known traffic data at county level. New roads were included in the calculations from the year they opened.

No information was available on noise barriers or road surfaces. Road traffic noise was calculated as the equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}) at the most exposed facade of the dwelling at each address for the day (L_d ; 07:00–19:00 h), evening (L_e ;

19:00–22:00 h) and night (L_n ; 22:00–07:00 h), and was expressed as L_{den} (den = day, evening, night). A 5 and 10 dB penalty was added to evening and night noise respectively. Values <42 dB were set to 42 dB, as this is a realistic lower limit for ambient noise.

Railway traffic noise exposure was calculated for all present and historical addresses within 300 meters from a railway using SoundPLAN, implementing a Nordic calculation method for predicting noise propagation for railway traffic noise (NORD2000, www.soundplan.dk). The input variables for the noise model were point for noise estimation (geographical coordinate and height), railway links (information on annual average daily train lengths, train types, travel speed) and building polygons for all Danish buildings. All noise barriers along the railway were included in the model. Railway traffic noise was expressed as L_{den} at the most exposed facade of the dwelling and divided into four categories for the analyses (0-20; 20.1-50; 50.1-60; > 60 dB).

For the assessment of both road and railway traffic noise the terrain was assumed flat, a reasonable assumption in Denmark, urban areas, roads, and areas with water were assumed to be hard surfaces, whereas all other areas were assumed acoustically porous.

The noise impact from all Danish airports and airfields was determined from information regarding noise zones calculated by local authorities from 1986-2003. The programs DANSIM (Danish Airport Noise Simulation Model) and INM3 (Integrated Noise Model), which meet joint Nordic criteria for air traffic noise calculations, were used (Liasjø and Granøien 1993). The curves for aircraft noise were transformed into digital maps and linked to each address by geocodes. A binary variable for aircraft noise was created from this information to indicate exposed and unexposed. Cut-off for exposure varied by airfield and level of detail of the obtained noise maps and was between 45 and 55 dB. Only 286

participants were exposed to aircraft noise at enrolment and we did not have statistical power for an analysis of aircraft noise exposure.

Air pollution

The Danish AirGIS modeling system (dispersion model) was used for calculating the concentration of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) for five years prior to enrollment at the addresses of the participants. The system has been described in detail elsewhere (Raaschou-Nielsen et al. 2011). Briefly, input data for the AirGIS system included traffic data for individual road links (same input data as described for the noise modeling), emission factors for the Danish car fleet, street and building geometry, building height, and meteorological data. The AirGIS system has been applied in several studies and validated showing good performance for both short- and long-term averages (Ketzel et al. 2011).

Covariates

Information regarding smoking (never, former and present as well as grams per day), alcohol consumption (grams per day), physical activity (recreational sport, hours per week) and diet (average intake per day of: red meat, processed meat, poultry, bread and soft drinks over the past 12 months) were obtained from detailed questionnaires completed and validated by interviewers at the time of enrollment. These variables were chosen based on their predictive values for development of obesity in a European meta-analysis including the Danish Diet, Cancer and Health cohort (Steffen et al. 2013) .

Information on individual socioeconomic position (SEP) was obtained by linking the personal identification number of all cohort participants to the nationwide registers at Statistics Denmark, which have yearly information since 1980 from the taxation authorities and the Register for Education Statistics. For the year of enrollment two socioeconomic indicators

were defined: level of highest attained education (basic school/high school education (7–12 years of primary, secondary and grammar-school education), vocational training (10–12 years) and higher education (≥ 13 years)) and disposable income (household income after taxation and interest per person, adjusted for number of persons in the household and divided into tertiles based on the Danish background population). Municipality level information was obtained based on enrollment address (or district for Copenhagen, 10 districts in total) classified as low, medium or high based on municipality/district-level information on education (proportion inhabitants with basic education), work market affiliation (proportion of inhabitants outside of the work market) and income (average income).

For analyses of effect modification we calculated a variable indicating whether participants had a comorbidity based on the Charlson comorbidity index. Scores are summarised on the basis of register information on hospitalisations from 1978 onwards. Score 1: myocardial infarct, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, dementia, chronic pulmonary disease, connective tissue disease, ulcer disease, mild liver disease, diabetes type 1 and diabetes type 2. Score 2: hemiplegia, moderate-to-severe renal disease, diabetes with end-organ damage type 1 or type 2. Score 3: moderate-to-severe liver disease. Score 6: AIDS. The variable was dichotomized to express whether the participant had a comorbidity or not.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were based on the general linear model for continuous outcome measures and logistic regression for being overweight ($\text{BMI} \geq 25$) or obese ($\text{BMI} > 30$). The assumption of linearity between dependent and continuous independent variables was examined visually and tested using linear spline models and the variance of the residuals was assessed visually by

plotting them against the predicted values. We only found deviations from linearity for railway noise in association with waist circumference and LBMI (for a visual assessment see restricted cubic splines Supplemental Material, Figure S1), and railway noise was therefore included in the analyses as a categorical variable. The variance of the residuals in all analyses was homogeneous (data not shown). Correlations between variables were assessed using Spearman rank correlation coefficients (R_s). Exposures to road traffic noise and air pollution were modelled as time-weighted averages for one and five years preceding enrollment taking into account the time of residence at each address. The procedures GLM and LOGISTIC in SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute) were used for the statistical analyses. The graphical presentations of the association between road traffic noise and the adiposity measures were produced using restricted cubic spline in the rms library in the statistical software R 3.0.2 (R Core Team 2015).

