



# ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PERSPECTIVES

<http://www.ehponline.org>

## Aerobic Fitness and Neurocognitive Function Scores in Young Faroese Adults and Potential Modification by Prenatal Methylmercury Exposure

Youssef Oulhote, Frodi Debes, Sonja Vestergaard, Pal Weihe,  
and Philippe Grandjean

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/EHP274>

Received: 25 January 2016

Revised: 2 August 2016

Accepted: 19 August 2016

Published: 9 September 2016

**Note to readers with disabilities:** *EHP* will provide a [508-conformant](#) version of this article upon final publication. If you require a 508-conformant version before then, please contact [ehponline@niehs.nih.gov](mailto:ehponline@niehs.nih.gov). Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.



# **Aerobic Fitness and Neurocognitive Function Scores in Young Faroese Adults and Potential Modification by Prenatal Methylmercury Exposure**

Youssef Oulhote<sup>1</sup>, Frodi Debes<sup>2</sup>, Sonja Vestergaard<sup>3</sup>, Pal Weihe<sup>2</sup>, and Philippe Grandjean<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Occupational Medicine and Public Health, Faroese Hospital System, Torshavn, Faroe Islands

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Address correspondence to:

Philippe Grandjean, MD, Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Landmark Center 3E, 401 Park Drive, Boston, MA 02215, USA

Tel: 617-384-8907

Fax: 617-384-8994

Email: [pgrand@hsph.harvard.edu](mailto:pgrand@hsph.harvard.edu)

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (ES009797). The content is solely the

responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

All authors declare they have no competing financial or non-financial interests.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Exposure to methylmercury was shown to decrease neural stem cell populations, whereas aerobic fitness has beneficial effects on the adult brain that relies on improved neurogenesis in the hippocampus.

**Objectives:** To examine the association between aerobic fitness and neurocognitive outcomes at young adult age, along with the potential moderating effect of prenatal exposure to methylmercury.

**Methods:** At age 22 years, 262 members of a Faroese birth cohort, established in 1986-1987, underwent a graded exercise test of aerobic fitness to measure maximal oxygen uptake ( $VO_{2Max}$ ). Their prenatal methylmercury exposure had been assessed from the mercury concentration in cord blood. We estimated cross-sectional associations between  $VO_{2Max}$  and multiple measures of neurocognitive function. In addition, we compared groups with low and high prenatal methylmercury exposure.

**Results:** A one standard deviation (SD) increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  was associated with better scores on short-term memory and cognitive processing speed by 0.21 SD (95% CI: -0.04, 0.46) and 0.28 SD (95% CI: 0.02, 0.54), respectively. In the group with lower prenatal methylmercury exposure, a one SD increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  was associated with increased scores on cognitive processing speed by 0.45 SD (95% CI: 0.08, 0.81) and with a slightly lesser benefit in short-term memory. No such association was observed in the group with high prenatal methylmercury exposure.

**Conclusions:** Higher aerobic capacity was associated with better performance in short-term memory and processing speed. However, prenatal methylmercury exposure seemed to attenuate these positive associations.

## **BACKGROUND**

Adult neurogenesis occurring in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus is a dynamic process regulated by both intrinsic and extrinsic factors (Aimone et al. 2014). Neurogenesis can be impacted in both positive and negative ways by several factors, such as physical activity, aging, stress, and diet. Recent evidence from longitudinal and randomized studies suggests that aerobic fitness is positively associated with improvements in cognitive functions in the elderly (Colcombe and Kramer 2003; Hindin and Zelinski 2012; Smith et al. 2010) along with increases in volumes of the hippocampus (Erickson et al. 2011), and the prefrontal cortex (Colcombe et al. 2006). These beneficial effects appear to occur among all age groups including children (Chaddock-Heyman et al. 2014; Desai et al. 2015; Sardinha et al. 2014), adolescents (Herting and Nagel 2012), and young adults (Åberg et al. 2009; Hillman et al. 2005).

In contrast, methylmercury is a well-known neurotoxicant, where the prenatal period is a critical window for toxicity to the developing brain (Grandjean and Landrigan 2014; Karagas et al. 2012). Experimental studies have demonstrated a detrimental effect of early exposure to low concentrations of methylmercury on neural cell survival and proliferation, neural stem cell populations and hippocampal size (Falluel-Morel et al. 2007; Gundacker et al. 2012; Sokolowski et al. 2013; Tamm et al. 2006). However, it is not known how these effects observed early in life might affect neurogenesis processes in adulthood, and no previous study has investigated the potential moderating effect of prenatal exposure to neurotoxicants, such as methylmercury, on the positive relationship between aerobic fitness and cognitive functions.

In this study of young adult members of a Faroese birth cohort, we hypothesized that prenatal exposure to methylmercury might adversely affect adult neurogenesis, thus attenuating the potential beneficial effect of aerobic fitness on cognitive functions. Because the effect of aerobic

fitness might be specific to some cognitive domains (Smith et al. 2013), we assessed the relationship between aerobic fitness and multiple neurocognitive outcomes, and whether these associations were modified by prenatal exposure to methylmercury from maternal seafood diets.

## **METHODS**

### **Participants**

A Faroese birth cohort study (n=1022) was established in 1986-1987 at the three hospitals in the Faroe Islands (Grandjean et al. 1992), and consenting members were invited to a follow-up examination at age 22 years. A subsample of 262 cohort members underwent fitness testing during a limited period, thus believed to be at random, as it depended only on the examination schedule. All subjects responded to a questionnaire on past medical history, current health status, and lifestyle habits. The ethical review committee covering the Faroe Islands as well as the U.S. institutional review board approved the study protocol, and all participants provided written, informed consent.