Associations between 1- and 5-years mean exposure to road traffic noise and adiposity were analyzed in three models with increasing level of adjustment: model I adjusted for age and sex; model II further adjusted for SEP, railway and aircraft noise and model III added adjustment for lifestyle variables. Railway noise at enrolment was used as a proxy for prior exposure (the correlation between present and 5-year exposure to railway noise was $R_s=0.99$) and analyzed as a categorical variable (0-20, 20.1-50, 50.1-60 and >60 dB). Adjustment variables were chosen a priori using directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) as a visual and theoretical aid (Greenland et al. 1999) and the graphical tool DAGitty (www.dagitty.net) was utilized for constructing the DAGs (see Supplemental Material, Figure S1). The use of DAGs suggested a model adjusted for socio economic position for estimation of the total effect (no adjustment for potential causal intermediates) of traffic noise on adiposity (model II) and for the direct effect a model adding adjustment for potential causal intermediates: alcohol

consumption, smoking, diet and physical activity was suggested (model III). We were primarily interested in the total effects of noise and place emphasis on model II when interpreting the results. Adjustment for age and sex was included in all models. In order to exclude the effect of competing noise sources model II and III were adjusted for railway and aircraftnoise. The analyses of railway noise exposure were adjusted for same potential confounders as in the road traffic noise analyses, but also including continuous 5-year mean road traffic noise. Potential modification of the association between road traffic noise and adiposity measures by enrolment characteristics and age at diagnosis were evaluated by introducing interaction terms into the model, which were tested using the F-test statistic. Hypothesis testing for all analyses was based on two-tailed rejection regions and an alpha level of 5% ($p\text{-value} < 0.05$) was applied to declare statistical significance.

Results

From the cohort of 57,053 the following exclusions were made; 572 (1.00%) with a cancer diagnose before enrollment, 162 (0.28%) due to missing, negative or implausible values on outcome variables (height below 130 cm, BMI below 15, fat percentage below 2%), 1970 (3.45%) due to incomplete exposure data, 1893 (3.32%) due to missing values on adjustment variables yielding a study population of 52,456 participants.

Table 1 shows the distribution of covariates in the study population with regard to noise exposure in tertiles (the 5 year average road traffic noise is shown in the Supplemental Material, Figure S2). The participants exposed to highest levels of road traffic noise (>60 dB) more often had: only basic education, lower income and municipal SEP They were more often smokers and did less recreational sport, as compared with the least exposed (<54 dB).

The correlation (R_s) between 5-year road traffic noise exposure and 5-year air pollution (NO_x) exposure was 0.70. 1- and 5-year road traffic exposure were highly correlated ($R_s=0.97$).

Exposure to railway noise at the time of enrollment showed a correlation of 0.042 with road traffic noise exposure at enrollment. Measures of adiposity and body composition were generally highly correlated ($R_s>0.67$) except the correlation between BFMI and LBMI, and BFMI and waist circumference, for which R_s was 0.19 and 0.45 respectively.

We found residential road traffic noise to be statistically significantly associated with all measures of body composition regardless of adjustment and exposure window (Table 2). For example, we estimated that for each 10 dB increase in 5-year exposure the waist circumference increased by 0.35 cm (95% CI: 0.21, 0.50) (model II). The largest changes in estimates occurred from model I to II where SEP- and competing noise source variables were added. For example the estimate for waist circumference changed from 0.39 cm per 10 dB (95% CI: 0.26, 0.53) to 0.30 cm per 10 dB (95% CI: 0.16, 0.44) for 1-year road traffic noise exposure. The estimates were slightly higher for the exposure period of five years as compared with the exposure period of one year. For example the estimate for BMI in model II for one year exposure was 0.16 points per 10 dB (95% CI: 0.11, 0.21) whereas it was 0.18 points per 10 dB (95% CI: 0.12, 0.23) for five year exposure in model II. Adjustment for lifestyle factors (model III) did not alter the estimates much. Further adjustment for air pollution (NO_x) only resulted in minor changes in estimates (results not shown). When road traffic noise was modeled using restricted cubic splines, exposure-response relationships were positive and increased monotonically over the entire exposure range, with was no apparent threshold (Figure 1).. For further analyses of BMI as a dichotomous outcome, the OR for being overweight/obese ($\text{BMI} \geq 25$ compared with <25) with a 10-dB increase in road traffic noise was 1.10 (95% CI: 1.07, 1.14) for model II and 1.11 (95% CI: 1.08, 1.15) for model III.

Similarly the OR for being obese (BMI > 30 compared with ≤ 30) was 1.09 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.14) based on models II and III..

Table 3 shows the associations between exposure to residential railway noise at enrollment in 3 categories and measures of adiposity and body composition. The group with the highest exposure (>60 dB) had statistically significant larger mean waist circumference, mean BMI and LBMI in all models. For example mean waist circumference was 0.71 cm (95% CI: 0.23, 1.19) wider, mean BMI was 0.19 points (95% CI: 0.0072, 0.37) and mean LBMI was 0.063 points (95% CI: 0.0016, 0.12) higher among those exposed to more than 60 dB railway noise at enrollment compared to the unexposed (model II). The associations between railway noise and BFMI were not statistically significant in all models, but showed similar tendencies of increased estimates for the highly exposed.

For LBMI, we found a significant effect modification by age ($p = 0.018$), with higher estimates for the association between road traffic noise and LBMI among participants below 56 years of age (0.10; 95% CI: 0.075, 0.13) compared with older participants (0.016; 95% CI: 0.033, 0.089) (Table 4).. We also found evidence of effect modification by comorbidity, with no association between road traffic noise and BMI and BFMI among participants with comorbidity and a positive association among those without comorbidity (e.g., for BMI, 0.017; 95% CI: -0.17, 0.20 and 0.21; 95% CI: 0.14, 0.28, respectively, interaction p -value 0.04).. Comorbidity was not a statistically significant effect modifier for other associations. We also found effect modification of the association between road traffic noise and adiposity measures by railway noise for BMI, waist circumference and BFMI with higher estimates for railway noise exposed individuals. However, the associations were weakest for the middle stratum (railway noise: 20-60 dB) and only for waist circumference did the confidence

intervals for the lowest (0-19.9 dB) and highest stratum (>60 dB) not overlap. We found no effect modification for other co-variates (sex, income and education).

Discussion

In this large cross-sectional study of middle-aged Danish men and women, we found consistent and statistically significant positive associations between road traffic noise and waist circumference, BMI, BFMI and LBMI. Road traffic noise was also associated with a higher prevalence of being overweight and obese. Furthermore, we estimated statistically significant positive associations between exposure to railway noise > 60 dB (vs. 0–20 dB) and BMI, waist circumference, and LBMI, and a non-significant positive association with BFMI. In addition, we found a significant effect modification by railway noise with a stronger association between road traffic noise and BMI, waist circumference and BFMI among persons exposed to more than 60 dB of railway noise. However, the observed associations did not increase with increasing railway noise, since associations were weakest for the middle stratum (railway noise: 20-60 dB).

Traffic noise has been associated with both cardiovascular disease (Babisch 2008; Sorensen et al. 2011) and diabetes (Sorensen et al. 2013), which are diseases for which adiposity is a major risk factor (Haslam and James 2005). A recent study addressed the associations between noise exposure and adiposity measures, finding a positive association between aircraft noise and increase in waist circumference (Eriksson et al., 2014). Taken together with the results of the current study, the evidence suggests that noise-related effects on adiposity may contribute to associations between traffic noise and major diseases. We found a clear exposure-response relationship between exposure to road traffic noise and all measures of body composition, with no indications of any lower threshold limit. We observe a positive

association for LBMI. This is to be expected as the metabolically active lean body mass (LBM) increases with increasing body fat mass (BFM) (VanItallie et al. 1990), potentially because larger BFM requires larger organs and musculoskeletal system. LBMI and BFMI is positively correlated in this study ($R_s=0.75$ among women and $R_s=0.70$) as well as in other studies (Bosy-Westphal and Muller 2015). . Some studies on road traffic noise and risk for cardiovascular disease have indicated a lower threshold limit of 55-60 dB (Babisch 2008; Sorensen et al. 2011), though a study on diabetes and one study on myocardial infarction similar to the present study indicated no lower threshold (Sorensen et al. 2012; Sorensen et al. 2013). More studies are needed to determine whether there is a lower threshold limit for the adverse effects of road traffic noise.

Railway- and road traffic noise were very weakly correlated in this study ($R_s = 0.04$), which suggests that associations of the two exposures with adiposity were unlikely to be mutually confounded. For railway noise we found an association with adiposity only among participants exposed to more than 60 dB.

Our results for road traffic noise were statistically significant regardless of adjustment level. The largest change in estimates occurred when we included adjustment for SEP-variables and competing noise sources. Considering the proposed mechanisms of action, namely stress and disturbance of sleep, several lifestyle factors such as diet and physical activity may be considered as being on the pathway between noise exposure and development of adiposity. In order to examine the effect of lifestyle on the association we adjusted for diet, smoking, alcohol consumption and physical activity. This adjustment did not alter the estimates markedly, and while the necessary assumptions for mediation analyses are not met, it may indicate that noise does not act primarily through these factors.. However, information on

lifestyle was obtained by questionnaires at the same point in time as the measurement of adiposity markers and therefore possibly subject to recall bias as possible associations between lifestyle and adiposity may be caused by reverse causality.