### **Aerobic fitness measurement**

Participants underwent a progressive test performed on a mechanically braked cycle-ergometer (Monark AB, Vansbro, Sweden). They were asked to cycle for 5 min at a 75 Watt (W) load for females and 100W load for males, maintaining 60-70 rounds per minute throughout the test. After the first 5 min, loads were increased by 35W every 2 minutes until the participant was exhausted. Heart rate was monitored and an optimal test was defined as attained if the heart rate was at or above 185 beats per minute and/or if the test leader evaluated the participant had reached exhaustion when the test was stopped by the participant. All participants received verbal

encouragement from the test leader throughout the test. We estimated the maximum oxygen uptake ( $VO_{2Max}$ ) from a maximal power output (MPO) as described in Andersen et al. (1995) (Andersen 1995). MPO was calculated as the Watts in the last completed workload, plus the increment in Watts of the last step divided by 120 seconds, and multiplied by the number of seconds of the last step. Maximal oxygen uptake ( $VO_{2Max}$ ) in L/min was estimated using the formula:  $VO_{2Max} (L/min) = 0.16 + (0.0117 * MPO)$ , and then divided by weight and expressed in ml/kg/min.

### **Prenatal methylmercury exposure**

Prenatal exposure to methylmercury was determined from mercury analysis of umbilical cord blood samples collected at birth (CB-Hg in  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). Briefly, blood samples from the umbilical cord were taken in 10-ml Abbott syringes equipped with Teflon lined pistons, and kept deep-frozen during transport and storage until analysis (Grandjean et al. 1992). Mercury concentrations were determined using a UV-absorptiometer (Mercury Monitor 1235). The quality was secured by inclusion of quality controls and standard reference material samples from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (Gaithersburg, MD, USA) as previously described in Grandjean et al. (1992).

### **Neurocognitive functions**

A battery of neuropsychological tests was administered to ascertain a broad range of cognitive and learning abilities (Debes et al. 2015). We used several subtests from well-established clinical test batteries: the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children revised (WISC-R) (Wechsler 1974), the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale revised (WAIS-R) (Wechsler 1981), the Wechsler Memory Scale third edition (Wechsler 1997), the Woodcock-Johnson III test of cognitive abilities (Woodcock et al. 2001), the Boston Naming Test (BNT) (Kaplan et al. 1983), and the California

Verbal Learning Test (CVLT) (Delis et al. 1987). The neurocognitive assessment took place at the same day, and just before the aerobic fitness testing. Detailed information about the tests administered has been recently published (Debes et al. 2015) and can also be found in supplemental material. A total of 878 cohort members had been previously examined by a less comprehensive battery at age 14 years (Debes et al. 2006).

### **Covariates and potential confounders**

We considered the following covariates as possible confounders in the association between  $VO_{2Max}$  and neurocognitive outcomes: sex, body mass index (BMI, continuous), smoking (never, occasional, regular), self-reported health status (excellent, very good, good, not particularly good), and self-reported physical activity (exercising hard or doing competitive sports, exercising some hours a week, walking or riding bicycle a few hours a week, inactive). As in previous studies (Debes et al. 2006; Debes et al. 2015), we also considered predictors of neurocognitive outcomes: maternal and paternal employment, maternal and paternal education/training, and mother's intelligence (Raven score).

We selected the covariates retained in the final models using a combined approach. First, we used directed acyclic graphs (Greenland et al. 1999) to infer a minimal set of sufficient confounders. Second, plausible variables that changed the effect estimates by  $\geq 10\%$  were also retained.

### **Statistical analyses**

We log-transformed (base 10) CB-Hg concentrations to address skewness. Depending on the covariate, we used t-tests or analysis of variance to examine univariate associations between  $VO_{2Max}$  and participants' characteristics.

We explored associations between  $VO_{2Max}$  and neurocognitive test scores using linear regressions adjusting only for sex. Furthermore, we used structural equations modeling (SEM) to assess the relationship between  $VO_{2Max}$  and groups of neurocognitive outcomes. In this approach, we considered test scores from specific neurocognitive tasks as indicators of six underlying latent functions in accordance with the Cattell-Horn-Carroll three stratum theory (Schneider and McGrew 2012): Short-term memory, Verbal comprehension and knowledge, Psychomotor speed, Visual processing, Long-term storage and retrieval, and Cognitive processing speed (Debes et al. 2015). Additional methodological aspects of the construction of latent functions are described in the Supplementary Material. In a second approach, we allowed the six neurocognitive functions to be indicators of a broader neurocognitive function ( $g$ ). In the first model, all the six neurocognitive functions were regressed on  $VO_{2Max}$ , whereas in the second model, only the global  $g$  factor was regressed on  $VO_{2Max}$ , adjusting for the same set of covariates. In a final model, we allowed the latent functions to be indicators of two broader neurocognitive functions, where Cognitive processing speed and Short-term memory reflected Cognitive Efficiency, whereas Verbal comprehension and knowledge, Visual processing, Long-term storage and retrieval, and participant's Raven scores indicated the construct of General Thinking abilities (Debes et al. 2015; Woodcock et al. 2001). These two broader constructs were then regressed on  $VO_{2Max}$ , while again adjusting for the same covariates. Predictors of neurocognitive outcomes – maternal and paternal employment, maternal and paternal education/training, and mother's Raven scores – were also aggregated in a single latent variable to reflect family background. Some participants had missing data for physical activity ( $n=1$ ), smoking status ( $n=2$ ), maternal and paternal employment ( $n=17$ ), maternal education/training ( $n=18$ ), paternal education/training ( $n=17$ ), maternal Raven score ( $n=21$ ), and single neurocognitive test scores ( $n$  between 1 and 5, except for block designs [ $n=48$ ]). We imputed missing data using multiple imputations by

chained equations. We specified an adequate number of iterations ( $n=10$ ) according to the proportion of missing information (White et al. 2011). Mean estimates and variances were computed from the 10 imputed datasets using Rubin's rules (Rubin 1987).

In additional analyses, we explored the differences in the association between  $VO_{2Max}$  and neurocognitive outcomes among two groups of higher and lower prenatal exposure to methylmercury. We assessed the differences in associations using two cut-offs. In the first analysis, we split CB-Hg at the median ( $23.5 \mu\text{g/L}$ ), whereas in the second analysis we split the CB-Hg at the 67<sup>th</sup> percentile ( $35 \mu\text{g/L}$ ) and ran the analysis across two groups of low ( $<35 \mu\text{g/L}$ ; lower two tertiles) and high ( $\geq 35 \mu\text{g/L}$ ; highest tertile) prenatal exposure to methylmercury. To account for residual confounding within mercury exposure strata, we included mercury concentrations ( $\log_{10}$ -transformed) as a covariate. Differences in the associations in the two exposure groups were tested by comparing the value of  $d/SE_d$  to the standard normal distribution, where  $d$  is the difference between the two estimates, and  $SE_d = \sqrt{SE_1^2 + SE_2^2}$  is the standard error of the difference (Altman and Bland 2003). An attempt to run SEM analyses across tertiles of CB-Hg failed to produce an acceptable model fit with lower numbers of observations.