Road traffic noise is correlated with ambient air pollution and air pollution has been suggested to be associated with the development of obesity in mice (Xu et al. 2010) and recent results in humans suggest a possible association between air pollution and obesity among children (McConnell et al. 2015). In order to investigate potential confounding by air pollution we adjusted for modelled NO_x (data not shown). We found that exposure to road traffic noise was significantly associated with adiposity both before and after adjustment for air pollution, suggesting an independent effect of road traffic noise.

We examined potential effect modification of sex, SEP (income and education), age, comorbidity and railway noise. The results indicated that the associations between road traffic noise and LBMI was weaker among those above the median age (56.2 years) compared with younger participants. However, this pattern was not present for other outcomes.. Road traffic noise was not associated with BMI or BFMI among participants classified as having comorbidity based on the Charleson comorbidity index. Associations with waist circumference and LBMI also were close to the null for this group, though the p-value for effect modification was not statistically significant. This suggests that effects of road traffic noise on adiposity may be negligible compared with direct and indirect effects of illness on body composition, though non-causal explanations also are possible. Sex and SEP (education or income) did not appear to modify associations between road traffic noise and the adiposity measures.

We found associations between road traffic noise and BMI, waist circumference and BFMI to be strongest among participants exposed to more than 60 dB railway noise. However, the middle, not the lower stratum consistently displayed the weakest associations. Furthermore, only the confidence intervals for waist circumference did not overlap, indicating that there may not be a difference between the lowest and highest stratum for BMI and BFMI.

Major strengths of this study were its size and the availability of detailed information regarding diet, alcohol consumption, smoking and recreational physical activity, the objective measurements of weight, height and bio impedance by trained staff, access to individual level register data on socio economic position, the access to residential address history for noise assessment and access to air pollution data for all study subjects. Exposure data is based on modelled levels of road and railway noise at addresses and therefore largely independent of information bias.

Some limitations deserve consideration. Firstly, the participation rate in the study is low (36%) which can introduce bias if non-participation is associated with both exposure and outcome. The participants in the DCH cohort are known to be of higher SEP than non-participants (Tjonneland et al. 2007). However, during the first years of follow-up (baseline to December 31st 2002) they did not develop cancer (all malignancies except non-melanoma skin cancer) at a different rate than the background population. Furthermore, their questionnaire responses were similar to those in studies with higher participation rates (Levnedsmiddelstyrelsen 1996). Taken together this may suggest that the study population is similar to the background population (Tjonneland et al. 2007). Secondly, this is a cross sectional study, and as such the temporal relation between the exposures and outcomes is uncertain. Thirdly, the largest changes in effect estimates were observed when we added

adjustment for SEP and the possibility of residual confounding cannot be excluded. Fourthly, traffic noise is modelled and yields an exposure estimate at the most exposed façade of the residence, while a more relevant exposure may be at the bedroom façade when considering sleep disturbance as a mechanism. Finally, we did not have information on noise barriers for road traffic noise or individual level noise modifiers such as building materials and the noise modelling is therefore invariably associated with some degree of uncertainty leading to misclassification of exposure. Since this misclassification is assumed to be independent of our outcome, it is likely non-differential and in most situations with a small random error, it would bias the estimate towards the null value.

Although noise exposure modelling has some limitations, there are reasons to prefer modelling to measuring. Most importantly, it makes large-scale exposure assessment possible and a cohort study of this size would not be feasible using traditional measuring methods. Furthermore, measuring is usually performed during a relatively short period of time, and several factors influence measuring in the short term that may yield a flawed exposure estimate. Firstly, the level of traffic noise varies over time due to the movement of the single vehicles relative to the observer. Secondly, the busy rush hours and quiet night period causes a characteristic diurnal variation. Lastly, the weather strongly influences the propagation of traffic noise and thereby the noise levels. The scale used to characterize traffic noise is the long-term average noise level, assuming standardized weather conditions. This quantity is difficult to measure directly. During the last four decades still more accurate and reliable prediction methods for traffic noise have been developed and a Swedish report found that modelled values only differed from the measured values by an average of 0.3 dB for the Nordic Prediction Method (Ström et al. 1997).

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study based on data on middle aged Danish adults from the 1990s, adds to the mounting evidence that road traffic noise may have adverse health effects. We found statistically significant positive associations between road traffic noise and different markers of adiposity. We also found non-linear positive association between railway noise and BMI, waist circumference and LBMI for railway noise exposure above 60 dB. As this area of research is relatively new, further research is needed to confirm the findings of this study.

References

- Abraham SB, Rubino D, Sinaii N, Ramsey S, Nieman LK. 2013. Cortisol, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome: A cross-sectional study of obese subjects and review of the literature. *Obesity* 21:E105-E117.
- Babisch W. 2003. Stress hormones in the research on cardiovascular effects of noise. *Noise Health* 5:1-11.
- Babisch W. 2008. Road traffic noise and cardiovascular risk. *Noise Health* 10:27-33.
- Bendtsen H. 1999. The nordic prediction method for road traffic noise. *Sci Total Environ* 235:331-338.
- Bjorntorp P, Rosmond R. 2000. Obesity and cortisol. *Nutrition* 16:924-936.
- Bosy-Westphal A, Muller MJ. 2015. Identification of skeletal muscle mass depletion across age and bmi groups in health and disease--there is need for a unified definition. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 39:379-386.
- Cappuccio FP, D'Elia L, Strazzullo P, Miller MA. 2010. Quantity and quality of sleep and incidence of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 33:414-420.
- Chaput JP, Despres JP, Bouchard C, Tremblay A. 2007. Short sleep duration is associated with reduced leptin levels and increased adiposity: Results from the Quebec family study. *Obesity* 15:253-261.
- Eriksson C, Hilding A, Pyko A, Bluhm G, Pershagen G, Ostenson CG. 2014. Long-term aircraft noise exposure and body mass index, waist circumference, and type 2 diabetes: A prospective study. *Environ Health Perspect* 687-694.
- Greenland S, Pearl J, Robins JM. 1999. Causal diagrams for epidemiologic research. *Epidemiology* 10:37-48.
- Griefahn B, Robens S. 2010. Experimental studies on the effects of nocturnal noise on cortisol awakening response. *Noise Health* 12:129-136.
- Haslam DW, James WP. 2005. Obesity. *Lancet* 366:1197-1209.
- Heitmann BL. 1990. Prediction of body water and fat in adult **Danes** from measurement of electrical impedance. A validation study. *Int J Obes* 14:789-802.
- Jensen SS, Hvidberg M, Pedersen J, Storm L, Stausgaard L, Becker T, et al. 2009. Gis-based national street and traffic data base 1960-2005 (in danish with english summary). National

Environmental Research Institute, Aarhus University, Roskilde NERI Technical Report No. 678.

Ketzel M, Berkowicz R, Hvidberg M, Jensen SS, Raaschou-Nielsen O. 2011. Evaluation of airgis: A gis-based air pollution and human exposure modelling system. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution* 47:226-238.

Levnedsmiddelstyrelsen. 1996. Food consumption of the danish population 1995: The main results. Denmark.

Liasjø KH, Granøien ILN. 1993. Sammenligning av flystøyberegningsprogrammerne inm-2/6, inm-3/9, inm-3/10, dansim og noisemap (beregninger og målinger vedr. Fomebu) [in Norwegian]. (Sintef Delab).

Maschke C, Rupp T, Hecht K. 2000. The influence of stressors on biochemical reactions--a review of present scientific findings with noise. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 203:45-53.

McConnell R, Shen E, Gilliland FD, Jerrett M, Wolch J, Chang CC, et al. 2015. A longitudinal cohort study of body mass index and childhood exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke and air pollution: The southern california children's health study. *Environ Health Perspect* 123:360-366.

Miedema HM, Vos H. 2007. Associations between self-reported sleep disturbance and environmental noise based on reanalyses of pooled data from 24 studies. *Behav Sleep Med* 5:1-20.

Munzel T, Gori T, Babisch W, Basner M. 2014. Cardiovascular effects of environmental noise exposure. *Eur Heart J* 35:829-836.

Nedeltcheva AV, Kilkus JM, Imperial J, Kasza K, Schoeller DA, Penev PD. 2009. Sleep curtailment is accompanied by increased intake of calories from snacks. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 89:126-133.

Nielsen LS, Danielsen KV, Sorensen TI. 2011. Short sleep duration as a possible cause of obesity: Critical analysis of the epidemiological evidence. *Obes Rev* 12:78-92.

Pedersen CB. 2011. The danish civil registration system. *Scand J Public Health* 39:22-25.

Pirrerá S, De VE, Cluydts R. 2010. Nocturnal road traffic noise: A review on its assessment and consequences on sleep and health. *Environ Int* 36:492-498.

R Core Team. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vol. 2015. Vienna, Austria:R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