In a sensitivity analysis, we attempted to adjust for potential selection bias due to incomplete participation or due to the exclusion of the individuals who did not perform optimally in the fitness test. We therefore applied stabilized inverse probability weights to all the models (Hernan et al. 2004) to conduct a weighted analysis. Because available SEM packages in R (R Development Core Team 2012) do not allow for weighted analyses, we included these weights as sampling weights in a complex survey SEM analysis, while restricting clusters and strata to be the same for all individuals.

Because of the cross-sectional nature of the follow-up study and to ensure that potential significant associations between  $VO_{2Max}$  and neurocognitive functions were not due to reverse causality, we ran additional analyses to test whether participants with better neurocognitive functioning at 14 years of age had higher aerobic fitness at age 22. Since the neurocognitive assessment at age 14 consisted of fewer subtests than at age 22 (Debes et al. 2006), we considered test scores at 14 years of age as indicators of three latent functions based on the results from an exploratory factor analysis. We assessed the effect of each of these functions on  $VO_{2Max}$  in a SEM adjusting for sex, CB-Hg, and the family background latent variable. Finally, we considered the three factors as indicators of a broader neurocognitive function, and assessed its effect on  $VO_{2Max}$  at age 22, adjusting for the same covariates.

All tests were two-sided, significance was based on  $z$ -values from Wald test, and analyses were conducted using the *Mice* (van Buuren and K. 2011), *ipw* (Van der Wal and Geskus 2011), *lavaan* (Rosseel 2012) and *lavaan survey* (Oberski 2014) packages in R (R Development Core Team 2012). Additional methodological aspects of SEMs are described in the Supplementary Material.

## RESULTS

Among the 262 participants who underwent the aerobic fitness test, 18 participants failed the test, and 47 performed suboptimally. Thus, only 197 performed optimally and had valid results of  $VO_{2Max}$ . Women, non-smokers, and physically active participants were significantly more likely to have an optimal aerobic fitness test. Participants who performed an optimal test were not different from those who did not in regard to CB-Hg, BMI, maternal and paternal employment and education/training, and maternal Raven score.

In univariate associations,  $VO_{2Max}$  was significantly higher among men, participants who had never smoked, individuals with normal BMI, and participants who reported being physically active and having an excellent state of health. No association with  $VO_{2Max}$  was observed regarding CB-Hg and maternal and paternal given covariates (Table 1).

All neurocognitive test scores exhibited appropriate variability, and were therefore adequate for subsequent analyses (Supplementary Material; Table S1). Adjusting for sex, higher  $VO_{2Max}$  was significantly associated with higher scores of memory for words, CVLT scores on the learning trials 1-5, visual matching, and decision speed scores (Supplementary Material; Table S2).

In a confirmatory factor analysis, neurocognitive test scores were adequate indicators of latent functions with significant standardized coefficients ranging between 0.25 and 0.93 (Table 2).

### **Associations between aerobic fitness and neurocognitive functions**

Final models included  $VO_{2Max}$ , sex, physical activity, smoking status, BMI, family background, and prenatal methylmercury exposure (CB-Hg).

Although  $VO_{2Max}$  was positively correlated with all neurocognitive domains, the association was statistically significant only for cognitive processing speed (Table 3). We found that a one SD increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  was significantly associated with an increase in cognitive processing speed by 0.28 SD (95% CI: 0.02, 0.54;  $z = 2.14$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ). In addition, a one SD increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  was associated with a marginally significant increase in short-term memory scores by 0.21 SD (95% CI: -0.04, 0.46;  $z = 1.66$ ,  $p = 0.10$ ).

In the SEM model assessing the association with general neurocognitive function ( $g$ ), the six latent functions showed significant correlations to the  $g$ , with standardized coefficients between 0.61 and 0.76, except for motor function for which the standardized coefficient was weaker

(0.25). A one SD increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  was associated with an increased neurocognitive g score of 0.24 SD (95% CI: -0.02, 0.50;  $z = 1.81$ ,  $p = 0.07$ ). In the final model using two broad neurocognitive functions, aerobic fitness was significantly associated with cognitive efficiency. Here, a one SD increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  was associated with a score increase of 0.32 SD (95% CI: 0.01, 0.62;  $z = 2.10$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ), although not in regard to general thinking abilities (Table 3).

### **Effect modification by prenatal methylmercury exposure**

In multiple group SEMs comparing the two groups with low ( $<23.5 \mu\text{g/L}$ ) and high ( $\geq 23.5 \mu\text{g/L}$ ) prenatal methylmercury exposure, we found a significant positive association between aerobic fitness and cognitive processing speed in the group with lower prenatal methylmercury exposure, with a one SD increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  significantly associated with increased cognitive processing speed by 0.45 SD (95% CI: 0.08, 0.81;  $z = 2.45$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ). No clear association was observed for the other neurocognitive functions (Table 4). In the group with higher prenatal methylmercury exposure, we observed no association between  $VO_{2Max}$  and neurocognitive functions (Table 4). Although the association between  $VO_{2Max}$  and scores of cognitive processing speed was stronger among individuals with low prenatal methylmercury exposure compared to those with high exposure, the two groups did not differ significantly for any of the neurocognitive functions.

No significant association was found in the model assessing the association between  $VO_{2Max}$  and the general neurocognitive function (g), and the associations were similar in the two groups of exposure, although it was marginally significant in the lower exposure group (0.27 SD: 95% CI: -0.05, 0.59;  $z = 1.65$ ,  $p = 0.10$ ) (Table 4). However, we found a significant positive association between  $VO_{2Max}$  and cognitive efficiency in the group of low prenatal exposure, with a one SD increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  significantly associated with cognitive efficiency score increases by 0.43 SD (95% CI: 0.07, 0.80;  $z = 2.28$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ). Again, no clear association was found in the group of

higher prenatal exposure (0.24 SD; 95% CI: -0.20, 0.68;  $z = 1.07$ ,  $p = 0.28$ ). No significant association was observed between  $VO_{2Max}$  and general thinking abilities in the two groups of lower and higher prenatal exposures (Table 4).