- Raaschou-Nielsen O, Andersen ZJ, Hvidberg M, Jensen SS, Ketznel M, Sorensen M, et al. 2011. Lung cancer incidence and long-term exposure to air pollution from traffic. *Environ Health Perspect* 119:860-865.
- Rechtschaffen A, Bergmann BM. 1995. Sleep deprivation in the rat by the disk-over-water method. *Behav Brain Res* 69:55-63.
- Rosmond R, Dallman MF, Bjorntorp P. 1998. Stress-related cortisol secretion in men: Relationships with abdominal obesity and endocrine, metabolic and hemodynamic abnormalities. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 83:1853-1859.
- Schmidt FP, Basner M, Kroger G, Weck S, Schnorbus B, Muttray A, et al. 2013. Effect of nighttime aircraft noise exposure on endothelial function and stress hormone release in healthy adults. *Eur Heart J* 34:3508-3514a.
- Selander J, Bluhm G, Theorell T, Pershagen G, Babisch W, Seiffert I, et al. 2009. Saliva cortisol and exposure to aircraft noise in six european countries. *Environ Health Perspect* 117:1713-1717.
- Sorensen M, Hvidberg M, Andersen ZJ, Nordsborg RB, Lillelund KG, Jakobsen J, et al. 2011. Road traffic noise and stroke: A prospective cohort study. *Eur Heart J* 32:737-744.
- Sorensen M, Andersen ZJ, Nordsborg RB, Jensen SS, Lillelund KG, Beelen R, et al. 2012. Road traffic noise and incident myocardial infarction: A prospective cohort study. *PLoS One* 7:e39283.
- Sorensen M, Andersen ZJ, Nordsborg RB, Becker T, Tjonneland A, Overvad K, et al. 2013. Long-term exposure to road traffic noise and incident diabetes: A cohort study. *Environ Health Perspect* 121:217-222.
- Spiegel K, Leproult R, L'hermite-Balériaux M, Copinschi G, Penev PD, Van CE. 2004. Leptin levels are dependent on sleep duration: Relationships with sympathovagal balance, carbohydrate regulation, cortisol, and thyrotropin. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 89:5762-5771.
- Steffen A, Sorensen TI, Knuppel S, Travier N, Sanchez MJ, Huerta JM, et al. 2013. Development and validation of a risk score predicting substantial weight gain over 5 years in middle-aged european men and women. *PLoS One* 8:e67429.
- Ström T, Kragh J, Storeheier S, Eurasto R. 1997. Vägtrafikbuller-bestämning af beregningsosäkerhet (road traffic noise - an estimate of prediction uncertainty). (Nordtest Projekt 1273-96). 24.
- Taheri S, Lin L, Austin D, Young T, Mignot E. 2004. Short sleep duration is associated with reduced leptin, elevated ghrelin, and increased body mass index. *PLoS Med* 1:e62.

Tjonneland A, Olsen A, Boll K, Stripp C, Christensen J, Engholm G, et al. 2007. Study design, exposure variables, and socioeconomic determinants of participation in diet, cancer and health: A population-based prospective cohort study of 57,053 men and women in denmark. *Scand J Public Health* 35:432-441.

VanItallie TB, Yang MU, Heymsfield SB, Funk RC, Boileau RA. 1990. Height-normalized indices of the body's fat-free mass and fat mass: Potentially useful indicators of nutritional status. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 52:953-959.

Vicennati V, Pasqui F, Cavazza C, Pagotto U, Pasquali R. 2009. Stress-related development of obesity and cortisol in women. *Obesity* 17:1678-1683.

Xi B, He D, Zhang M, Xue J, Zhou D. 2013. Short sleep duration predicts risk of metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev*.

Xu X, Yavar Z, Verdin M, Ying Z, Mihai G, Kampfrath T, et al. 2010. Effect of early particulate air pollution exposure on obesity in mice: Role of p47phox. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 30:2518-2527.

Table 1: Characteristics of the population according to road traffic noise (N=52,456).

Characteristic...	Road traffic noise (L_{den}) <54 dB (N=17,501)	Road traffic noise (L_{den}) 54-60 (N=17,475)	Road traffic noise (L_{den}) >60 dB (N=17,480)
Age, years	56.0 (50.7-64.1)	56.2 (50.7-64.2)	56.3 (50.8-64.3)
Sex, % men	49.5	47.2	45.9
Highest attained education, %			
Basic	24.4	27.6	31.6
Vocational	44.9	45.9	45.5
Higher	30.7	26.6	22.9
SEP, municipal^a, %			
Low	8.83	13.1	20.8
Medium	70.3	61.2	62.0
High	20.9	25.7	17.2
Disposable income, %^b			
Low	6.25	9.3	14.2
Medium	17.4	21.2	25.7
High	76.4	69.5	60.1
Smoking, %			
Never	38.2	36.9	31.7
Former	29.6	28.2	26.8
Present	32.2	34.9	41.4
Smoking g/day^c	15.0 (3.00-35.0)	15.0 (4.00-35.0)	16.0 (4.00-36.0)
Alcohol, g/day	12.9 (0.92-61.1)	12.9 (0.71-62.9)	12.7 (0.51-69.0)
Red meat, g/day	80.5 (34.4-165)	77.8 (31.8-164)	76.9 (29.6-166)
Processed meat, g/day	25.3 (5.91-74.4)	24.2 (5.06-72.6)	24.4 (4.92-77.7)
Poultry, g/day	18.3 (4.04-56.2)	18.2 (3.62-60.2)	17.2 (3.2-59.2)
Soft drinks, g/day	7.96 (0.27-158)	7.96 (0.27-200)	7.17 (0.27-200)
Bread, g/day	142 (55.3-270)	138 (52.1-267)	138 (49.8-264)
Recreational sport (hours/week), %			
0	42.8	44.4	50.0
>0-2	30.1	29.8	26.7
>2	27.1	25.8	23.3
Comorbidity^d, % with a comorbidity	7.81	7.86	9.04
Waist circumference, cm	89.0 (69.0-109)	89.0 (69.0-110)	88.0 (69.0-111)
BMI, kg/m²	25.4 (20.5-32.8)	25.6 (20.5-33.5)	25.7 (20.4-33.9)
LBMI, kg/m²	17.7 (15.0-21.0)	17.7 (15.1-21.2)	17.7 (15.1-21.4)
BFMI, kg/m²	7.61 (4.20-13.5)	7.70 (4.19-13.9)	7.81 (4.13-14.2)
Road traffic noise, dB	51.3 (46.7-53.8)	56.9 (54.3-60.0)	64.8 (60.8-73.0)
Railway noise, dB^e	50.8 (36.3-66.8)	50.6 (37.9-66.6)	51.5 (35.7-67.3)
Air pollution, NO_x µg/m³	16.8 (14.2-25.2)	20.8 (14.7-35.3)	36.6 (16.9-139)

Continuous variables are described using medians (5-95 percentiles). No missing data for any of the variables.

^aVariable based on municipality level information. ^bTertiles based on the Danish background population. ^cAmong current and former smokers. ^dBased on the Charlson comorbidity index (disease, no disease). ^eAmong railway noise exposed participants.

Table 2: Linear associations between 1- and 5-years mean residential exposure to road traffic noise (Lden) and measures of adiposity.

Per 10 dB higher road traffic noise exposure	Model I ^a Difference per 10 dB (95% CI)	Model II ^b Difference per 10 dB (95% CI)	Model III ^c Difference per 10 dB (95% CI)
1 year preceding enrolment			
- BMI (kg/m ²)	0.23 (0.18, 0.28)	0.16 (0.11, 0.21)	0.17 (0.12, 0.22)
- Waist circumference (cm)	0.39 (0.26, 0.53)	0.30 (0.16, 0.44)	0.25 (0.11, 0.39)
- LBMI (kg/m ²)	0.15 (0.13, 0.16)	0.079 (0.061, 0.097)	0.084 (0.066, 0.10)
- BFMI (kg/m ²)	0.089 (0.089, 0.13)	0.081 (0.042, 0.12)	0.083 (0.045, 0.12)
5 years preceding enrolment			
- BMI (kg/m ²)	0.25 (0.20, 0.30)	0.18 (0.12, 0.23)	0.19 (0.13, 0.24)
- Waist circumference (cm)	0.44 (0.30, 0.58)	0.35 (0.21, 0.50)	0.30 (0.16, 0.45)
- LBMI (kg/m ²)	0.15 (0.13, 0.17)	0.085 (0.066, 0.10)	0.090 (0.072, 0.11)
- BFMI (kg/m ²)	0.10 (0.066, 0.14)	0.097 (0.057, 0.14)	0.10 (0.061, 0.14)

^aAdjusted for sex and age. ^bModel I plus adjustment for disposable income, municipality SEP, education, railway noise and aircraft noise (yes, no). ^cModel II plus adjustment for plus smoking (yes, no), tobacco (g/day), alcohol (yes, no), alcohol (g/day), red meat (g/day), processed meat (g/day), poultry (g/day), bread (g/day), soft drinks (g/day), recreational sport (yes, no) and recreational sport (hours/week).

Table 3: Associations between average yearly residential exposure to rail way traffic noise (Lden) at the time of enrolment and measures of adiposity

Exposure	N	Model I ^a Estimate (95% CI)	Model II ^b Estimate (95% CI)	Model III ^c Estimate (95% CI)
BMI (kg/m²)				
- 0-20 dB	42,747	Ref	Ref	Ref
- 20.1-50 dB	4482	0.042 (-0.082, 0.17)	0.099 (-0.025, 0.22)	0.12 (0.0030, 0.25)
- 50.1-60 dB	3308	0.053 (-0.090, 0.20)	0.024 (-0.12, 0.17)	0.031 (-0.11, 0.17)
- >60 dB	1919	0.28 (0.10, 0.47)	0.19 (0.0072, 0.37)	0.18 (0.0034, 0.36)
Waist circumference (cm)				
- 0-20 dB	42,747	Ref	Ref	Ref
- 20.1-50 dB	4482	0.025 (-0.30, 0.35)	0.22 (-0.10, 0.55)	0.27 (-0.054, 0.59)
- 50.1-60 dB	3308	0.13 (-0.25, 0.50)	0.12 (-0.25, 0.49)	0.15 (-0.22, 0.51)
- >60 dB	1919	0.89 (0.40, 1.37)	0.71 (0.23, 1.19)	0.62 (0.14, 1.09)
LBMI (kg/m²)				
- 0-20 dB	42,747	Ref	Ref	Ref
- 20.1-50 dB	4482	0.060 (0.019, 0.10)	0.048 (0.0063, 0.089)	0.057 (0, 0.16, 0.097)
- 50.1-60 dB	3308	0.060 (0.0090, 0.10)	0.013 (-0.034, 0.060)	0.015 (-0.031, 0.062)
- >60 dB	1919	0.12 (0.054, 0.18)	0.063 (0.0016, 0.12)	0.063 (0.0033, 0.12)
BFMI (kg/m²)				
- 0-20 dB	42,747	Ref	Ref	Ref
- 20.1-50 dB	4482	-0.018 (-0.11, 0.071)	0.051 (-0.038, 0.14)	0.068 (-0.019, 0.15)
- 50.1-60 dB	3308	-0.0031 (-0.11, 0.10)	0.011 (-0.091, 0.11)	0.016 (-0.084, 0.12)
- >60 dB	1919	0.17 (0.033, 0.30)	0.13 (-0.0041, 0.26)	0.12 (-0.0097, 0.25)