In the multiple group SEM analyses with a cut-off of prenatal methylmercury exposure of 35  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (67% percentile), we observed the same trend as for the first cut-off at the median. Thus, we found a positive association between aerobic fitness and specific neurocognitive outcomes in the group with lower prenatal methylmercury exposure, with a one SD increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  associated with increased short-term memory and cognitive processing speed by 0.28 SD (95% CI: 0.00, 0.56;  $z = 1.96$ ,  $p = 0.05$ ) and 0.46 SD (95% CI: 0.19, 0.74;  $z = 3.22$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ). No significant association was observed for other neurocognitive functions, and none in the group with higher prenatal methylmercury exposure. However, in contrast to the analyses with a median cut-off, the associations differed significantly between the two groups of low and high prenatal exposure for cognitive processing speed ( $p=0.007$ ), though not for the other neurocognitive outcomes (Table 5).

When assessing the general neurocognitive function (g), the associations were similar in the two groups of exposure, although marginally better scores were seen in the lower exposure group (0.27 SD; 95% CI: -0.02, 0.55;  $z = 1.89$ ,  $p = 0.06$ ) (Table 5). Again, we observed the same trend when comparing results in the tertile groups of prenatal exposure in regard to the cognitive efficiency and general thinking abilities. Thus, we found a positive association in subjects with prenatal methylmercury exposure within the two lower tertiles, where a one SD increase in  $VO_{2Max}$  associated with increased cognitive efficiency (0.49 SD; 95% CI: 0.20, 0.79;  $z = 3.20$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ), but not general thinking abilities. The associations between  $VO_{2Max}$  and cognitive efficiency differed significantly between the highest tertile and the two lower tertiles of prenatal exposure ( $p=0.03$ ).

In the sensitivity analysis, estimates of associations adjusting for inverse probability weights led to similar conclusions as those drawn from main analyses, although the estimates were slightly attenuated (Supplementary Material; Tables S3, S4, and S5).

### **Associations between neurocognitive functions at age 14 and aerobic fitness at age 22**

The general neurocognitive score at age 14 was significantly correlated with the 6 latent cognitive functions at 22 years with correlations ranging from 0.24 (motor function) to 0.91 (short-term memory). Analyses assessing reverse causation showed no association between neurocognitive scores at 14 years of age and aerobic fitness at 22 years, with changes of 0.09, -0.04, and 0.06 SD in  $VO_{2Max}$  at 22 years for a 1 SD increase in age-14 neurocognitive factors 1, 2, and 3 ( $p = 0.25, 0.54$  and  $0.57$ , respectively). Likewise, a 1 SD increase in the general neurocognitive score at age 14 was not associated with  $VO_{2Max}$  at age 22 (standardized  $\beta = 0.11$  SD; 95% CI= -0.11, 0.34).

## **DISCUSSION**

In the present study, aerobic fitness showed positive associations with scores of cognitive processing speed at age 22 years, but not in regard to other neurocognitive functions. The results also exhibited marginally significant associations for short-term memory and general neurocognitive function. Thus, a 1-SD increase in maximal oxygen uptake (ml/kg/min) was associated with increases in scores by 24%, 28%, and 21% of the SD for general neurocognitive function, cognitive processing speed and short-term memory, respectively. These results extend findings from previous studies on associations between aerobic fitness and neurocognitive functions (Chaddock-Heyman et al. 2014; Colcombe and Kramer 2003; Colcombe et al. 2006; Desai et al. 2015; Erickson and Kramer 2009; Erickson et al. 2011; Sardinha et al. 2014). Of

particular interest, the positive association of aerobic fitness and cognitive processing speed and short-term memory appeared to be constrained to individuals with lower prenatal exposure to methylmercury. Furthermore, higher aerobic fitness in adulthood did not appear to be driven by better cognitive functioning during adolescence, thus arguing against reverse causation. Our study is the first to address the modifying effect of prenatal neurotoxicant exposure on the potential positive relationship between aerobic fitness and cognitive functions.

Aerobic fitness was associated significantly only with cognitive efficiency scores indicated by cognitive processing speed and short-term memory, thereby suggesting that the influence of aerobic fitness on neurocognitive function might be specific for certain functional domains. Although test batteries vary widely between different studies, and domain-representation differs between tests, previous studies suggest that tests involving response speed may be the most sensitive to aerobic exercise and fitness (Etnier et al. 2006; Hillman et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2010; Smith et al. 2013). Spatial learning and memory may benefit more than verbal learning and delayed memory recall (Herting and Nagel 2012). These findings appear to be in accordance with the notion that exercise benefits memory encoding in the hippocampus, but not retrieval involving other brain regions (Rugg et al. 2002).

Several mechanisms might explain the link between aerobic fitness and neurocognitive functioning (Hotting and Roder 2013). Aerobic fitness has been shown to affect the hippocampus through increases in cerebral blood volume (Pereira et al. 2007) and densities of neuronal synapses (Kramer et al. 2002), in addition to the stimulation of neurogenesis (van Praag et al. 1999; van Praag 2008). After inhibition of neurogenesis, one study in mice showed no exercise-related enhancement in memory performance and spatial learning (Clark et al. 2008). As neurogenesis must arise from a local neural stem cell population (Christian et al. 2014), the

plasticity of the hippocampal neuronal circuit can be negatively affected by a decreased availability of neuronal stem cells.

The positive association between aerobic fitness and neurocognitive functioning appeared to be constrained mainly to individuals with lower prenatal methylmercury exposure, and no clear association was observed in individuals highly exposed to methylmercury in the womb. Since aerobic fitness is likely to exert its effect through neurogenesis, it is possible that prenatal exposure to methylmercury affected the cellular basis for this process. In vitro studies suggest that neural stem cells are exceedingly sensitive to adverse effects from mercury exposure (Gundacker et al. 2012; Tamm et al. 2006). In animal models, exposure to methylmercury during the perinatal period resulted in decreased neural stem cell populations (Sokolowski et al. 2013), inhibited hippocampal DNA synthesis, degradation of cyclin E, reduced cyclin D1 and D3 during postnatal development in which neurogenesis is highly active in the hippocampus (Tyler and Allan 2013). It also induced apoptotic cell death (Falluel-Morel et al. 2007). All of these effects may result in subsequent deficits in hippocampal structure and function. Additionally, exposure to methylmercury has been reported to disturb the serotonergic system (Oudar et al. 1989), which plays a direct regulatory role in exercise-dependent hippocampal neurogenesis (Klempin et al. 2013).