^aAdjusted for sex and age. ^bModel I plus adjustment for disposable income, municipality SEP, education, road traffic noise and aircraft noise (yes, no). ^cModel II plus adjustment for smoking (yes, no), tobacco (g/day), alcohol (yes, no), alcohol (g/day), red meat (g/day), processed meat (g/day), poultry (g/day), bread (g/day), soft drinks (g/day), recreational sport (yes, no) and recreational sport (hours/week).

Table 4: Modification of associations between 5-year road traffic noise exposure (Lden) and measures of adiposity by enrolment characteristics in model II

Potential effect modifier	Covariate level	N	BMI (kg/m ²) ^a Difference per 10 dB (95% CI)	Waist circumference(cm) ^a Difference per 10 dB (95% CI)	LBMI (kg/m ²) ^a Difference per 10 dB (95% CI)	BFMI (kg/m ²) ^a Difference per 10 dB (95% CI)
Sex	Male	24,916	0.19 (0.10, 0.27)	0.40 (0.18, 0.63)	0.10 (0.067, 0.12)	0.093 (0.031, 0.115)
	Female	27,540	0.20 (0.12, 0.28)	0.30 (0.084, 0.52)	0.07 (0.043, 0.10)	0.13 (0.071, 0.19)
			P = 0.81	P=0.47	P=0.16	P=0.32
Income, tertiles ^b	Highest	36,005	0.22 (0.14, 0.29)	0.36 (0.073, 0.66)	0.094 (0.074, 0.12)	0.12 (0.070, 0.18)
	Middle	11,244	0.19 (0.067, 0.31)	0.40 (0.17, 0.63)	0.059 (0.019, 0.10)	0.13 (0.041, 0.21)
	Lowest	5,207	0.034 (-0.14, 0.20)	0.26 (-0.024, 0.54)	0.040 (-0.017, 0.10)	-0.0059 (-0.13, 0.12)
			P=0.11	P=0.36	P=0.075	P=0.11
Education	Higher	14,020	0.20 (0.089, 0.31)	0.30 (0.014, 0.58)	0.086 (0.049, 0.12)	0.11 (0.034, 0.19)
	Vocational	23,827	0.21 (0.13, 0.30)	0.35 (0.13, 0.58)	0.092 (0.063, 0.12)	0.12 (0.057, 0.18)
	Basic	14,609	0.16 (0.055, 0.27)	0.25 (-0.025, 0.53)	0.061 (0.026, 0.097)	0.10 (0.024, 0.18)
			P=0.73	P=0.70	P=0.33	P=0.92
Age	≤ 56,2 years	26,228	0.22 (0.13, 0.30)	0.39 (0.17, 0.61)	0.10 (0.075, 0.13)	0.12 (0.054, 0.18)
	> 56,2 years	26,228	0.17 (0.087, 0.26)	0.31 (0.090, 0.53)	0.061 (0.033, 0.089)	0.11 (0.050, 0.17)
			P = 0.38	P=0.59	P=0.018	P=0.90
Comorbidity ^c	Yes	4,320	0.017 (-0.17, 0.20)	-0.0086 (-0.49, 0.47)	0.044 (-0.017, 0.11)	-0.015 (-0.16, 0.10)
	No	48,136	0.21 (0.14, 0.28)	0.37 (0.19, 0.55)	0.085 (0.063, 0.10)	0.12 (0.075, 0.17)
			P=0.042	P=0.13	P=0.19	P=0.027
Railway noise	0-19.9	42,893	0.20 (0.14, 0.26)	0.40 (0.24, 0.56)	0.090 (0.070, 0.11)	0.11 (0.070, 0.49)
	20-60 dB	7765	0.00057 (-0.14, 0.14)	-0.11 (-0.48, 0.26)	0.042 (-0.0046, 0.089)	-0.042 (-0.14, 0.059)
	>60 dB	1798	0.42 (0.13, 0.70)	1.33 (0.58, 2.08)	0.13 (0.039, 0.23)	0.28 (0.077, 0.49)
			P=0.0079	P=0.0014	P=0.10	P=0.0039

P-values represent a F-test for the interaction term, the null hypothesis being no interaction.

^aAdjusted for sex, age, disposable income, municipality SEP, education, railway noise and aircraft noise (yes, no). ^bTertiles based on the Danish background population. ^cBased on the Charlson comorbidity index (disease, no disease).

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Associations between road traffic noise and measures of adiposity. Associations between 5-year exposure to residential road traffic noise and BMI, waist circumference, LBMI and BFMI, adjusted for sex, age, disposable income, municipality SEP, education, railway noise and aircraft noise (yes, no). Solid line: restricted cubic spline of the associations. Dotted lines: 95% confidence limits.

Figure 1.