Due to the limited number of cohort members included in this study, details on the exposure dependence of such effects cannot be estimated. Significant differences were seen only when comparing the highest exposures to the rest of the cohort. Within this cohort, about 90% of the subjects were prenatally exposed to methylmercury at levels exceeding the Reference Dose recommended by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (5.8  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), but the study size did not allow meaningful comparisons between subjects with low background exposures to those with elevated exposures. Despite the non-significant effect modification reported when

comparing participants above the median prenatal methylmercury exposure to those below the median, we speculate that stem-cell mediated toxicity may occur well below the median level of prenatal methylmercury exposure in the present study given the overall tendencies and the well documented and extreme sensitivity of neural stem cells to mercury exposure.

This study has some limitations. The cross-sectional design at the age-22 follow-up examination does not allow causal inference, but we were able to exclude an impact of better cognitive outcomes at age 14 on aerobic capacity eight years later. However, because fewer tests were administered at age 14, we were not able to directly compare the same neurocognitive functions, and thus, reverse causation could not be completely ruled out. Exposure to methylmercury was assessed from the total mercury concentration in cord blood as a measure of the exposure during the last trimester of pregnancy. This parameter appears to be the best indicator of subsequent risk of mercury-associated cognitive deficits (Grandjean and Budtz-Jørgensen 2007; Grandjean et al. 2014) but may not necessarily reflect an effect on brain stem cells. Although the reduced number of subjects in the present study did not allow sufficient power to reveal significant associations between prenatal methylmercury exposure and neurocognitive functions, results from the full cohort have amply demonstrated persistent exposure-associated effects on cognition at age 22 (Debes et al. 2015). Finally, the aerobic capacity of the participants in the present study must be interpreted to be low. The median  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  for males and females was 37.8 and 31.4 ml/min/kg, respectively, while the age- and gender-matched median  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  in an American sample was 43.9 and 37.8 ml/min/kg for males and females, respectively (Pescatello and ACSM 2014). Although discrepancies in the methods applied may explain part of the difference (Shephard 1984), the lower aerobic capacity observed in this study is undoubtedly related to the high proportion of overweight and obese individuals in our study population (44%). Thus, at the fitness levels

observed, the participants would likely have experienced only moderate cognitive benefits, thereby diminishing the sensitivity of the study.

We were able to adjust for several important confounders, including physical activity and predictors of neurocognitive function. Thus, education did not appear to affect our estimates. Nonetheless, possible residual or additional unmeasured confounding cannot be ruled out. Many other factors over the life course might be associated with both fitness and cognitive functions, including genetic factors, diet, and other socioeconomic parameters not captured in the present study. However, the homogeneity of the Faroese population in terms of socio-demographic, lifestyle, and genetic characteristics limits the potential influence of any unmeasured confounders. Another factor that may induce residual confounding is that methylmercury exposure mainly originates from seafood, which also contributes n-3 fatty acids that may enhance adult hippocampal neurogenesis and promote synaptic plasticity (Crupi et al. 2013), thus possibly mitigating deleterious effects of methylmercury due to negative confounding. Previous reports from this cohort showed that additional adjustment for maternal self-reported fish intake slightly strengthened the negative associations between MeHg and cognitive functions (Debes et al. 2006, Budtz-Jørgensen et al. 2007). Unfortunately, the lack of precise measurements of nutrient supply (e.g., omega 3 fatty acids) precludes the assessment of whether such nutrients that could enhance hippocampal neurogenesis might also modify the association between aerobic fitness and cognitive functions.

The extended test battery allowed assessment of the association of aerobic fitness with a multitude of neurocognitive domains, as well as general neurocognitive function. The use of structural equation modeling resulted in an overall and more precise assessment of the outcomes compared to the classical approach where test scores are assessed individually. This approach takes into account measurement imprecision and also avoids inference errors arising from

multiple comparisons. Still, the classification of the different tests is a challenge in regard to the complexity of the brain functionalities, and more than a single neurocognitive domain might be involved in specific performances.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, this study adds new insight to the mounting evidence suggesting positive associations of aerobic fitness with neurocognitive functions. Although the study size precluded a detailed assessment of this association at different levels of prenatal methylmercury exposure, elevated exposure levels appeared to attenuate the well-documented positive effect of aerobic fitness. The promotion of healthy lifestyles is becoming a recognized cost-effective public health intervention, and our findings suggest that further benefit in this regard may be achieved by early prevention of environmental exposures to neurotoxicants such as methylmercury.

## REFERENCES

- Åberg MAI, Pedersen NL, Torén K, Svartengren M, Bäckstrand B, Johnsson T, et al. 2009. Cardiovascular fitness is associated with cognition in young adulthood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:20906-20911.
- Aimone JB, Li Y, Lee SW, Clemenson GD, Deng W, Gage FH. 2014. Regulation and function of adult neurogenesis: From genes to cognition. *Physiol Rev* 94:991-1026.
- Altman DG, Bland JM. 2003. Interaction revisited: The difference between two estimates. *BMJ* : *BMJ* 326:219-219.
- Andersen LB. 1995. A maximal cycle exercise protocol to predict maximal oxygen uptake. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 5:143-146.
- Budtz-Jørgensen E, Grandjean P, Weihe P. 2007. Separation of risks and benefits of seafood intake. *Environ Health Perspect* 115:323-327.
- Chaddock-Heyman L, Hillman CH, Cohen NJ, Kramer AF. 2014. Iii. The importance of physical activity and aerobic fitness for cognitive control and memory in children. *Monogr Soc Res Child Dev* 79:25-50.
- Christian KM, Song H, Ming GL. 2014. Functions and dysfunctions of adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 37:243-62.
- Clark PJ, Brzezinska WJ, Thomas MW, Ryzhenko NA, Toshkov SA, Rhodes JS. 2008. Intact neurogenesis is required for benefits of exercise on spatial memory but not motor performance or contextual fear conditioning in c57bl/6j mice. *Neuroscience* 155:1048-1058.
- Colcombe S, Kramer AF. 2003. Fitness effects on the cognitive function of older adults: A meta-analytic study. *Psychological Science* 14:125-130.
- Colcombe SJ, Erickson KI, Scalf PE, Kim JS, Prakash R, McAuley E, et al. 2006. Aerobic exercise training increases brain volume in aging humans. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 61:1166-1170.
- Crupi R, Marino A, Cuzzocrea S. 2013. N-3 fatty acids: Role in neurogenesis and neuroplasticity. *Curr Med Chem* 20:2953-2963.
- Debes F, Budtz-Jørgensen E, Weihe P, White RF, Grandjean P. 2006. Impact of prenatal methylmercury exposure on neurobehavioral function at age 14 years. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 28:536-547.
- Debes F, Weihe P, Grandjean P. 2015. Cognitive deficits at age 22 years associated with prenatal exposure to methylmercury. *Cortex* 74:358-369.
- Delis DC, Kramer JH, Kaplan E, Ober BA. 1987. California verbal learning test - children's version (CVLT-C). Psychological Corp. San Antonio, TX.

Desai IK, Kurpad AV, Chomitz VR, Thomas T. 2015. Aerobic fitness, micronutrient status, and academic achievement in indian school-aged children. *PLoS One* 10:e0122487.

Erickson KI, Kramer AF. 2009. Aerobic exercise effects on cognitive and neural plasticity in older adults. *Br J Sports Med* 43:22-24.

Erickson KI, Voss MW, Prakash RS, Basak C, Szabo A, Chaddock L, et al. 2011. Exercise training increases size of hippocampus and improves memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:3017-3022.

Etnier JL, Nowell PM, Landers DM, Sibley BA. 2006. A meta-regression to examine the relationship between aerobic fitness and cognitive performance. *Brain Res Rev* 52:119-130.

Falluel-Morel A, Sokolowski K, Sisti HM, Zhou X, Shors TJ, Diccico-Bloom E. 2007. Developmental mercury exposure elicits acute hippocampal cell death, reductions in neurogenesis, and severe learning deficits during puberty. *J Neurochem* 103:1968-1981.

Hernán MA, Hernández-Díaz S, Robins JM. 2004. A structural approach to selection bias. *Epidemiology* 15(5):615-625.

Grandjean P, Weihe P, Jorgensen PJ, Clarkson T, Cernichiari E, Videro T. 1992. Impact of maternal seafood diet on fetal exposure to mercury, selenium, and lead. *Arch Environ Health* 47:185-195.

Grandjean P, Budtz-Jørgensen E. 2007. Total imprecision of exposure biomarkers: Implications for calculating exposure limits. *Am J Ind Med* 50:712-719.

Grandjean P, Landrigan PJ. 2014. Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity. *The Lancet Neurol* 13:330-338.

Grandjean P, Weihe P, Debes F, Choi AL, Budtz-Jørgensen E. 2014. Neurotoxicity from prenatal and postnatal exposure to methylmercury. *Neurotox Teratol* 43:39-44.

Greenland S, Pearl J, Robins JM. 1999. Causal diagrams for epidemiologic research. *Epidemiology* 10:37-48.

Gundacker C, Scheinast M, Damjanovic L, Fuchs C, Rosner M, Hengstschlager M. 2012. Proliferation potential of human amniotic fluid stem cells differently responds to mercury and lead exposure. *Amino acids* 43:937-949.

Herting MM, Nagel BJ. 2012. Aerobic fitness relates to learning on a virtual morris water task and hippocampal volume in adolescents. *Behav Brain Res* 233:517-525.

Hillman CH, Castelli DM, Buck SM. 2005. Aerobic fitness and neurocognitive function in healthy preadolescent children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 37:1967-1974.

- Hindin SB, Zelinski EM. 2012. Extended practice and aerobic exercise interventions benefit untrained cognitive outcomes in older adults: A meta-analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 60:136-141.
- Hotting K and Roder B. 2013. Beneficial effects of physical exercise on neuroplasticity and cognition. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 37(9 Pt B):2243-57.
- Kaplan E, Goodglass H, Weintraub S, Goodglass H. 1983. Boston naming test. Lea & Febiger. Boston naming test. Philadelphia, PA.
- Karagas MR, Choi AL, Oken E, Horvat M, Schoeny R, Kamai E, et al. 2012. Evidence on the human health effects of low-level methylmercury exposure. *Environ Health Perspect* 120:799-806.
- Klempin F, Beis D, Mosienko V, Kempermann G, Bader M, Alenina N. 2013. Serotonin is required for exercise-induced adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *J Neurosci* 33:8270-8275.
- Kramer AF, Colcombe S, Erickson K, Belopolsky A, McAuley E, Cohen NJ, et al. 2002. Effects of aerobic fitness training on human cortical function: A proposal. *J Mol Neurosci* 19:227-231.
- Oberski, DL. 2014. lavaan.survey: An R Package for Complex Survey Analysis of Structural Equation Models. *J Stat Softw* 57(1):1-27.
- Oudar P, Caillard L, Fillion G. 1989. In vitro effect of organic and inorganic mercury on the serotonergic system. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 65:245-248.
- Pescatello LS & American College of Sports Medicine. 2014. ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Health.
- Pereira AC, Huddleston DE, Brickman AM, Sosunov AA, Hen R, McKhann GM, et al. 2007. An in vivo correlate of exercise-induced neurogenesis in the adult dentate gyrus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:5638-5643.
- Phillips C, Baktir MA, Srivatsan M, Salehi A. 2014. Neuroprotective effects of physical activity on the brain a closer look at trophic factor signaling. *Front Cell Neurosci* 8.
- R Development Core Team. 2012. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, ed. Vienna, Austria.
- Rosseel Y. 2012. Lavaan: An r package for structural equation modeling. *J Stat Softw* 48:1-36.
- Rubin D. 1987. Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys. John Wiley & Sons. New York, NY.
- Rugg MD, Otten LJ, Henson RNA. 2002. The neural basis of episodic memory: Evidence from functional neuroimaging. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 357:1097-1110.
- Sardinha LB, Marques A, Martins S, Palmeira A, Minderico C. 2014. Fitness, fatness, and academic performance in seventh-grade elementary school students. *BMC pediatr* 14:176.

- Schneider WJ, McGrew K. 2012. The cattell-horn-carroll model of intelligence. In: Contemporary intellectual assessment: Theories, tests, and issues (3rd ed). Guilford ed. New York, NY.
- Shephard RJ. 1984. Tests of maximum oxygen intake. A critical review. *Sports med* 1:99-124.
- Smith PJ, Blumenthal JA, Hoffman BM, Cooper H, Strauman TA, Welsh-Bohmer K, et al. 2010. Aerobic exercise and neurocognitive performance: A meta-analytic review of randomized controlled trials. *Psychosoma med* 72:239-252.
- Smith PJ, Potter GG, McLaren ME, Blumenthal JA. 2013. Impact of aerobic exercise on neurobehavioral outcomes. *Ment Health Phys Act* 6:139-153.
- Sokolowski K, Obiorah M, Robinson K, McCandlish E, Buckley B, DiCicco-Bloom E. 2013. Neural stem cell apoptosis after low-methylmercury exposures in postnatal hippocampus produce persistent cell loss and adolescent memory deficits. *Dev Neurobiol* 73:936-949.
- Tamm C, Duckworth J, Hermanson O, Ceccatelli S. 2006. High susceptibility of neural stem cells to methylmercury toxicity: Effects on cell survival and neuronal differentiation. *J neurochem* 97:69-78.
- Tasneem S, Farrell K, Lee MY, Kothapalli CR. 2016. Sensitivity of neural stem cell survival, differentiation and neurite outgrowth within 3D hydrogels to environmental heavy metals. *Toxicol Lett* 242:9-22.
- Tyler CR, Allan AM. 2013. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis and mrna expression are altered by perinatal arsenic exposure in mice and restored by brief exposure to enrichment. *PLoS One* 8:e73720.
- van Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. 2011. Mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in r. *J Stat Softw* 45:68.
- Van der Wal WM. & Geskus RB. 2011. ipw: An R Package for Inverse Probability Weighting. *J Stat Softw* 43(13):1-23
- Van Praag H, Kempermann G, Gage FH. 1999. Running increases cell proliferation and neurogenesis in the adult mouse dentate gyrus. *Nat Neurosci* 2:266-270
- Van Praag H. 2008. Neurogenesis and exercise: past and future directions. *Neuromolecular Med* 10(2):128-40.
- Wechsler D. 1974. Wechsler intelligence scale for children revised. Psychological Corp. New York, NY.
- Wechsler D. 1981. Manual of the wechsler adult intelligence scale - revised. Psychological Corp. San Antonio: TX.

Wechsler D. 1997. Wechsler memory scale - 3rd edition (wms-III). Psychological Corp. San Antonio: TX.

White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. 2011. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for practice. *Stat Med* 30:377-399.

Woodcock R, McGrew K, Mather N. 2001. Woodcock johnson iii tests of cognitive abilities. Riverside Publishing. Itasca, IL.

**Table 1.** Levels of Maximal Oxygen Uptake in Relation to Important Covariates

Participant characteristics	N (%)	VO <sub>2</sub> Max [ml/kg/min] Mean (SD)	p-value
Sex			<0.001
Women	77 (39%)	31.2 (6.2)	
Men	120 (61%)	37.6 (7.1)	
Smoking			0.001
Never	113 (58%)	36.7 (7.2)	
Occasional	30 (15%)	33.6 (6.5)	
Regular	52 (27%)	32.4 (7.7)	
Missing	2	-	
Prenatal Hg exposure			0.44
Low (< 23.5µg/L)	99 (50%)	34.7 (6.4)	
High (≥ 23.5µg/L)	98 (50%)	35.5 (8.3)	
BMI			<0.001
< 25	111 (56%)	37.7 (6.3)	
25 - 29	60 (31%)	34.3 (6.4)	
> 29	26 (13%)	25.8 (5.9)	
Self-reported physical activity			<0.001
Practicing hard or competitive sports	46 (24%)	40.5 (7.6)	
Doing sports some hours a week	48 (24%)	36.1 (5.1)	
Walking or riding bicycle few hours a week	75 (38%)	33.1 (6.3)	
Inactive	27 (14%)	29.6 (7.4)	
Missing	1	-	
Self-reported health status			<0.001
Excellent	41 (21%)	37.7 (6.5)	
Very good	78 (40%)	37.2 (6.3)	
Good	71 (36%)	31.7 (7.5)	
Not especially good	6 (3%)	30.0 (10.1)	
Missing	1	-	
Maternal education/training			0.88
No	71 (40%)	35.4 (7.6)	
Yes	108 (60%)	35.2 (7.6)	
Missing	18	-	
Paternal education/training			0.88
No	43(24%)	35.4 (8.4)	
Yes	137 (76%)	35.2 (7.3)	
Missing	17	-	
Maternal employment			0.23
No	83(46%)	34.5 (7.7)	
Yes	97 (54%)	35.9 (7.4)	
Missing	17	-	
Paternal employment			0.70
No	28(16%)	34.7 (7.4)	
Yes	152 (84%)	35.3 (7.6)	
Missing	17	-	
Maternal Raven score			0.76
≤ 45	61 (35%)	34.8 (8.0)	
46 – 51	62 (35%)	35.8 (7.1)	
>51	53 (30%)	35.1 (7.3)	

Missing	21	-
Total	197 (100%)	35.1 (7.4)

**Table 2.** Factor loadings and estimated correlation of measured test scores to the neurocognitive latent functions as previously defined (Debes et al. 2015)

Domain	Neurocognitive test	Factor loading <sup>a</sup>	SE	p-value	Standardized coefficient
<b>Gsm</b>	Numbers reversed	1	0	NA	0.85
	Memory for words	0.35	0.08	<0.001	0.59
	Spatial span forward	0.25	0.08	0.002	0.28
	Spatial span backward	0.24	0.08	<0.001	0.34
<b>Gc</b>	Boston Naming Test, correct without cues	1	0	NA	0.78
	Boston Naming Test, correct with cues	0.86	0.03	<0.001	0.79
	Synonyms	0.43	0.05	<0.001	0.78
	Antonyms	0.25	0.04	<0.001	0.62
	Verbal analogies	0.32	0.05	<0.001	0.67
<b>Gps</b>	Finger tapping, dominant hand	1	0	NA	0.93
	Finger tapping, non-dominant hand	0.86	0.11	<0.001	0.70
	Finger tapping, alternate hands	0.99	0.22	<0.001	0.55
<b>Gv</b>	Block Design, WISC-R	1	0	NA	0.64
	Block Design WISC-R + 3 WAIS-R	1.66	0.20	<0.001	0.66
	Spatial Relations	0.74	0.17	<0.001	0.70
<b>Glr</b>	CVLT, trial 1, number correct	1	0	NA	0.59
	CVLT, learning trials 1-5, total number correct	6.92	0.72	<0.001	0.83
	CVLT, list B, number correct	0.72	0.13	<0.001	0.38
	CVLT, short delay, free recall, correct	1.72	0.28	<0.001	0.91
	CVLT, long delay, free recall, correct	1.78	0.30	<0.001	0.92
	CVLT, long delay, recognition, correct	0.45	0.11	<0.001	0.42
	Incidental Memory	0.83	0.24	0.001	0.25
<b>Gs</b>	Visual Matching	1	0	NA	0.83
	Decision Speed	0.56	0.09	<0.001	0.73

<sup>a</sup> For each neurocognitive function, the latent variable is constructed on the scale of the first component.

SD: standard Error; Gsm: Short-term memory; Gc: Verbal comprehension and knowledge; Gps: Psychomotor speed; Gv: Visual processing; Glr: Long-term storage and retrieval; Gs: Cognitive processing speed

**Table 3.** Adjusted associations between VO<sub>2max</sub> and neurocognitive functions

Neurocognitive domain	B (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	<i>p</i> -value
Short term memory	0.21 (-0.04, 0.46)	0.10
Verbal comprehension and knowledge	0.12 (-0.11, 0.34)	0.31
Psychomotor speed	0.03 (-0.18, 0.24)	0.78
Visual processing	0.07 (-0.24, 0.38)	0.64
Long-term storage and retrieval	0.16 (-0.06, 0.38)	0.16
Cognitive processing speed	0.28 (0.02, 0.54)**	0.04
Cognitive efficiency	0.32 (0.01, 0.62)**	0.04
General thinking abilities	0.16 (-0.10, 0.42)	0.23
General function (g)	0.24 (-0.02, 0.50)*	0.07

<sup>a</sup> Change in the standard deviation of the neurocognitive function associated with a 1-SD increase in VO<sub>2Max</sub>

\* <0.10; \*\* <0.05

Models were adjusted for sex, physical activity, smoking status, BMI, family background, and prenatal methylmercury exposure

**Table 4.** Adjusted associations between VO<sub>2max</sub> and neurocognitive functions in regard to prenatal mercury exposure split at the median (23.5 µg/L)

Neurocognitive domain	B (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>		<i>P</i> -difference
	Low prenatal exposure (<23.5 µg/L)	High prenatal exposure (≥23.5 µg/L)	
Short term memory	0.18 (-0.14, 0.50)	0.18 (-0.23, 0.60)	0.98
Verbal comprehension and knowledge	0.08 (-0.20, 0.35)	0.11 (-0.31, 0.52)	0.91
Psychomotor speed	0.12 (-0.16, 0.40)	-0.07 (-0.38, 0.25)	0.24
Visual processing	0.11 (-0.21, 0.43)	-0.02 (-0.53, 0.49)	0.60
Long-term storage and retrieval	0.16 (-0.13, 0.43)	0.19 (-0.15, 0.52)	0.90
Cognitive processing speed	0.45 (0.08, 0.81)**	0.16 (-0.24, 0.56)	0.20
Cognitive efficiency	0.43 (0.07, 0.80)**	0.24 (-0.20, 0.68)	0.53
General thinking abilities	0.15 (-0.17, 0.47)	0.15 (-0.22, 0.52)	0.97
General function (g)	0.27 (-0.05, 0.59)	0.18 (-0.18, 0.54)	0.69

<sup>a</sup> Change in the standard deviation of the neurocognitive function associated with a 1-SD increase in VO<sub>2Max</sub>

\* <0.10; \*\* <0.05

Models were adjusted for sex, physical activity, smoking status, BMI, family background, and prenatal methylmercury exposure

**Table 5.** Adjusted associations between VO<sub>2max</sub> and neurocognitive functions in regard to prenatal mercury exposure split at the 67<sup>th</sup> percentile (35 µg/L)

Neurocognitive domain	B (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>		<i>P</i> -difference
	Low prenatal exposure (<35 µg/L)	High prenatal exposure (≥35 µg/L)	
Short term memory	0.27 (0.00, 0.56)**	-0.08 (-0.55, 0.40)	0.21
Verbal comprehension and knowledge	0.01 (-0.27, 0.29)	0.14 (-0.25, 0.53)	0.57
Psychomotor speed	0.10 (-0.04, 0.23)	-0.15 (-0.44, 0.14)	0.12
Visual processing	0.25 (-0.06, 0.56)	-0.17 (-0.57, 0.22)	0.09
Long-term storage and retrieval	0.09 (-0.16, 0.34)	0.17 (-0.13, 0.47)	0.69
Cognitive processing speed	0.47 (0.19, 0.74)**	-0.12 (-0.44, 0.21)	0.007
Cognitive efficiency	0.49 (0.20, 0.79)**	-0.12 (-0.58, 0.33)	0.03
General thinking abilities	0.08 (-0.19, 0.35)	0.27 (-0.17, 0.71)	0.47
General function (g)	0.27 (-0.02, 0.55)*	0.13 (-0.21, 0.47)	0.54

<sup>a</sup> Change in the standard deviation of the neurocognitive function associated with a 1-SD increase in VO<sub>2Max</sub>

\* <0.10; \*\* <0.05

Models were adjusted for sex, physical activity, smoking status, BMI, family background, and prenatal methylmercury exposure